

Visible Vectors and Discrete Euclidean Medial Axis

Jérôme Hulin^{1,3} Edouard Thiel^{2,3}

*Laboratoire d'Informatique Fondamentale de Marseille
(LIF, UMR 6166), Université de la Méditerranée,
163 Av. de Luminy, case 901, 13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France.*

Abstract

We denote by \mathcal{M}_R^n the test neighbourhood sufficient to extract the Euclidean Medial Axis of any n -dimensional discrete shape whose inner radius is no greater than R . In this paper, we study properties of discrete Euclidean disks overlappings so as to prove that in any given dimension n , \mathcal{M}_R^n tends to the set of visible vectors when R tends to infinity.

Keywords: Medial Axis, Look-Up Table, Squared Euclidean Distance Transform, visible points, disks overlappings.

1 Introduction

The Medial Axis (MA) is an important tool in image analysis, shape description, computer vision, robot motion planning, surface reconstruction, etc. It provides a global and centred representation of a shape \mathcal{S} , a shape being for example a discrete set of points or the frontier of a compact, depending on the considered working space. The medial axis allows to simplify, compress or compute a reversible skeleton of \mathcal{S} . First proposed by Blum in [2], MA was defined in [10] as the set of centres (and radii) of maximal disks in \mathcal{S} , a disk being maximal in \mathcal{S} if it is not included in any single other disk included in \mathcal{S} . The medial axis is a cover of the input shape, i.e., the union of the balls of $\text{MA}(\mathcal{S})$ is exactly \mathcal{S} , thus MA is a reversible coding.

In this paper, we only consider shapes as bitmaps, i.e., a shape is a subset of \mathbb{Z}^n (without topology considerations). When the disks are distance balls, the MA points can be locally detected on the Distance Transform (DT) of the shape, where each shape point is labelled with the distance to its closest point in the

¹E-mail: Jerome.Hulin@lif.univ-mrs.fr

²Corresponding author. Tel: +33 4 91 82 94 83. Fax: +33 4 91 82 92 75. E-mail: Edouard.Thiel@lif.univ-mrs.fr – Web page: <http://www.lif.univ-mrs.fr/~thiel>

³Supported in part by ANR grant BLAN06-1-138894 (projet OPTICOMB).

background [15]. Then, a Reverse Distance Transformation (RDT) applied on MA allows to recover exactly the original shape. Note that a maximal disk can be included in the union of several maximal disks, therefore MA, which is unique by definition, is seldom a minimal cover.

The most important discrete distances used in image analysis for bitmaps (in \mathbb{Z}^n) are the squared Euclidean distance d_E^2 (squared to store integers) and chamfer distances d_C , also known as weighted distances (introduced in [8]). Note that d_E is also denoted ℓ_2 in the literature, and that both ℓ_1 and ℓ_∞ are simple cases of chamfer distances. Chamfer DT and RDT are well known [15, 8, 3] with a simple and fast sequential in two raster scans computation; complexity is $\mathcal{O}(N.m)$, where N is the number of points of the image and m is the cardinal of the chamfer mask. A number of Squared Euclidean DT algorithms have been proposed over the past 30 years; see for example [11], or the recent one from Hirata [7] which is exact in arbitrary dimension and easily parallelized, with a $\mathcal{O}(N.n)$ time complexity, n being the dimension. Same complexity holds for the computation of the Squared Euclidean RDT [6].

The characterization of MA is simple for distances such as ℓ_1, ℓ_∞ [15] or 3×3 chamfer masks [1], by detecting the local maxima on DT, possibly lowering some DT labels before. A general approach using look-up tables (LUT for short) has been introduced for d_E^2 in [4] and for a 5×5 chamfer mask in [5]. The LUT gives for each value read in the DT, the minimum value of the neighbours that forbids a point to be in the MA. The neighbours which are necessary to test for each point of the shape are stored as a set \mathcal{M}_R^n of vectors. The test neighbourhood \mathcal{M}_R^n is sufficiently large to detect the MA of all n -dimensional shapes whose inner radius is no greater than R , the inner radius of a shape \mathcal{S} being the radius of a largest ball included in \mathcal{S} .

The algorithms to compute both LUT and the neighbourhood \mathcal{M}_R^n are given in [14] for d_E^2 and [13] for chamfer norms, in any dimension n . The extraction of MA from DT using a precomputed \mathcal{M}_R^n and LUT is linear in $N * \text{card}(\mathcal{M}_R^n)$. The computation of the LUT is linear in N for each vector in \mathcal{M}_R^n . A fast algorithm to compute the test neighbourhood in the case of 2-dimensional chamfer norms, using the polytope description of discs, is proposed in [9]. The computation of \mathcal{M}_R^n is time consuming in the case of the Euclidean distance, but \mathcal{M}_R^n can be calculated once for all bounded size images and stored at low memory cost (while LUTs can be huge and might be recomputed each time).

Alternative medial axes were recently proposed. In [12], an efficient algorithm, based on [14], computes the Higher Medial Axis (HMA), a medial axis for d_E^2 in a doubled resolution grid, which permits the application of further homotopic thinning for the computation of homotopic skeletons. A new linear-time algorithm in [6], inspired by [7], provides the Reduced Medial Axis (RMA) for d_E^2 ; the basic idea is to filter a set of maximal Euclidean paraboloids; while maximal balls and maximal paraboloids coincide in the continuous case, this is not true in the discrete space, and the resulting RMA is generally different from MA.

In the LUT method, experiments in [14, 13] show completely different properties of \mathcal{M}_R^n depending on the distance used. For any given chamfer norm, \mathcal{M}_R^n

is bounded, but can have non-visible vectors (a vector is said to be visible if its coordinates are coprime). Concerning d_E^2 , \mathcal{M}_R^n is unbounded; moreover, \mathcal{M}_R^n has only visible vectors, and progressively, all visible vectors seem to appear in \mathcal{M}_R^n when R grows. This is summarized by a conjecture in [14], which claims that \mathcal{M}_R^n tends to the set of visible vectors of \mathbb{Z}^n when R tends to infinity.

In this paper, we are interested to prove the above conjecture. The difficulty lies in the fact that the algorithm in [14] neither explains why a vector might be selected or not for a radius R , nor gives information about the order of appearance of vectors in \mathcal{M}_R^n . To our knowledge, there is no closed formula for \mathcal{M}_R^n and LUT. Also, intuition might be distorted by geometry in Euclidean space. We have to deal with *discrete* Euclidean disks, with overlappings of smallest or largest disks including or excluding discrete points. In order to insure existence of points in some situation, we will construct family of shapes, involving sometimes huge disks. The reader does not need to be fully acquainted with the DT and LUT algorithms, since neither of them play a part in our proof.

After some definitions and recalls in Section 2, we tackle the proof in Section 3: we show the unicity of \mathcal{M}_R^n for every R , then we prove that non-visible vectors are superfluous, and finally, that all visible vectors are gradually necessary when R grows. We conclude after a discussion in Section 4.

2 Definitions

2.1 The discrete space \mathbb{Z}^n

In the following, we consider \mathbb{Z}^n both as a n -dimensional \mathbb{Z} -module (i.e., a discrete vector space) and as its associated affine space; we set $\mathbb{Z}_*^n = \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$. A *shape* \mathcal{S} is a subset of points of \mathbb{Z}^n . The complement of \mathcal{S} (also called *background*), denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$, is $\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \mathcal{S}$.

In the remainder of the paper, we only consider the Euclidean distance. Given two points x, y and a vector \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{Z}^n , we denote by $d_E(x, y)$ or xy the Euclidean distance between x and y , and $\|\mathbf{v}\|$ the Euclidean norm of \mathbf{v} . We write (xy) for the line in \mathbb{R}^n passing through x and y , and $[xy]$ for the line segment in \mathbb{R}^n joining x and y . The Cartesian coordinates of \mathbf{v} will be denoted by (v_1, \dots, v_n) . A vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is *visible from the origin* (visible for short) if $\exists \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_*^n, \lambda > 1$ such that $\mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{u}$, or equivalently, if $\gcd(v_1, \dots, v_n) = 1$. The set of all visible vectors of \mathbb{Z}^n is denoted by \mathcal{V}^n (note that $\vec{0}$ is not visible).

We call $\Sigma^n(x)$ the group of axial and diagonal symmetries in \mathbb{Z}^n about centre x . For a given x , the cardinal of the group is $\#\Sigma^n(x) = 2^n n!$ (which is 8, 48 and 384 for $n = 2, 3$ and 4). A shape \mathcal{S} is said to be *G-symmetrical* if for every $\sigma \in \Sigma^n(O)$ we have $\sigma(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}$. The *generator* of a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ (or \mathbb{R}^n) is

$$G(X) = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X : 0 \leq x_n \leq x_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq x_1 \}. \quad (1)$$

Figure 1 shows $G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $n = 2$ (an octant) and $n = 3$. A *G-cone* of \mathbb{Z}^n is, by definition, the image of $G(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ by a given symmetry σ in $\Sigma^n(O)$.

For any set of vectors $\mathbf{v}^1, \dots, \mathbf{v}^k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $C(\mathbf{v}^1, \dots, \mathbf{v}^k)$ stands for the relation: $\mathbf{v}^1, \dots, \mathbf{v}^k$ all lie in a common G -cone of \mathbb{Z}^n . We also denote by $\neg C(\mathbf{v}^1, \dots, \mathbf{v}^k)$




Figure 1: $G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $n = 2$ and 3 .

the contrary of the relation $C(\mathbf{v}^1, \dots, \mathbf{v}^k)$, i.e., $\exists 1 \leq i < j \leq k$ such that \mathbf{v}^i and \mathbf{v}^j do not lie in a same G-cone of \mathbb{Z}^n .

Finally, given a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we call $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ the *representative* of \mathbf{v} in $G(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, defined to be the vector in $G(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ verifying $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = \sigma(\mathbf{v})$ for some $\sigma \in \Sigma^n(O)$. According to the definition of the generator (1), $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ is unique for any \mathbf{v} and its coordinates can be computed by swapping the absolute values of the Cartesian coordinates of \mathbf{v} until they are decreasing.

2.2 Balls and Medial Axis

The *ball* of centre $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and radius $r \in \mathbb{R}$ is

$$\mathcal{B}(x, r) = \{ p \in \mathbb{Z}^n : d_E(x, p) \leq r \}. \quad (2)$$

Since we consider discrete balls, any ball has an infinite number of radii. Let $r, r' \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by \sim the equivalence relation :

$$r \sim r' \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}(O, r) = \mathcal{B}(O, r'). \quad (3)$$

The equivalence class of a given $r \in \mathbb{R}$ is the set of all $r' \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\mathcal{B}(O, r) = \mathcal{B}(O, r')$. We define the *representative radius* of a given ball B of centre $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ to be the smallest radius r for which $\mathcal{B}(x, r) = B$. As a consequence, the representative radius r is the only radius of its equivalence class for which there exists $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r^2 = a^2 + b^2$.

By definition, the considered balls are ascending by inclusion, i.e., such that

$$r \leq r' \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}(x, r) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(x, r'). \quad (4)$$

Let \mathcal{S} be a shape and $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We define $I_{\mathcal{S}}(x)$ to be the largest ball of centre x , included in \mathcal{S} (if $x \notin \mathcal{S}$, we have $I_{\mathcal{S}}(x) = \emptyset$). Analogously, we call $H_{\mathcal{S}}(x)$ the smallest ball of centre x , which contains \mathcal{S} . See an example in Figure 2.

The *inner radius* of a given shape \mathcal{S} , denoted by $\text{rad}(\mathcal{S})$, is the representative radius of a largest ball included in \mathcal{S} . We denote by $\text{CS}^n(R)$ the class of all n -dimensional shapes whose inner radius is less than or equal to R .




Figure 2: $H_S(x)$ and $I_S(x)$ for a shape \mathcal{S} (\mathcal{S} is represented by bullets).

A ball included in \mathcal{S} is called a *maximal ball* of \mathcal{S} if it is not included in any other ball included in \mathcal{S} . The *Medial Axis* (MA) of a shape \mathcal{S} is the set of centres (and radii) of all maximal balls of \mathcal{S} . The balls being ascending by inclusion, we have

$$x \in \text{MA}(\mathcal{S}) \Leftrightarrow x \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \forall x' \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{x\}, I_S(x) \not\subseteq I_S(x'). \quad (5)$$

If there exists $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_*^n$ such that $I_S(x) \subseteq I_S(x - \mathbf{v})$, we say that \mathbf{v} *forbids* x to be a medial axis point of \mathcal{S} .

In order to obtain the radii of all largest balls inside \mathcal{S} , we first compute the *Distance Transform* (DT for short) of \mathcal{S} , defined by

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \text{DT}(x) = \min\{d_E^2(x, p) : p \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}\}. \quad (6)$$

As d_E^2 is a discrete distance (i.e., whose values are in \mathbb{N}), then $\text{DT}(x) - 1$ is a squared radius of the ball $I_S(x)$. Although MA is computed on the Squared Euclidean Distance Transform (SEDT), which is stored as integer values, the result is actually the MA for d_E , since balls of d_E and d_E^2 (up to a squared radius) are equivalent.

Compared to the continuous case, no closed formula gives the minimal radius of a discrete ball containing another discrete ball, which is a major difficulty.

2.3 Minimum test neighbourhood \mathcal{M}_R^n

For a given shape \mathcal{S} , we need a test neighbourhood $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_*^n$ sufficient to detect, for every $x \in \mathcal{S}$, if x is a point of $\text{MA}(\mathcal{S})$. If $x \notin \text{MA}(\mathcal{S})$, then \mathcal{M} must contain at least one vector \mathbf{v} which forbids x to be a MA point. Otherwise, if $x \in \text{MA}(\mathcal{S})$, there is no $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ which satisfies $I_S(x) \subseteq I_S(x - \mathbf{v})$. Thus \mathcal{M} is sufficient for \mathcal{S} iff

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{S}, \left(x \notin \text{MA}(\mathcal{S}) \Rightarrow \exists \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{M}, I_S(x) \subseteq I_S(x - \mathbf{v}) \right). \quad (7)$$

We are interested in computing, for any shape \mathcal{S} , a *minimum* test neighbourhood \mathcal{M} . More generally, we shall look for a minimum test neighbourhood \mathcal{M}_R^n sufficient to detect the MA of all n -dimensional shapes whose inner radius is less than or equal to a given R , i.e., shapes in $\text{CS}^n(R)$.

3 Properties of the test neighbourhood \mathcal{M}_R^n

The following observation provides two criterions for excluding a point from the medial axis of a shape, and it will be extensively used in the following proofs. It is a consequence of the fact that the balls are ascending by inclusion, see (4).

Observation 1 *Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a shape, $x \in \mathcal{S}$, $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_*^n$, and let $B = I_{\mathcal{S}}(x)$. The following three sentences are equivalent :*

- (a) \mathbf{v} forbids x to be a MA point of \mathcal{S} ,
- (b) $B \subseteq I_{\mathcal{S}}(x - \mathbf{v})$,
- (c) $H_B(x - \mathbf{v}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

The equivalence (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) is the definition of \mathbf{v} forbidding x from $\text{MA}(\mathcal{S})$. We also clearly have (c) \Rightarrow (a). Finally we have (b) \Rightarrow (c) because $B \subseteq I_{\mathcal{S}}(x - \mathbf{v})$ implies that $H_B(x - \mathbf{v}) \subseteq I_{\mathcal{S}}(x - \mathbf{v})$, and so $B \subseteq H_B(x - \mathbf{v}) \subseteq I_{\mathcal{S}}(x - \mathbf{v}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

Remark 1 *In figures, we will often represent a discrete ball by the boundary of a continuous counterpart, i.e., a ball in \mathbb{R}^n having an equivalent radius. These figures might seem counter-intuitive, for if a discrete ball is included in another discrete ball, then their continuous counterparts may not satisfy the inclusion.*

3.1 Unicity of \mathcal{M}_R^n

We begin by proving the following proposition :

Proposition 1 *For every $R \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \geq 2$, there exists a unique test neighbourhood \mathcal{M}_R^n which is minimal by cardinality and sufficient to detect the medial axis of any shape in $\text{CS}^n(R)$.*

Proof. The existence of \mathcal{M}_R^n is guaranteed by the fact that \mathbb{Z}_*^n is a sufficient test neighbourhood for any n -dimensional shape.

Suppose that for a given $R \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \geq 2$, there exist two different test neighbourhoods \mathcal{M}_R^n and \mathcal{M}'_R^n , both minimal by cardinality. Hence there is at least one vector \mathbf{u} in $\mathcal{M}_R^n \setminus \mathcal{M}'_R^n$. Moreover, there exists a shape \mathcal{S} in $\text{CS}^n(R)$ and a point x in \mathcal{S} such that \mathbf{u} is the only vector in \mathcal{M}_R^n which forbids x to be in $\text{MA}(\mathcal{S})$, for otherwise \mathbf{u} could be removed from \mathcal{M}_R^n , which would contradict the minimality of \mathcal{M}_R^n .

We set $B = I_{\mathcal{S}}(x)$ and $H = H_B(x - \mathbf{u})$, see Figure 3. By Observation 1 we have $H \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, so $H \in \text{CS}^n(R)$. Since $\{x - \mathbf{u}\}$ is the MA of H (a ball) and $\mathbf{u} \notin \mathcal{M}'_R^n$, there exists a vector $\mathbf{u}' \neq \mathbf{u}$ in \mathcal{M}'_R^n which forbids x to be in $\text{MA}(H)$.

Now, consider $H' = H_B(x - \mathbf{u}')$. Since \mathbf{u}' forbids x from $\text{MA}(H)$, observation 1 yields $H' \subseteq H$. Furthermore, H and H' do not coincide and balls are ascending by inclusion, therefore $H \not\subseteq H'$. Again by Observation 1, it follows that \mathbf{u} does not forbid x to be in $\text{MA}(H')$. In consequence, there exists $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{u}$ in \mathcal{M}_R^n which forbids x from $\text{MA}(H')$. However, such a vector \mathbf{v} in \mathcal{M}_R^n also forbids x to be in $\text{MA}(\mathcal{S})$, contradicting the fact that \mathbf{u} is the only one. \square

Corollary 1 *If $0 \leq R \leq R'$ then $\mathcal{M}_R^n \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{R'}^n$.*




Figure 3: A shape \mathcal{S} , a ball $B = I_{\mathcal{S}}(x)$, and two balls $H = H_B(x - \mathbf{u})$, $H' = H_B(x - \mathbf{u}')$.

Proof. By definition, $\mathcal{M}_{R'}^n$ is large enough to detect the MA of any shape in $\text{CS}^n(R)$. Since \mathcal{M}_R^n is unique for every R , it follows easily that $\mathcal{M}_R^n \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{R'}^n$. \square

Corollary 2 For every $R \geq 0$ and $n \geq 2$, \mathcal{M}_R^n is G -symmetrical.

Proof. By Proposition 1, $G(\mathcal{M}_R^n)$ is unique. Moreover, the Euclidean balls are G -symmetrical, so $\forall \mathcal{S} \in \text{CS}^n(R), \forall \sigma \in \Sigma^n(O), \sigma(\mathcal{S}) \in \text{CS}^n(R)$. Therefore, \mathcal{M}_R^n is also G -symmetrical. \square

We now proceed in two steps in order to prove that \mathcal{M}_R^n tends to \mathcal{V}^n when R tends to infinity. First, we show in Section 3.2 that every \mathcal{M}_R^n contains visible vectors only. Second, we show in Section 3.3 that for each visible vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}^n$, there exists $R \in \mathbb{R}$ such that \mathbf{v} belongs to \mathcal{M}_R^n .

3.2 Non-visible vectors are superfluous

Proposition 2 Let x be a point in a given shape $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$, and $\mathbf{u}, \lambda\mathbf{u}$ be two vectors in \mathbb{Z}_*^n , with $n \geq 2$ and $\lambda > 1$. If $\lambda\mathbf{u}$ forbids x to be a point of $\text{MA}(\mathcal{S})$, then so does \mathbf{u} .

Proof. Set $\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{u}$, $x' = x - \mathbf{u}$, $x'' = x - \mathbf{v}$ and $B = I_{\mathcal{S}}(x)$ (see Figure 4). Let p, p' and p'' be points of B (arbitrarily chosen) that maximize the distance to x, x' and x'' respectively. So we have ¹

$$\forall z \in B, \quad xz \leq xp, \quad x'z \leq x'p' \quad \text{and} \quad x''z \leq x''p''. \quad (8)$$

Let $B' = \mathcal{B}(x', x'p')$ and $B'' = \mathcal{B}(x'', x''p'')$. By construction, $B' = H_B(x')$ and $B'' = H_B(x'')$.

The hypothesis that \mathbf{v} forbids x from $\text{MA}(\mathcal{S})$ is by Observation 1 equivalent to $B \subseteq I_{\mathcal{S}}(x'')$, or $B'' \subseteq \mathcal{S}$. To prove that \mathbf{u} forbids x from $\text{MA}(\mathcal{S})$, we have to show that $B \subseteq I_{\mathcal{S}}(x')$, or analogously, that $B' \subseteq \mathcal{S}$. It is thus sufficient to show that $B' \subseteq B''$. To complete the proof we need two preliminary lemmas.

¹Remember that ab stands for $d_E(a, b)$.




Figure 4: x , x' and x'' are collinear. \mathcal{S} is not shown. The figure may seem counter-intuitive, see Remark 1.

Let \mathcal{P} be the hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^n orthogonal to \mathbf{u} and containing p' , and let \mathcal{P}^+ be the closed half-space delimited by \mathcal{P} and which does not contain x' , we have

$$\mathcal{P}^+ = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{u} \cdot \overrightarrow{p'z} \geq 0 \right\}. \quad (9)$$

Lemma 1 *If $z \in B'$ and $z \in \mathcal{P}^+$ then $z \in B$.*

Proof. Since $z \in B'$, we have $(x'z)^2 \leq (x'p')^2$. By inserting $\mathbf{u} = \overrightarrow{x'x}$ we obtain $(\mathbf{u} + \overrightarrow{xz})^2 \leq (\mathbf{u} + \overrightarrow{xp'})^2$. Hence $xz^2 + 2\mathbf{u} \cdot \overrightarrow{xz} \leq xp'^2 + 2\mathbf{u} \cdot \overrightarrow{xp'}$, so

$$xz^2 + 2\mathbf{u} \cdot \overrightarrow{p'z} \leq xp'^2. \quad (10)$$

Since $z \in \mathcal{P}^+$, we have $\mathbf{u} \cdot \overrightarrow{p'z} \geq 0$ by (9), therefore (10) gives $xz^2 \leq xp'^2$, so $xz \leq xp'$. Furthermore, $p' \in B$ by definition, so $xp' \leq xp$. Thus $xz \leq xp$, and so $z \in B$. \square

Lemma 2 *Suppose $\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{u}$ with $1 < \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. If $z \in B'$ and $z \notin B''$ then $z \in \mathcal{P}^+$.*

Proof. Since $z \in B'$, we have $x'z \leq x'p'$. By inserting $\mathbf{u} = \overrightarrow{x'x}$ we can write $(\mathbf{u} + \overrightarrow{xz})^2 \leq (\mathbf{u} + \overrightarrow{xp'})^2$, so

$$xz^2 + 2\mathbf{u} \cdot \overrightarrow{xz} \leq xp'^2 + 2\mathbf{u} \cdot \overrightarrow{xp'}. \quad (11)$$

We also suppose $z \notin B''$, so $x''p'' < x''z$. Since by definition $p' \in B \subseteq B''$, we have $x''p' \leq x''p''$, thus $x''p' < x''z$. By inserting $\mathbf{v} = \overrightarrow{x''x}$ we have $(\mathbf{v} + \overrightarrow{xp'})^2 < (\mathbf{v} + \overrightarrow{xz})^2$ and so

$$xp'^2 + 2\mathbf{v} \cdot \overrightarrow{xp'} < xz^2 + 2\mathbf{v} \cdot \overrightarrow{xz}. \quad (12)$$

By adding (11) and (12) we obtain $\mathbf{u} \cdot \overrightarrow{xz} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \overrightarrow{xp'} < \mathbf{u} \cdot \overrightarrow{xp'} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \overrightarrow{xz}$, thus $\mathbf{u} \cdot \overrightarrow{p'z} < \mathbf{v} \cdot \overrightarrow{p'z}$. Provided $\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{u}$, $\lambda > 1$, it follows that $(\lambda - 1)\mathbf{u} \cdot \overrightarrow{p'z} > 0$, so $\mathbf{u} \cdot \overrightarrow{p'z} > 0$, and $z \in \mathcal{P}^+$. \square

These two lemmas allow us to complete the proof of Proposition 2; remember we want to establish the inclusion $B' \subseteq B''$. Suppose that there exists a point z in $B' \setminus B''$. According to Lemma 2, we have $z \in \mathcal{P}^+$. So $z \in B'$ and $z \in \mathcal{P}^+$, therefore by Lemma 1 we get $z \in B$. Thus $z \in B$ and $z \notin B''$, but by construction $B \subseteq B''$, a contradiction. \square

Proposition 3 *No \mathcal{M}_R^n contains non-visible vectors.*

Proof. Let \mathbf{u} be a visible vector and $\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{u}$, with $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda > 1$. To obtain a contradiction, suppose there exists a radius R for which $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{M}_R^n$. According to Proposition 2, \mathbf{v} can be replaced with \mathbf{u} in \mathcal{M}_R^n . If \mathbf{u} is already in \mathcal{M}_R^n then \mathbf{v} is useless and \mathcal{M}_R^n is not minimal. Else, $\mathcal{M}'_R^n = (\mathcal{M}_R^n \setminus \{\mathbf{v}\}) \cup \{\mathbf{u}\}$ has the same cardinality as \mathcal{M}_R^n , and is therefore another minimal neighbourhood, contradicting unicity in Proposition 1. \square

3.3 All visible vectors are gradually necessary

We first need three preliminary lemmas. The first two will help defining the so-called candidates of a given visible vector \mathbf{v} , a set of vectors of *finite cardinality* which will be sufficient to consider when we will prove that \mathbf{v} appears in some \mathcal{M}_R^n .

Lemma 3 *Let $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{*}^n$, with $n \geq 2$. If $\neg C(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ then $\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\| < \|\tilde{\mathbf{u}} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}\|$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume $\mathbf{u} \in G(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and $\mathbf{v} \notin G(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. We define the following transformation g :

- ▷ If $\exists i \leq n$ such that $v_i < 0$, then $g(\mathbf{v}) = (|v_1|, \dots, |v_n|)$.
- ▷ Else, if there exist at least two indexes $i < j \leq n$ such that $v_i < v_j$ and $u_i > u_j$, then $g(\mathbf{v}) = (v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_j, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_{j-1}, v_i, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_n)$.
- ▷ Else, we have $\mathbf{v} \in G(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, so we set $g(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}$.

All the coordinates of \mathbf{u} are positive so if the first case applies, then clearly $\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\| < \|\mathbf{u} + g(\mathbf{v})\|$. If the second case applies, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u} + g(\mathbf{v})\|^2 - \|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\|^2 &= (u_i + v_j)^2 + (u_j + v_i)^2 - (u_i + v_i)^2 - (u_j + v_j)^2 \\ &= 2(u_i - u_j)(v_j - v_i), \end{aligned}$$

which is strictly positive. $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ is constructed from \mathbf{v} by repeated applications of g , at least once. Finally we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\| < \|\mathbf{u} + g(\mathbf{v})\| < \|\mathbf{u} + g(g(\mathbf{v}))\| < \dots < \|\mathbf{u} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}\|.$$

\square

The lemma still holds if we consider three or more vectors, the hypothesis being that at least two of them do not satisfy the relation C . The method of proof is unchanged.

Lemma 4 Let \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} be two vectors in \mathbb{Z}_*^n and B be a discrete ball of centre $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, with $n \geq 2$. Let $B' = H_B(x - \mathbf{u})$ and $B'' = H_B(x - \mathbf{v})$. If $\neg C(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u})$ then $B' \not\subseteq B''$.

Proof. The procedure is to find a point q in $B' \setminus B''$. Let $x' = x - \mathbf{u}$, $x'' = x - \mathbf{v}$, $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u} = \overrightarrow{x''x'}$ (see Figure 5).

Without loss of generality, we can assume $\mathbf{w} \in G(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Let p be a point (arbitrarily chosen) of B which maximizes its distance to x'' , and set $\mathbf{z} = p - x$. By construction, $x''p = \|\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{z}\|$ is the representative radius of B'' . Now consider $q = x' + \tilde{\mathbf{u}} + \tilde{\mathbf{z}}$. We have $x'q = \|\tilde{\mathbf{u}} + \tilde{\mathbf{z}}\|$ and $x''q = \|\overrightarrow{x''x'} + \overrightarrow{x'q}\| = \|\mathbf{w} + \tilde{\mathbf{u}} + \tilde{\mathbf{z}}\|$. Let σ denote the symmetry in $\Sigma^n(x')$ satisfying $\sigma(\mathbf{u}) = \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$, and set $\tilde{B} = \sigma(B)$. Since σ preserves distances, $\tilde{B} \in B'$. Furthermore, $q \in \tilde{B}$, so $q \in B'$.

The hypothesis $\neg C(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ implies that \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{w} do not lie in a common G-cone, for otherwise \mathbf{v} would also lie in the same G-cone, since by definition $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}$. So we have $\neg C(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})$, therefore $\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}} + \tilde{\mathbf{u}} + \tilde{\mathbf{z}}\| > \|\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{z}\|$ by extension of Lemma 3 to three vectors.

Since $\mathbf{w} \in G(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, we have $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{w}$, hence

$$x''q = \|\mathbf{w} + \tilde{\mathbf{u}} + \tilde{\mathbf{z}}\| > \|\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{z}\| = x''p.$$

Since $x''p$ is the representative radius of B'' , we deduce that $q \notin B''$. Consequently, we have proved that $q \in B' \setminus B''$. \square




Figure 5: A point q in $B' \setminus B''$. For the sake of clarity only a quarter of B is drawn (black bullets). In general, \mathbf{z} may not be equal to $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$.

From now on, we call

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{v}) = \left\{ \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_*^n : \mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{v} \text{ and } C(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) \right\} \quad (13)$$

the set of the *candidates* of a given visible vector \mathbf{v} . Geometrically speaking, the candidates of \mathbf{v} are a finite set of vectors which are smaller than \mathbf{v} and angularly close to \mathbf{v} . An example of candidates in the 2-dimensional case is given in Figure 6.




Figure 6: The set $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{v})$ of candidates (represented by bullets) of a visible vector \mathbf{v} .

The following lemma will be central to prove that every visible vector belongs to some \mathcal{M}_R^n . Let B be a ball of centre x and radius r . If the working space was \mathbb{R}^n instead of \mathbb{Z}^n , we would naturally have $\text{rad}(H_B(x - \mathbf{v})) = r + \|\mathbf{v}\|$. This is generally false in the discrete space. However, the lemma states that in the discrete space, this equality tends to be satisfied when r tends to infinity.

Lemma 5 *Let \mathbf{v} be a vector in \mathbb{Z}_*^n (with $n \geq 2$), $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Let r' denote a radius of the smallest discrete ball of centre $x' = x - \mathbf{v}$ which contains $B(x, r)$. Then we have*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} r + \|\mathbf{v}\| - r' = 0.$$

Proof. Let p be the furthest point from x included in $B(x, r)$ verifying $p = x + \lambda \mathbf{v}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Figure 7). There exists a vector in \mathbb{Z}^n (say \mathbf{z}) orthogonal to \mathbf{v} whose norm is no greater than that of \mathbf{v} : for instance take $\mathbf{z} = (-v_2, v_1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Let $q \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the furthest point from p included in $B(x, r)$ which satisfies $q = p + k \cdot \mathbf{z}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$; and let $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the point satisfying both $xt = r$ and $t = p + l \cdot \mathbf{z}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{R}_+$. We have

$$pq \geq pt - \|\mathbf{z}\| \geq pt - \|\mathbf{v}\|. \quad (14)$$

Since \mathbf{z} is orthogonal to \mathbf{v} , we have

$$pt^2 = xt^2 - xp^2, \quad (15)$$

and also

$$r'^2 \geq x'q^2 = x'p^2 + pq^2. \quad (16)$$

Substituting (14) and (15) into (16) yields

$$r'^2 \geq x'p^2 + (\sqrt{xt^2 - xp^2} - \|\mathbf{v}\|)^2. \quad (17)$$

Replacing $x'p$ by $xp + \|\mathbf{v}\|$ and xt by r , we can rewrite (17) as

$$\begin{aligned} r'^2 &\geq (xp + \|\mathbf{v}\|)^2 + (\sqrt{r^2 - xp^2} - \|\mathbf{v}\|)^2 \\ &\geq (xp + \|\mathbf{v}\|)^2 + r^2 - xp^2 + \mathbf{v}^2 - 2\|\mathbf{v}\|\sqrt{r^2 - xp^2} \\ &\geq \|\mathbf{v}\|(2xp + \|\mathbf{v}\|) + r^2 + \mathbf{v}^2 - 2\|\mathbf{v}\|\sqrt{r^2 - xp^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

By construction we have $xp > r - \|\mathbf{v}\|$, hence

$$r^2 - xp^2 < r^2 - (r - \|\mathbf{v}\|)^2 < 2\|\mathbf{v}\|r.$$

Using the last two inequalities, we can develop (18) into

$$\begin{aligned} r'^2 &\geq \|\mathbf{v}\|(2r - \|\mathbf{v}\|) + r^2 + \mathbf{v}^2 - 2\|\mathbf{v}\|\sqrt{2\|\mathbf{v}\|r} \\ &\geq r^2 + 2\|\mathbf{v}\|r - 2\|\mathbf{v}\|\sqrt{2\|\mathbf{v}\|r}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

With the notation $\Delta(r) = r + \|\mathbf{v}\| - r'$, we deduce from (19) that

$$\Delta(r) \leq r + \|\mathbf{v}\| - \sqrt{(r + \|\mathbf{v}\|)^2 - 2\|\mathbf{v}\|\sqrt{2\|\mathbf{v}\|r} - \mathbf{v}^2}.$$

A Taylor development at first order of the right-hand side of this expression gives

$$\Delta(r) \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{v}\| \cdot \sqrt{2\|\mathbf{v}\|}}{\sqrt{r}} + o\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \text{ when } r \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Consequently, the limit of $\Delta(r)$ is 0 when $r \rightarrow +\infty$. \square




Figure 7: A ball B of centre x and radius r , and the ball $B' = H_B(x - \mathbf{v})$.

Proposition 4 *For every $n \geq 2$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}^n$, there exists $R \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{M}_R^n$.*

Proof. Let \mathbf{v} be a given visible vector in \mathcal{V}^n , and $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The basic idea of the proof is to construct a shape $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that \mathbf{v} is the only vector which forbids x to be in the medial axis of \mathcal{S} , i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} I_{\mathcal{S}}(x) \subseteq I_{\mathcal{S}}(x - \mathbf{v}) \\ \forall \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_*^n \setminus \{\mathbf{v}\}, I_{\mathcal{S}}(x) \not\subseteq I_{\mathcal{S}}(x - \mathbf{u}). \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

Equivalently, if we consider $B = I_S(x)$, and according to Observation 1, we have to show that

$$\begin{cases} H_B(x - \mathbf{v}) \subseteq \mathcal{S} \\ \forall \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_*^n \setminus \{\mathbf{v}\}, H_B(x - \mathbf{u}) \not\subseteq \mathcal{S}. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ set $p_k = x + k \cdot \mathbf{v}$, $B_k = \mathcal{B}(x, k \|\mathbf{v}\|)$ and $\mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{B}(x - \mathbf{v}, (k+1)\|\mathbf{v}\|)$. An example is given in Figure 8. Since $\text{rad}(H_{B_k}(x - \mathbf{v})) \leq \text{rad}(B_k) + \|\mathbf{v}\|$ and $p_k \in \mathcal{S}_k$, we have $\mathcal{S}_k = H_{B_k}(x - \mathbf{v})$.

For any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_*^n$ let $B_k^{\mathbf{u}} = H_{B_k}(x - \mathbf{u})$. According to Lemma 4, $\neg C(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u})$ implies that $B_k^{\mathbf{u}} \not\subseteq \mathcal{S}_k$, hence \mathbf{u} does not forbid x from $\text{MA}(\mathcal{S}_k)$. Therefore, to complete the proof, we need only consider $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{v})$ (the candidates of \mathbf{v}) and find an integer γ for which

$$\forall \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{v}), B_\gamma^{\mathbf{u}} \not\subseteq \mathcal{S}_\gamma. \quad (22)$$

For any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{v})$, let $\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{u})$ denote the 2-dimensional plane in \mathbb{R}^n containing x , \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{u} . We also denote by \mathcal{P}_k the hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^n orthogonal to \mathbf{v} and which contains p_k . By construction, p_k is the only point in $\mathcal{S}_k \cap \mathcal{P}_k$. Set $x' = x - \mathbf{u}$, let q_k denote the orthogonal projection of x' onto \mathcal{P}_k , and let t_k be the point verifying $x't_k = \text{rad}(B_k^{\mathbf{u}})$ and $t_k = p_k + \lambda \overrightarrow{p_k q_k}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Note that in general, q_k and t_k are not points of \mathbb{Z}^n . We can write

$$(q_k t_k)^2 = (x' t_k)^2 - (x' q_k)^2. \quad (23)$$

By projecting \mathbf{u} onto \mathbf{v} we can rewrite $x' q_k$ as $x p_k + \|\mathbf{u}\| \cos(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} (q_k t_k)^2 &= (x' t_k)^2 - (x p_k + \|\mathbf{u}\| \cos(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}))^2 \\ &= (x' t_k + x p_k + \|\mathbf{u}\| \cos(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}))(x' t_k - x p_k - \|\mathbf{u}\| \cos(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Since $x p_k$ and $x' t_k$ are respectively the radii of B_k and $B_k^{\mathbf{u}}$, Lemma 5 shows that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x' t_k - x p_k = \|\mathbf{u}\|. \quad (25)$$

Since $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{v})$, the vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are not collinear with each other, and $0 < \cos(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) < 1$. So, combining (24) and (25), we deduce that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} q_k t_k = +\infty. \quad (26)$$

Each line $(p_k q_k)$ passes through infinitely many points of \mathbb{Z}^n , because $p_k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and there exists at least one discrete vector $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{u}) \cap \mathcal{P}_k$, for example take $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{u} \wedge \mathbf{v}) \wedge \mathbf{v}$. Moreover, the integer points on the line segment $[q_k t_k]$ are in $B_k^{\mathbf{u}} \setminus \mathcal{S}_k$ since $[q_k t_k] \subseteq \mathcal{P}_k$ and $\mathcal{P}_k \cap \mathcal{S}_k = p_k$. On account of (26) and the above remarks, it follows that

$$\forall \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{v}), \exists k_{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbb{N}, \forall k > k_{\mathbf{u}}, B_k^{\mathbf{u}} \not\subseteq \mathcal{S}_k. \quad (27)$$

Finally, we see that taking $\gamma = \max\{k_{\mathbf{u}} : \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{v})\}$ is sufficient to satisfy (22). The existence of γ is ensured by the fact that $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{v})$ is bounded for every \mathbf{v} . \square

We can now formulate our main result. By propositions 3 and 4, we have shown:

Theorem 1 *The minimum test neighbourhood \mathcal{M}_R^n sufficient to detect the discrete Euclidean medial axis of any shape in $\text{CS}^n(R)$ satisfies $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{M}_R^n = \mathcal{V}^n$.*




Figure 8: $B_k, B_k^u, \mathcal{S}_k$ in the plane $\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{u})$, for $k = 2$.

4 Discussion

4.1 On the density of \mathcal{V}^n

Let \mathbf{v} be a vector in \mathbb{Z}^n , selected at random. The probability $p(n)$ that \mathbf{v} is visible is given by $\frac{1}{\zeta(n)}$ (see [16]), where $\zeta(n)$ is the Riemann zeta function, defined for any integer n by

$$\zeta(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k^n}.$$

For the two-dimensional case this gives

$$p(2) = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \approx 0.61.$$

In higher dimensions we have $p(3) \approx 0.83$, $p(4) \approx 0.92$. Moreover, $p(n)$ grows with n and tends to 1 when $n \rightarrow +\infty$. So we see that the probability that a point (selected at random) belongs to some \mathcal{M}_R^n is quite high.

4.2 On the appearance radii

The *appearance radius* of a given vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}^n$, denoted by $R(\mathbf{v})$, is defined to be the smallest Euclidean radius $R \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{M}_R^n$. We have seen above that \mathcal{V}^n is quite dense in \mathbb{Z}^n ; however experiments in [14] show that the appearance radius of a given vector \mathbf{v} is much greater than the Euclidean norm of \mathbf{v} . For example in the 2-dimensional case, it seems that the appearance radius of a given vector \mathbf{v} is always greater than the squared norm of \mathbf{v} .

Future work will be focused on bounding $R(\mathbf{v})$ or $\text{card}(\mathcal{M}_R^n)$. For instance, for a given dimension n , if there exists a function f such that $\|\mathbf{v}\| \leq f(R(\mathbf{v}))$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}^n$, then the set of all vectors whose norm is no greater than $f(R)$ will

be a sufficient test neighbourhood to detect the medial axis of any $\mathcal{S} \in \text{CS}^n(R)$. This way, it will not be necessary to precalculate \mathcal{M}_R^n before extracting the medial axis.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have established a relation between the set of visible vectors \mathcal{V}^n and the minimum test neighbourhood \mathcal{M}_R^n able to detect the discrete Euclidean medial axis of any shape in \mathbb{Z}^n whose inner radius is no greater than R .

The property that non-visible vectors are superfluous allows to speed up the computation of \mathcal{M}_R^n . The fact that \mathcal{M}_R^n is unbounded when R grows is specific to the Euclidean distance, and seems to be false for all chamfer distances. This must be linked to the fact that the discrete Euclidean balls may have an indefinitely large number of faces, whereas the balls of a given chamfer distance are polyhedra with a constant number of faces.

In future works, we hope to improve the characterization of \mathcal{M}_R^n . In particular, we would like to find a lower bound for the appearance radius of any given visible vector. We are also interested in reducing the set of candidates of a given visible vector \mathbf{v} (a set of vectors sufficient to consider when computing the appearance radius of \mathbf{v}), so as to improve the computation complexity of \mathcal{M}_R^n .

References

- [1] C. Arcelli and G. Sanniti di Baja, Finding local maxima in a pseudo-Euclidean distance transform. *Computer Vision, Graphics and Image Processing*, 43:361–367, 1988.
- [2] H. Blum, A transformation for extracting new descriptors of shape. In W. Wathen-Dunn, editor, *Models for the Perception of Speech and Visual Form*, pages 362–380, Cambridge, 1967. MIT Press.
- [3] G. Borgefors, Distance transformations in arbitrary dimensions. *Computer Vision, Graphics and Image Processing*, 27:321–345, 1984.
- [4] G. Borgefors, I. Ragnemalm, and G. Sanniti di Baja, The Euclidean Distance Transform : finding the local maxima and reconstructing the shape. In 7th Scandinavian Conf. on Image Analysis, volume 2, pages 974–981, Aalborg, Denmark, 1991.
- [5] G. Borgefors, Centres of maximal disks in the 5-7-11 distance transform. In 8th Scandinavian Conf. on Image Analysis, pages 105–111, Tromsø, Norway, 1993.
- [6] D. Coeurjolly, d-Dimensional Reverse Euclidean Distance Transformation and Euclidean Medial Axis Extraction in Optimal Time. In 11th DGCI, volume 2886 of LNCS, Springer-Verlag, pages 327–337, Naples, Italy, Nov 2003.

- [7] T. Hirata, A unified linear-time algorithm for computing distance maps. *Information Processing letters*, 58(3):129–133, 1996.
- [8] U. Montanari, A method for obtaining skeletons using a quasi-euclidean distance. *Journal of ACM*, 15:600–624, 1968.
- [9] N. Normand and P. Évenou, Medial Axis LUT Computation for Chamfer Norms Using H-Polytopes. In *14th Discrete Geometry for Computer Imagery*, volume 4992 of LNCS, Springer-Verlag, pages 189–200, Lyon, France, Apr 2008.
- [10] J.L. Pfaltz and A. Rosenfeld, Computer representation of planar regions by their skeletons. *Comm. of ACM*, 10:119–125, feb 1967.
- [11] T. Saito et J.I. Toriwaki, New algorithms for Euclidean distance transformation of an n -dimensional digitized picture with applications. *Pattern Recognition*, 27(11):1551–1565, 1994.
- [12] A.V. Saúde, M. Couprie, and R. Lotufo, Exact Euclidean Medial Axis in Higher Resolution. In *13th Discrete Geometry for Computer Imagery*, volume 4245 of LNCS, Springer-Verlag, pages 605–616, Szeged, Hungary, Oct 2006.
- [13] E. Rémy and E. Thiel, Medial Axis for Chamfer Distances: computing LUT and Neighbourhoods in 2D or 3D. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 23(6):649–661, 2002.
- [14] E. Rémy and E. Thiel, Exact Medial Axis with Euclidean Distance. *Image and Vision Computing*, 23(2):167–175, 2005.
- [15] A. Rosenfeld and J.L. Pfaltz, Sequential operations in digital picture processing. *Journal of ACM*, 13(4):471–494, 1966.
- [16] <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/VisiblePoint.html>