

Partial cubes without Q_3^- minors

Victor Chepoi¹, Kolja Knauer¹, and Tilen Marc²

¹Laboratoire d'Informatique Fondamentale, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS,
Faculté des Sciences de Luminy, F-13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France
{victor.chepoi, kolja.knauer}@lif.univ-mrs.fr

² Institute of Mathematics, Physics, and Mechanics,
Jadranska 19, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
tilen.marc@imfm.si

Abstract. We investigate the structure of isometric subgraphs of hypercubes (i.e., partial cubes) which do not contain finite convex subgraphs contractible to the 3-cube minus one vertex Q_3^- (here contraction means contracting the edges corresponding to the same coordinate of the hypercube). Extending similar results for median and cellular graphs, we show that the convex hull of an isometric cycle of such a graph is gated and isomorphic to the Cartesian product of edges and even cycles. Furthermore, we show that our graphs are exactly the class of partial cubes in which any finite convex subgraph can be obtained from the Cartesian products of edges and even cycles via successive gated amalgams. This decomposition result enables us to establish a variety of results. In particular, it yields that our class of graphs generalizes median and cellular graphs, which motivates naming our graphs hypercellular. Furthermore, we show that hypercellular graphs are tope graphs of zonotopal complexes of oriented matroids. Finally, we characterize hypercellular graphs as being median-cell – a property naturally generalizing the notion of median graphs.

1. INTRODUCTION

Partial cubes are the graphs which admit an isometric embedding into a hypercube. They comprise many important and complex graph classes occurring in metric graph theory and initially arising in completely different areas of research. Among them there are the graphs of regions of hyperplane arrangements in \mathbb{R}^d [15], and, more generally, tope graphs of oriented matroids (OMs) [16], median graphs (alias 1-skeleta of CAT(0) cube complexes) [7,30], netlike graphs [37–40], bipartite cellular graphs [5], bipartite graphs with S_4 convexity [23], graphs of lopsided sets [8,36], 1-skeleta of CAT(0) Coxeter zonotopal complexes [33], and tope graphs of complexes of oriented matroids (COMs) [9]. COMs represent a general unifying structure for many of the above classes of partial cubes: from tope graphs of OMs to median graphs, lopsided sets, cellular graphs, and graphs of CAT(0) Coxeter zonotopal complexes. Median graphs are obtained by gluing in a specific way cubes of different dimensions. In particular, they give rise not only to contractible but also to CAT(0) cube complexes. Similarly, lopsided sets yield contractible cube complexes, while cellular graphs give contractible polygonal complexes whose cells are regular even polygons. Analogously to median graphs, graphs of CAT(0) Coxeter zonotopal complexes can be viewed as partial cubes obtained by gluing zonotopes. COMs can be viewed as a common generalization of all these notions: their

tope graphs are the partial cubes obtained by gluing tope graphs of OMs in a lopsided (and thus contractible) fashion.

In this paper, we investigate the structure of a subclass of zonotopal COMs, in which all cells are gated subgraphs isomorphic to Cartesian products of edges and even cycles, see Figure 1(a) for such a cell. More precisely, we study the partial cubes in which all finite convex subgraphs can be obtained from Cartesian products of edges and even cycles by successive gated amalgamations. We show that our graphs share and extend many properties of bipartite cellular graphs of [5]; they can be viewed as high-dimensional analogs of cellular graphs. This is why we call them *hypercellular graphs*, see Figure 1(b) for an example. There is another way of describing hypercellular graphs, requiring a few definitions.




FIGURE 1. (a) a four-dimensional cell isomorphic to $C_6 \times C_6$. (b) a hypercellular graph with eight maximal cells: C_6 , C_4 , C_4 , $K_2 \times K_2 \times K_2$, $C_6 \times K_2$, and three K_2 .

Djoković [26] characterized partial cubes in the following simple but pretty way: *a graph $G = (V, E)$ can be isometrically embedded in a hypercube if and only if G is bipartite and for any edge uv , the sets $W(u, v) = \{x \in V : d(x, u) < d(x, v)\}$ and $W(v, u) = \{x \in V : d(x, v) < d(x, u)\}$ are convex.* In this case, $W(u, v) \cup W(v, u) = V$, whence $W(u, v)$ and $W(v, u)$ are complementary convex subsets of G , called *halfspaces*. The edges between $W(u, v)$ and $W(v, u)$ correspond to a coordinate in a hypercube embedding of G .

Moreover, partial cubes have the separation property S_3 : any convex subgraph G' of a partial cube G can be represented as an intersection of halfspaces of G [1, 4, 20]. We will call such a representation (or simply the convex subgraph G') a *restriction* of G . A *contraction* of G is the partial cube G' obtained from G by contracting all edges corresponding to a given coordinate in a hypercube embedding. Now, a partial cube H is called a *partial cube-minor* (abbreviated, *pc-minor*) of G if H can be obtained by a sequence of contractions from a convex subgraph of G . If T_1, \dots, T_m are finite partial cubes, then $\mathcal{F}(T_1, \dots, T_m)$ is the set of all partial cubes G such that *no* $T_i, i = 1, \dots, m$, can be obtained as a pc-minor of G . We

will say that a class of partial cubes \mathcal{C} is *pc-minor-closed* if we have that $G \in \mathcal{C}$ and G' is a minor of G imply that $G' \in \mathcal{C}$. As we will see in Section 2.2, for any set of partial cubes T_1, \dots, T_m , the class $\mathcal{F}(T_1, \dots, T_m)$ is pc-minor-closed.




FIGURE 2. (a) Q_3^- – the 3-cube minus one vertex. (b) the 3-cube condition.

It turns out that the class of hypercellular graphs coincides with the minor-closed class $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$, where Q_3^- denotes the 3-cube minus one vertex, see Figure 2(a). In a sense, this is the first nontrivial class $\mathcal{F}(T)$. Indeed, the class $\mathcal{F}(C)$, where C is a 4-cycle, is just the class of all trees. Also, the classes $\mathcal{F}(T)$ where T is the union of two 4-cycles sharing one vertex or one edge are quite special. Median graphs, graphs of lopsided sets, and tope graphs of COMs are pc-minor closed, whereas tope graphs of OMs are only closed under contractions but not under restrictions. Another class of pc-minor closed partial cubes is the class \mathcal{S}_4 also known as *Pasch graphs*. It consists of bipartite graphs in which the geodesic convexity satisfies the separation property S_4 [20, 23], i.e., any two disjoint convex sets can be separated by disjoint half-spaces. It is shown in [20, 23] that $\mathcal{S}_4 = \mathcal{F}(T_1, \dots, T_m)$, where all T_i are isometric subgraphs of Q_4 ; see Figure 3 for the complete list, from which T_6 was missing in [20, 23]. In particular, Q_3^- is a pc-minor of all of the T_i . Thus, $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_4$.

Our results mainly concern the cell-structure of graphs from $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$. It is well-known [2] that median graphs are exactly the graphs in which the convex hulls of isometric cycles are hypercubes; these hypercubes are gated subgraphs. Moreover, any finite median graph can be obtained by gated amalgams from cubes [32, 42]. Analogously, it was shown in [5] that any isometric cycle of a bipartite cellular graph is a convex and gated subgraph; moreover, the bipartite cellular graphs are exactly the bipartite graphs which can be obtained by gated amalgams from even cycles. We extend these results in the following way:

Theorem A. *The convex closure of any isometric cycle of a graph $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ is a gated subgraph isomorphic to a Cartesian product of edges and even cycles. Moreover, the convex closure of any isometric cycle of a graph $G \in \mathcal{S}_4$ is a gated subgraph, which is isomorphic to a Cartesian product of edges and even cycles if it is antipodal.*

In view of Theorem A we will call a subgraph X of a partial cube G a *cell* if X is a convex subgraph of G which is a Cartesian product of edges and even cycles. Note that




FIGURE 3. The set of minimal forbidden pc-minors of \mathcal{S}_4 .

since a Cartesian product of edges and even cycles is the convex hull of an isometric cycle, by Theorem A the cells of $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ can be equivalently defined as convex hulls of isometric cycles. Notice also that if we replace each cell X of G by a convex polyhedron $[X]$ which is the Cartesian product of segments and regular polygons (a segment for each edge-factor and a regular polygon for each cyclic factor), then we associate with G a cell complex $\mathbf{X}(G)$.

We will say that a partial cube G satisfies the *3-convex cycles condition* (abbreviated, *3CC-condition*) if for any three convex cycles C_1, C_2, C_3 that intersect in a vertex and pairwise intersect in three different edges the convex hull of $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ is a cell; see Figure 4 for an example. Notice that the absence of cycles satisfying the preconditions of the 3CC-condition together with the gatedness of isometric cycles characterizes bipartite cellular graphs [5].




FIGURE 4. The 3-convex cycles condition.

Defining the dimension of a cell X as the number of edge-factors plus two times the number cyclic factors (which corresponds to the topological dimension of $[X]$) one can give a natural

generalization of the 3CC-condition. We say that a partial cube G (or its cell complex $\mathbf{X}(G)$) satisfies the *3-cell condition* (abbreviated, *3C-condition*) if for any three cells X_1, X_2, X_3 of dimension $k+2$ that intersect in a cell of dimension k and pairwise intersect in three different cells of dimension $k+1$ the convex hull of $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$ is a cell. In case of cubical complexes \mathbf{X} , the 3-cell condition coincides with Gromov's flag condition [29] (which can be also called cube condition, see Figure 2(b)), which together with simply connectivity of \mathbf{X} characterize CAT(0) cube complexes. By [24, Theorem 6.1], median graphs are exactly the 1-skeleta of CAT(0) cube complexes (for other generalizations of these two results, see [14, 18]).

The following main characterization of graphs from $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ establishes those analogies with median and cellular graphs, that lead to the name hypercellular graphs.

Theorem B. *For a partial cube $G = (V, E)$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$, i.e., G is hypercellular;
- (ii) any cell of G is gated and G satisfies the 3CC-condition;
- (iii) any cell of G is gated and G satisfies the 3C-condition;
- (iv) each finite convex subgraph of G can be obtained by gated amalgams from cells.




FIGURE 5. (a) a median-vertex. (b) a median-cycle. (c) a median-cell.

A further characterization of hypercellular graphs is analogous to median and cellular graphs, see the corresponding properties in Figure 5(a) and 5(b), respectively. We show that hypercellular graphs satisfy the so-called *median-cell property*, which is essentially defined as follows: for any three vertices u, v, w of G there exists a unique gated cell X of G such that if u', v', w' are the gates of u, v, w in X , respectively, then u', v' lie on a common (u, v) -geodesic, v', w' lie on a common (v, w) -geodesic, and w', u' lie on a common (w, u) -geodesic, see Figure 5(c) for an illustration. Namely, we prove:

Theorem C. *A partial cube G satisfies the median-cell property if and only if G is hypercellular.*

Theorem B has several immediate consequences, which we formulate next.

Theorem D. *Let G be a locally finite hypercellular graph. Then $\mathbf{X}(G)$ is a contractible zonotopal complex. Additionally, if G is finite, then G is a tope graph of a zonotopal COM.*

Theorem B also immediately implies that median graphs and bipartite cellular graphs are hypercellular. Furthermore, a subclass of netlike partial cubes, namely partial cubes which are gated amalgams of even cycles and cubes [39], are hypercellular. In particular, we obtain that these three classes coincide with $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-, C_6)$, $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-, Q_3)$, and $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-, C_6 \times K_2)$, respectively. Other direct consequences of Theorem B concern convexity invariants (Helly, Caratheodory, Radon, and partition numbers) of hypercellular graphs which are shown to be either a constant or bounded by the topological dimension of $\mathbf{X}(G)$.

Let G be a hypercellular graph. For an equivalence class E_f of edges of G (i.e., all edges corresponding to a given coordinate f in a hypercube embedding of G), we denote by $N(E_f)$ the *carrier* of f , i.e., subgraph of G which is the union of all cells of G crossed by E_f . It was shown in [9, Proposition 5] that carriers of COMs are also COMs. A *star* $\text{St}(v)$ of a vertex v (or a star $\text{St}(X)$ of a cell X) is the union of all cells of G containing v (respectively, X). The *thickening* G^Δ of G is a graph having the same set of vertices as G and two vertices u, v are adjacent in G^Δ if and only if u and v belong to a common cell of G . Finally, a graph H is called a *Helly graph* if any collection of pairwise intersecting balls has a nonempty intersection. Helly graphs play an important role in metric graph theory as discrete analogs of injective spaces: any graph embeds isometrically into a smallest Helly graph (for this and other results, see the survey [7] and the recent paper [18]). It was shown in [12] that the thickening of median graphs are finitely Helly graphs (for a generalization of this result, see [18, Theorem 6.13]).

Theorem E. *Let G be a hypercellular graph. Then all carriers $N(E_f)$ and stars $\text{St}(X)$ of G are gated. If additionally G is locally-finite, then the thickening G^Δ of G is a Helly graph.*

Finally, we generalize fixed box theorems for median graphs to hypercellular graphs and prove that in this case the fixed box is a cell. More precisely, we conclude the paper with the following:

Theorem F. *Let G be a hypercellular graph.*

- (i) *if G does not contain infinite isometric rays, then G contains a cell X fixed by every automorphism of G ;*
- (ii) *any non-expansive map f from G to itself fixing a finite set of vertices (i.e., $f(S) = S$ for a finite set S) also fixes a finite cell X of G . In particular, if G is finite, then any non-expansive map f from G to itself fixes a cell of G ;*
- (iii) *if G is finite and regular, then G is a single cell, i.e., G is isomorphic to a Cartesian product of edges and cycles.*

Structure of the paper: In Section 2 we introduce preliminary definitions and results needed for this paper. In particular, we discuss convex and gated subgraphs in partial cubes, the notion of partial cube minors and their relation with convexity and gatedness. We also briefly discuss the properties of Cartesian products central to our work. Section 3 is devoted

to the structure of cells in hypercellular graphs and graphs from \mathcal{S}_4 ; in particular, we prove Theorem A. Section 4 is devoted to amalgamation and decomposition of hypercellular graphs; we prove Theorem B. In Section 5 we discuss the median cell property of hypercellular graphs and prove Theorem C. Section 6 provides a rich set of properties of hypercellular graphs. In Subsection 6.1 we expose relations to other classes of partial cubes and in particular prove Theorem D. Subsection 6.2 gives several properties with respect to convexity parameters. Subsection 6.3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem E. In Subsection 6.4 we prove several fixed cell results for hypercellular graphs, in particular, we prove Theorem F. We conclude the paper with several problems and conjectures in Section 7.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Metric subgraphs and partial cubes. All graphs $G = (V, E)$ occurring in this paper are simple, connected, without loops or multiple edges, but not necessarily finite. The *distance* $d(u, v) := d_G(u, v)$ between two vertices u and v is the length of a shortest (u, v) -path, and the *interval* $I(u, v)$ between u and v consists of all vertices on shortest (u, v) -paths, that is, of all vertices (metrically) *between* u and v :

$$I(u, v) := \{x \in V : d(u, x) + d(x, v) = d(u, v)\}.$$

An induced subgraph of G (or the corresponding vertex set A) is called *convex* if it includes the interval of G between any two of its vertices. Since the intersection of convex subgraphs is convex, for every subset $S \subseteq V$ there exists the smallest convex set $\text{conv}(S)$ containing S , referred to as the *convex hull* of S . An induced subgraph H of G is *isometric* if the distance between any pair of vertices in H is the same as that in G . In particular, convex subgraphs are isometric.

A subset W of V or the subgraph H of G induced by W is called *gated* (in G) [28] if for every vertex x outside H there exists a vertex x' (the *gate* of x) in H such that each vertex y of H is connected with x by a shortest path passing through the gate x' . It is easy to see that if x has a gate in H , then it is unique and that gated sets are convex. Gated sets enjoy the finite *Helly property* [43, Proposition 5.12 (2)], that is, every finite family of gated sets that pairwise intersect has a nonempty intersection. Since the intersection of gated subgraphs is gated, for every subset $S \subseteq V$ there exists the smallest gated set $\langle\langle S \rangle\rangle$ containing S , referred to as the *gated hull* of S . A graph G is a *gated amalgam* of two graphs G_1 and G_2 if G_1 and G_2 constitute two intersecting gated subgraphs of G whose union is all of G .

A graph $G = (V, E)$ is *isometrically embeddable* into a graph $H = (W, F)$ if there exists a mapping $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ such that $d_H(\varphi(u), \varphi(v)) = d_G(u, v)$ for all vertices $u, v \in V$, i.e., $\varphi(G)$ is an isometric subgraph of H . A graph G is called a *partial cube* if it admits an isometric embedding into some hypercube $Q(\Lambda) = \{-1, +1\}^\Lambda$. From now on, we will always suppose that a partial cube $G = (V, E)$ is an isometric subgraph of the hypercube $Q(\Lambda) = \{-1, +1\}^\Lambda$ (i.e., we will identify G with its image under the isometric embedding). If this causes no confusion, we will denote the distance function of G by d and not d_G .

For an edge $e = uv$ of G , define the sets $W(u, v) = \{x \in V : d(x, u) < d(x, v)\}$ and $W(v, u) = \{x \in V : d(x, v) < d(x, u)\}$. By Djoković's theorem [26], a graph G is a partial cube if and only if G is bipartite and for any edge $e = uv$ the sets $W(u, v)$ and $W(v, u)$ are convex. The sets of the form $W(u, v)$ and $W(v, u)$ are called *complementary halfspaces* of G . To establish an isometric embedding of G into a hypercube, Djoković [26] introduces the following binary relation Θ – called *Djoković-Winkler relation* – on the edges of G : for two edges $e = uv$ and $e' = u'v'$ we set $e\Theta e'$ iff $u' \in W(u, v)$ and $v' \in W(v, u)$. Under the conditions of the theorem, it can be shown that $e\Theta e'$ iff $W(u, v) = W(u', v')$ and $W(v, u) = W(v', u')$, whence Θ is an equivalence relation. Let $\mathcal{E} = \{E_i : i \in \Lambda\}$ be the equivalence classes of Θ and let b be an arbitrary fixed vertex taken as the basepoint of G . For an equivalence class $E_i \in \mathcal{E}$, let $\{H_i^-, H_i^+\}$ be the pair of complementary convex halfspaces of G defined by setting $H_i^- := W(u, v)$ and $H_i^+ := W(v, u)$ for an arbitrary edge $uv \in E_i$ with $b \in W(u, v)$.

2.2. Partial cube minors. Let $G = (V, E)$ be an isometric subgraph of the hypercube $Q(\Lambda) = \{-1, +1\}^\Lambda$. Given $f \in \Lambda$, an *elementary restriction* consists in taking one of the subgraphs $G(H_f^-)$ or $G(H_f^+)$ induced by the complementary halfspaces H_f^- and H_f^+ , which we will denote by $\rho_{f-}(G)$ and $\rho_{f+}(G)$, respectively. These graphs are isometric subgraphs of the hypercube $Q(\Lambda \setminus \{f\})$. Now applying twice the elementary restriction to two different coordinates f, g , independently of the order of f and g , we will obtain one of the four (possibly empty) subgraphs induced by the $H_f^- \cap H_g^-, H_f^- \cap H_g^+, H_f^+ \cap H_g^-,$ and $H_f^+ \cap H_g^+$. Since the intersection of convex subsets is convex, each of these four sets is convex in G and consequently induces an isometric subgraph of the hypercube $Q(\Lambda \setminus \{f, g\})$. More generally, a *restriction* is a subgraph of G induced by the intersection of a set of (non-complementary) halfspaces of G . We denote a restriction by $\rho_A(G)$, where $A \in \Lambda^{\{+, -\}}$ is a signed set of halfspaces of G . For subset S of the vertices of G , we denote $\rho_A(S) := \rho_A(G) \cap S$. The following is well-known:

Lemma 1 ([1, 4, 20]). *The set of restrictions of a partial cube G coincides with its set of convex subgraphs. In particular, the class of partial cubes is closed under taking restrictions.*

For $f \in \Lambda$, we say that the graph G/E_f obtained from G by contracting the edges of the equivalence class E_f is an (f -) *contraction* of G . For a vertex v of G , we will denote by $\pi_f(v)$ the image of v under the f -contraction in G/E_f , i.e., if uv is an edge of E_f , then $\pi_f(u) = \pi_f(v)$, otherwise $\pi_f(u) \neq \pi_f(v)$. We will apply π_f to subsets $S \subset V$, by setting $\pi_f(S) := \{\pi_f(v) : v \in S\}$. In particular we denote the f -contraction of G by $\pi_f(G)$.

It is well-known and easy to prove and in particular follows from the proof of the first part of [21, Theorem 3] that $\pi_f(G)$ is an isometric subgraph of $Q(\Lambda \setminus \{f\})$. Since edge contractions in graphs commute, i.e., the resulting graph does not depend on the order in which a set of edges is contracted, we have:

Lemma 2. *Contractions commute in partial cubes, i.e., if $f, g \in \Lambda$ and $f \neq g$, then $\pi_g(\pi_f(G)) = \pi_f(\pi_g(G))$. Moreover, the class of partial cubes is closed under contractions.*

Consequently, for a set $A \subset \Lambda$, we can denote by $\pi_A(G)$ the isometric subgraph of $Q(\Lambda \setminus A)$ obtained from G by contracting the classes $A \subset \Lambda$ in G .

A partial cube G is an *expansion* of a partial cube G' if $G' = \pi_f(G)$ for some equivalence class f of $\Theta(G)$. More generally, let G' be a graph containing two isometric subgraphs G'_1 and G'_2 such that $G' = G'_1 \cup G'_2$, there are no edges from $G'_1 \setminus G'_2$ to $G'_2 \setminus G'_1$, and $G'_0 := G'_1 \cap G'_2$ is nonempty. A graph G is an *isometric expansion* of G' with respect to G_0 (notation $G = \psi(G')$) if G is obtained from G' by replacing each vertex v of G'_1 by a vertex v_1 and each vertex v of G'_2 by a vertex v_2 such that u_i and v_i , $i = 1, 2$ are adjacent in G if and only if u and v are adjacent vertices of G'_i and v_1v_2 is an edge of G if and only if v is a vertex of G'_0 . The following is well-known:

Lemma 3 ([20,21]). *A graph G is a partial cube if and only if G can be obtained by a sequence of isometric expansions from a single vertex.*

Lemma 4. *Contractions and restrictions commute in partial cubes, i.e., if $f, g \in \Lambda$ and $f \neq g$, then $\rho_{g^+}(\pi_f(G)) = \pi_f(\rho_{g^+}(G))$.*

Proof. Let $f, g \in \Lambda$ and $f \neq g$. The crucial property is that E_g is an edge-cut of G and $E_g \cap E_f = \emptyset$. If we see vertices as sign vectors in the hypercube, the vertex set of $\pi_f(\rho_{g^+}(G))$ can be described as $\{x \in V(G) : x_g = +\} / E_f = \{x \in V(G) \setminus V(E_f) : x_g = +\} \cup \{xy \in E_f : x_g = y_g = +\}$. The vertex set of $\rho_{g^+}(\pi_f(G))$ is $\{x \in V(G) \setminus V(E_f)\} \cup \{xy \in E_f\} \setminus H_g^-$ which again equals $\{x \in V(G) \setminus V(E_f) : x_g = +\} \cup \{xy \in E_f : x_g = y_g = +\}$. Furthermore, identifying a vertex of the form $\{x, y\} \in E_f$ with the vector z arising from x or y by omitting the f -coordinate, adjacency is defined the same way in both graphs, namely by taking the induced subgraph of the hypercube. This concludes the proof. \square

The previous lemmas show that any set of restrictions and any set of contractions of a partial cube G provide the same result, independently of the order in which we perform the restrictions and contractions. The resulting graph G' is also a partial cube, and G' is called a *partial cube-minor* (or *pc-minor*) of G . In this paper we will study classes of partial cube excluding a given set of minors.

2.3. Partial cube minors versus metric subgraphs. In this section we present conditions under which contractions and restrictions preserve metric properties of subgraphs.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an isometric subgraph of the hypercube $Q(\Lambda)$ and let S be a subgraph of G . Let f be any coordinate of Λ . We will say that E_f *crosses* S iff $S \cap H_f^- \neq \emptyset$ and $S \cap H_f^+ \neq \emptyset$. We will say that E_f *osculates* S iff E_f does not cross S and there exists an edge $e = uv \in E_f$ such that $\{u, v\} \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Otherwise, we will say that E_f is *disjoint* from S .

Lemma 5. *If S is a convex subgraph of G and $f \in \Lambda$, then $\rho_{f^+}(S)$ is a convex subgraph of $\rho_{f^+}(G)$. If E_f crosses S or is disjoint from S , then also $\pi_f(S)$ is a convex subgraph of $\pi_f(G)$.*

Proof. Let S be convex. Then by Lemma 1, S can be written as $\rho_A(G)$, where A is a signed set of those Θ -classes that osculate with S . Again by Lemma 1, $\rho_{f^+}(S) = \rho_{f^+}(\rho_A(G))$ is a convex subgraph of $\rho_{f^+}(G)$, proving the first assertion. Now, if $f \in \Lambda \setminus A$, then $\pi_f(S) = \pi_f(\rho_A(G))$, which by Lemma 4 equals $\rho_A(\pi_f(G))$, i.e., $\pi_f(S)$ is a convex subgraph of $\pi_f(G)$. \square

Lemma 6. *If S' is a convex subgraph of G' and G is obtained from G' by an isometric expansion ψ , then $S := \psi(S')$ is a convex subgraph of G .*

Proof. Let $f \in \Lambda$ be such that $G' = \pi_f(G)$ and S' a convex subgraph of G' . By Lemma 1, there exists a signed set of Θ -classes $A \subset \Lambda \setminus \{f\}$, such that $S' = \rho_A(G') = \rho_A(\pi_f(G))$. By Lemma 4, $S' = \pi_f(\rho_A(G))$, thus $\rho_A(G) \subset S$. For every $g^+ \in A$, we have $\pi_f(\rho_{g^-}(G)) = \rho_{g^-}(G')$, thus it is disjoint with S . Then $S = \rho_A(G)$, which is convex by Lemma 1. \square

Lemma 7. *If S is a subset of vertices of G and $f \in \Lambda$, then $\pi_f(\text{conv}(S)) \subseteq \text{conv}(\pi_f(S))$. If E_f crosses S , then $\pi_f(\text{conv}(S)) = \text{conv}(\pi_f(S))$.*

Proof. Let $y' \in \pi_f(\text{conv}(S))$, i.e., there is a $y \in \pi_f^{-1}(y')$ on a shortest path P in G between vertices $x, z \in S$. Contracting f yields a shortest path P_f in G_f between two vertices on $\pi_f(S)$ containing y' . This proves $\pi_f(\text{conv}(S)) \subseteq \text{conv}(\pi_f(S))$.

For the second claim note that since $\text{conv}(S) \supseteq S$, we have $\pi_f(\text{conv}(S)) \supseteq \pi_f(S)$ and $\text{conv}(\pi_f(\text{conv}(S))) \supseteq \text{conv}(\pi_f(S))$. Finally, since E_f crosses S it also crosses $\text{conv}(S)$ and by Lemma 5 we have that $\text{conv}(\pi_f(\text{conv}(S))) = \pi_f(\text{conv}(S))$, yielding the claim. \square

We call a subgraph S of a graph $G = (V, E)$ *antipodal* if for every vertex x of S there is a vertex x^- of S such that $S = \text{conv}(x, x^-)$ in G . Note that antipodal graphs are sometimes defined in a different but equivalent way (graphs satisfying our definition are also called symmetric-even, see [13]). By definition, antipodal subgraphs are convex.

Lemma 8. *Let S be an antipodal subgraph of G and $f \in \Lambda$. If E_f is disjoint from S , then $\rho_{f^+}(S)$ is an antipodal subgraph of $\rho_{f^+}(G)$. If E_f crosses S or is disjoint from S , then $\pi_f(S)$ is an antipodal subgraph of $\pi_f(G)$.*

Proof. If E_f is disjoint from S , then $S\rho_{f^+}(S) = S$ and by Lemma 5 is convex. This yields the first assertion. For the second assertion, again by Lemma 5, $\pi_f(S)$ is convex. Moreover, by Lemma 7 if $\text{conv}(x, x^-) = S$, then $\pi_f(S) = \pi_f(\text{conv}(x, x^-)) = \text{conv}(\pi_f(\{x, x^-\}))$. Since every vertex in $\pi_f(S)$ is an image under the contraction, $\pi_f(S)$ is antipodal. \square

Lemma 9. *If S is an antipodal subgraph of G , then S contains an isometric cycle C such that $\text{conv}(C) = S$.*

Proof. Let $x \in S$ and let $P = (x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k = x^-)$ be a shortest path in S to the antipodal vertex x^- of x . It is well-known that the mapping $x \mapsto x^-$ is a graph automorphism of S , thus $C = (x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k = x_0^-, x_1^-, \dots, x_k^- = x)$ is a cycle. Furthermore, by the properties of the map $x \mapsto x^-$ every subpath of C of length at most k is a shortest path. Thus, C is an isometric cycle of S . Since C contains antipodal vertices of S , we have $\text{conv}(C) = S$. \square

Lemma 10. *If S is a gated subgraph of G , then $\rho_{f^+}(S)$ and $\pi_f(S)$ are gated subgraphs of $\rho_{f^+}(G)$ and $\pi_f(G)$, respectively.*

Proof. Let $x \in G$ with gate $y \in S$, $z \in S$, and let P be a shortest path from x to z passing via y . To prove that $\rho_{f^+}(S)$ is gated, suppose that $x, z \in \rho_{f^+}(G)$. This implies $y \in \rho_{f^+}(G)$, thus y is also the gate of x in $\rho_{f^+}(S)$ in the graph $\rho_{f^+}(G)$.

To prove the second assertion, notice that the distance in $\pi_f(G)$ between x and z decreases by one if and only if P crosses E_f and remains unchanged otherwise, thus $\pi_f(P)$ is a shortest path in $\pi_f(G)$. This shows that $\pi_f(y)$ is the gate of $\pi_f(x)$ in $\pi_f(S)$ in the graph $\pi_f(G)$. \square

2.4. Cartesian products. The *Cartesian product* $F_1 \times F_2$ of two graphs $F_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $F_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ is the graph defined on $V_1 \times V_2$ with an edge $(u, u')(v, v')$ if and only if $u = v$ and $u'v' \in E_2$ or $u' = v'$ and $uv \in E_1$. This definition generalizes in a straightforward way to products of sets of graphs. If $G = F_1 \times \dots \times F_k$, then each F_i is called a *factor* of G . A *subproduct* of such G is a product $F'_1 \times \dots \times F'_k$, where F'_i is a subgraph of F_i for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. A *layer* is a subproduct, where all but one of the F'_i consist of a single vertex and the remaining F'_i coincides with F_i .

It is well-known that products of partial cubes are partial cubes, and thus products of even cycles and edges are partial cubes, which we will be particularly interested in. It is easy to see that any contraction of a product of even cycles and edges is a product of even cycles and edges. Furthermore, any Cartesian product of even cycles and edges is antipodal, since taking the antipode with respect to all factors gives the antipode with respect to the product. By Lemma 9 any such product is the convex hull of an isometric cycle. We will use the following properties of these graphs frequently (and sometime without an explicit reference):

Lemma 11. *Let $G \cong F_1 \times \dots \times F_k$ be a Cartesian product of edges and even cycles and let H be an induced subgraph of G . Then H is a convex subgraph if and only if H is a Cartesian product $F'_1 \times \dots \times F'_m$, where each F'_i either coincides with F_i or is a convex subpath of F_i . Furthermore, H is a gated subgraph of G if and only if H is a Cartesian product $F'_1 \times \dots \times F'_m$, where each F'_i either coincides with F_i or is a vertex or an edge of F_i .*

Proof. It is well known (see for example [43]) that convex subsets (respectively, gated subsets) of Cartesian products of metric spaces are exactly the Cartesian products of convex (respectively, gated) subsets of factors. Now, the proper convex subsets of an even cycle C are exactly the convex paths, while the proper gated subsets of C are the vertices and the edges of C . \square

Lemma 12. *Let $G \cong F_1 \times \dots \times F_k$ be a Cartesian product of edges and even cycles and let G' be a connected induced subgraph of G . If for every 2-path P of G' its gated hull $\langle\langle P \rangle\rangle$ is included in G' , then G' is a gated subgraph of G .*

Proof. Let H be a maximal gated subgraph of G' . By Lemma 11 H is a subproduct $F'_1 \times \dots \times F'_k$ of G , such that for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ we either have $F'_i = F_i$ or F'_i is a vertex or an edge of F_i . Suppose by way of contradiction that $H \neq G'$. Since G' is connected, there exists an edge ab in G' such that $a \in H$ and $b \in G' \setminus H$. Without loss of generality, assume that ab is an edge arising from the factor F_1 . Thus ab can be represented as $a_1b_1 \times v_2 \times \dots \times v_k$, where a_1b_1 is an edge of F_1 , $a_1 \in F'_1$ and $b_1 \notin F'_1$. Consider the subgraph $H' = (F'_1 \cup a_1b_1) \times F'_2 \times \dots \times F'_k$.

We assert that H' is a subgraph of G' . For any $i > 1$, consider the layer L'_i of H' passing via the vertex a . Let L''_i be the subgraph of G obtained by shifting L'_i along the edge ab (thus both L'_i and L''_i are isomorphic to F'_i). We assert that L''_i is also included in G' . This is trivial if L''_i is a vertex, because then $L''_i = b$. Otherwise, using that the gated hull of any 2-path of G' is included in G' , L''_i is connected and L'_i is in G' , one can easily conclude that L''_i is also included in G' . Propagating this argument through the graph, we obtain that H' is a subgraph of G' . However, either its factor $(F'_1 \cup a_1 b_1)$ is an edge and H' is gated by Lemma 11 or it is a 2-path and thus the gated subgraph $\langle\langle F'_1 \cup a_1 b_1 \rangle\rangle \times F'_2 \times \dots \times F'_k$ is contained in G . This contradicts the maximality of H and shows that $H = G'$. The proof is complete. \square

3. CELLS IN HYPERCELLULAR GRAPHS AND GRAPHS FROM \mathcal{S}_4

Let $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ be the class of all partial cubes not containing the 3-cube minus one vertex Q_3^- as a pc -minor. Our subsequent goal will be to establish a cell-structure of such graphs in the following sense. We show that for $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$, the convex hull of any isometric cycle C of G is gated in G and furthermore isomorphic to a Cartesian product of edges and even cycles. Using these results we establish that a finite partial cube G belongs to $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ if and only if G can be obtained by gated amalgams from Cartesian products of edges and even cycles. Throughout this paper, we will call a subgraph H of a graph G a *cell*, if H is convex and isomorphic to a Cartesian product of edges and even cycles.

Some of the results of this section extend to bipartite graphs satisfying the *separation property* S_4 . This is, any two disjoint convex sets A, B can be separated by complementary convex sets H', H'' , i.e., $A \subseteq H', B \subseteq H''$. By [20] and Theorem 7 of [23], the S_4 separation property is equivalent to the Pasch axiom: for any triplet of vertices $u, v, w \in V$ and $x \in I(u, v), y \in I(u, w)$, we have $I(v, y) \cap I(w, x) \neq \emptyset$. The bipartite graphs with S_4 convexity have been characterized in [20] and Theorem 10 of [23]: these are the partial cubes without any pc -minor among six isometric subgraphs of Q_4 five of which were listed in [23] plus Q_4^- – the cube Q_4 minus one vertex. Note that we correct here the result in [20, 23], where Q_4^- was missing from the list. All these six forbidden graphs can be obtained from Q_3^- by an isometric expansion and thus if we denote by \mathcal{S}_4 the class of bipartite graphs with S_4 , then hypercellular graphs are in \mathcal{S}_4 .

The *full subdivision* H' of a graph H is the graph obtained by subdividing every edge of H once. The vertices of H in H' are called the *original* vertices of the full subdivision.

Proposition 1. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a partial cube and $S \subseteq V$. If $\text{conv}(S)$ is not gated, then either there exists $f \in \Lambda$ such that $\text{conv}(\pi_f(S))$ is not gated in $\pi_f(G)$ or there is an $m \geq 2$ such that:*

- (i) G contains a full subdivision H of K_{m+1} as an isometric subgraph,
- (ii) H contains a full subdivision H' of K_m , such that no vertex of G is adjacent to all original vertices of H' .

Furthermore, if S is an isometric cycle of G , then $m \geq 3$.

Proof. Suppose that G contains a subset S such that $X := \text{conv}(S)$ is not gated. We can assume that G is selected in a such a way that for any element $f \in \Lambda$, the convex hull of $\pi_f(S)$ is gated in $\pi_f(G)$. Since any f -contraction of an isometric cycle C of size at least 6 is an isometric cycle $\pi_f(C)$ of $\pi_f(G)$, this assumption is also valid for proving the claim in the case that $S = C$, because 4-cycles are always gated.

Let v be a vertex of G that has no gate in X and is as close as possible to X , where $d_G(v, X) = \min\{d_G(v, z) : z \in X\}$ is the distance from v to X . Let $P_v := \{x \in X : d_G(v, x) = d_G(v, X)\}$ be the *metric projection* of v to X . Let also $Q_v := \{x \in X : I(v, x) \cap X = \{x\}\}$. Obviously, $P \subseteq Q$. Notice that $u \in V$ has a gate in X if and only if $Q_u = P_u$ and P_u consists of a single vertex. We will denote the vertices of P_v by x_1, \dots, x_m . For any vertex $x_i \in P_v$, let γ_i be a shortest path from v to x_i . Let v_i be the neighbor of v in γ_i . From the choice of v we conclude that each vertex v_i has a gate in X . From the definition of P_v it follows that x_i is the gate of v_i in X . Notice that this implies that the vertices v_1, \dots, v_m are pairwise distinct. Since $x_i \in \gamma_i$ we have $x_i \in W(v_i, v)$. Furthermore, for any $y \in Q_v \setminus \{x_i\}$ we have $y \in W(v, v_i)$ since otherwise $x_i, y \in Q_{v_i}$, which contradicts that v_i has a gate in X . Denote the equivalence classes of Θ containing the edges vv_1, \dots, vv_m by E_{g_1}, \dots, E_{g_m} , respectively. Then each E_{g_1}, \dots, E_{g_m} crosses X . For any edge zz' of γ_i comprised between v_i and x_i with z closer to v_i than z' , we have $X \subseteq W(z', z)$ and $v \in W(z, z')$. Thus any such edge zz' belongs to an equivalence class E_f which separates X from v . Therefore such E_f crosses any shortest path between v and a vertex $y \in Q_v$. Denote the set of all such $f \in \Lambda$ by A . Notice that $d_G(v, X) = d_G(v, x_i) = |A| + 1$ for any $x_i \in P_v$.

We continue with a claim:

Claim 1. *Each equivalence class E_e crossing X coincides with one of the equivalence classes $E_{g_i}, i = 1, \dots, m$.*

Proof. Assume that $vv_1 \notin E_e$. Denote $X' := \text{conv}(\pi_e(S))$ in $\pi_e(G)$. Let also $v' := \pi_e(v)$ and $x'_1 := \pi_e(x_1)$. By Lemma 7, X' coincides with $\pi_e(X)$. Let $x' \in X'$ be the gate of v' in X' . Since for each $f \in A$, E_f separates v and X , E_f also separates v' and x' . Thus $d_{G'}(v', X') \geq |A|$. On the other hand, since $vv_1 \notin E_e$, $d_{G'}(v', x'_1) = |A| + 1$. But x'_1 cannot be the gate in X' , thus $d_{G'}(v', x') = d_{G'}(v', X') = |A|$. Let y be such that $x' = \pi_e(y)$. Since E_e crosses X and $X' = \pi_e(X)$, we have $y \in X$. Applying the expansion, the distance between two vertices can only increase by one, thus $d_G(v, y) \leq |A| + 1$. On the other hand, it holds that $d_G(v, y) \geq |A| + 1$ since $d_G(v, X) = |A| + 1$. Thus $y \in P$, say $y = x_i$, and every shortest (v, y) -path traverses an edge in E_e . Since E_e crosses X , we have $e \notin A$, whence $E_e = E_{g_i}$. \square

First we prove that $P_v = Q_v$. Suppose by way of contradiction that $z \in Q_v \setminus P_v$. Then $d_G(v, z) > d_G(v, X) = |A| + 1$. For any $f \in A$, E_f separates v from z . On the other hand, since $z \in W(v, v_i)$, neither of the equivalence classes $E_{g_i}, i = 1, \dots, m$, separates v from z . Hence there exists an equivalence class E_e with $e \notin A$ separating v from z . Since $e \notin A$, E_e does not separate v from any vertex x_i of P_v . Hence E_e crosses X , contrary to Claim 1. This shows that $P_v = Q_v$.

Now we will prove that $d_G(x_i, x_j) = 2$ for any two vertices $x_i, x_j \in P_v$, which will later yield the existence of H' as claimed. Indeed, since x_i is the gate of v_i in X and x_j is the gate of v_j in X ,

$$d_G(v_i, x_j) = d_G(v_i, x_i) + d_G(x_i, x_j) \leq d_G(v_i, v_j) + d_G(v_j, x_j) = 2 + d_G(v_j, x_j).$$

Analogously, $d_G(v_j, x_i) = d_G(v_j, x_j) + d_G(x_i, x_j) \leq 2 + d_G(v_i, x_i)$. Summing up the two inequalities we deduce that $d_G(x_i, x_j) \leq 2$. Since G is bipartite, x_i and x_j cannot be adjacent, thus $d_G(x_i, x_j) = 2$.

Finally, we will show that $d_G(v, X) = 2$, yielding H as claimed. Suppose by way of contradiction that $d_G(v, X) \geq 3$. Pick any $f \in A$. Consider the graph $G' := \pi_f(G)$ and denote the convex hull of the set $S' := \pi_f(S)$ in G' by X' . By Lemma 7, $\pi_f(X) \subseteq X'$. Since any class $E_{f'}$ with $f' \in A$, separates v from X (and therefore from S) in G , any equivalence class $E_{f'}$ with $f' \in A \setminus \{f\}$ separates $v' := \pi_f(v)$ from S' in G' . Therefore, X' is contained in the intersection of the halfspaces defined by $f' \in A \setminus \{f\}$ that contain S' . This implies that $d_{G'}(v', X') \geq |A| - 1$. On the other hand, since $d_{G'}(v', \pi_f(x_i)) = d_G(v, x_i) - 1$ for any $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $\pi_f(x_i) \in X'$, we conclude that $d_{G'}(v', X') \leq d_G(v, X) - 1 = |A| + 1 - 1 = |A|$. From the choice of the graph G , in the graph G' the vertex v' must have a gate x' in the set X' . Since $d_{G'}(v', \pi_f(x_i)) = d_G(v, x_i) - 1$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, the vertex x' cannot be one of the vertices of $\pi_f(P_v)$. Thus $d_{G'}(v', x') = d_{G'}(v', X') = |A| - 1 = d_G(v, X) - 2$.

Let $x'_i := \pi_f(x_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Since x' is the gate of v' in X' and $x'_i \in X'$, we have $d_{G'}(v', x'_i) = d_{G'}(v', x') + d_{G'}(x', x'_i)$. On the other hand, since $d_{G'}(v', x'_i) = d_G(v, x_i) - 1$ and $d_{G'}(v', x') = d_G(v, X) - 2$, this implies that $d_{G'}(x', x'_i) = 1$ for any $i = 1, \dots, m$. Since G' is obtained by f -contraction of a partial cube G , we conclude that G contains a vertex x such that $\pi_f(x) = x'$ and for any $i = 1, \dots, m$ either $d_G(x, x_i) = 1$ or $d_G(x, x_i) = 2$. Since $d_G(x_i, x_j) = 2$ and G is bipartite, either x is adjacent to all x_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$ or $d_G(x, x_i) = 2$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. First assume $m \geq 2$. In the second case, the vertices x_1, \dots, x_m and x together with their common neighbors define the required full subdivision H of K_{m+1} . In the first case, we conclude that $x \in I(x_i, x_j) \subset X$ and since $d_G(v, x) = d_G(v, x_i) - 1$, we obtain a contradiction with the choice of x_i, x_j from the metric projection P_v of v on X . So, assume that $m = 1$. Since $P_v = Q_v$, this implies that X is gated, contrary to our assumption.

Finally suppose that S is an isometric cycle C of G whose convex hull X is not gated. Then the length of C is at least 6 (if C is a 4-cycle, then $X = C$ is gated). Hence there exist at least three different equivalence classes $E_{e_1}, E_{e_2}, E_{e_3}$ crossing C and X . By Claim 1, each of these classes coincides with a class E_{g_i} , $i = 1, \dots, m$. Hence $m \geq 3$. \square

Proposition 2. *Let C be an isometric cycle of $G \in \mathcal{S}_4$. Then the convex hull $\text{conv}(C)$ of C in G is gated.*

Proof. The class \mathcal{S}_4 is closed by taking pc-minors [23, Theorem 10]. Therefore we can suppose that G is maximally contracted graph from \mathcal{S}_4 containing an isometric cycle C with $X := \text{conv}(C)$ not gated. By Proposition 1, X contains 3 vertices x_1, x_2, x_3 at pairwise distance 2 and a vertex v at distance 2 from each of the vertices x_1, x_2, x_3 . Let v_1, v_2, v_3 be the common neighbors of v and x_1, x_2, x_3 , respectively. Let also z_i be a common neighbor of x_j and x_k for

all $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. By Proposition 1, the set $T = \{v, x_1, x_2, x_3, v_1, v_2, v_3, z_1, z_2, z_3\}$ defines four 6-cycles which are isometric cycles of G . The convex hull in G of each of these 6-cycles is a subgraph of a 3-cube. On the other hand, T is contained in each of the three intervals $I(v_i, z_i)$. Since $d_G(v_i, z_i) = 4$, the convex hull of T is a subgraph of a 4-cube. The convex hull of the 6-cycle $C_1 = (x_1, z_3, x_2, z_1, x_3, z_2)$ cannot be a 3-cube. We conclude that one of the 2-paths $(x_1, z_3, x_2), (x_2, z_1, x_3), (x_3, z_2, x_1)$, say (x_1, z_3, x_2) , is a convex path of G . Consider the convex sets $I(x_1, x_2)$ and $I(v, x_3)$. They are disjoint, otherwise z_3 must be adjacent to v and x_3 , which is impossible. Let H, H' be two complementary halfspaces separating $I(x_1, x_2)$ and $I(v, x_3)$, say $I(x_1, x_2) \subset H$ and $I(v, x_3) \subset H'$. Then necessarily $z_1, z_2 \in H'$, otherwise, if say $z_1 \in H$, then $x_3 \in C_1 \subset I(x_1, z_1) \subset H$, a contradiction. But then $x_1 \in I(z_2, v) \subset H'$ and $x_2 \in I(z_1, v) \subset H'$, which is impossible. This final contradiction shows that $I(x_1, x_2)$ and $I(v, x_3)$ cannot be separated, i.e., $G \notin \mathcal{S}_4$. Thus, the convex hull of any isometric cycle C of a partial cube G from \mathcal{S}_4 is gated. \square

Analogously to [5], we will compare the Djoković-Winkler relation Θ to the following relation Ψ^* . First say that two edges xy and $x'y'$ of a bipartite graph G are in relation Ψ if they are either equal or are opposite edges of some convex cycle C of G . Then let Ψ^* be the transitive closure of Ψ . Let $\mathcal{C}(G)$ denote the set of all convex cycles of G and let $\mathbf{C}(G)$ be the 2-dimensional cell complex whose 2-cells are obtained by replacing each convex cycle C of length $2j$ of G by a regular Euclidean polygon $[C]$ with $2j$ sides.

Recall that a cell complex \mathbf{X} is *simply connected* if it is connected and if every continuous map of the 1-dimensional sphere S^1 into \mathbf{X} can be extended to a continuous mapping of the disk D^2 with boundary S^1 into \mathbf{X} . Note that a connected complex \mathbf{X} is simply connected iff every continuous map from S^1 to the 1-skeleton of \mathbf{X} is null-homotopic.

Lemma 13. *If G is a partial cube, then the relations Θ and Ψ^* coincide. In particular, $\mathbf{C}(G)$ is simply connected.*

Proof. The proof of the first assertion is the content of Proposition 5.1 of [35] (it also follows by adapting the proof of Lemma 1 of [5]). To prove that $\mathbf{C}(G)$ is simply connected it suffices to show that any cycle C of G is contractible in $\mathbf{C}(G)$. Let $k(C)$ denote the number of equivalence classes of Θ crossing C . By induction on $k(C)$, we will prove that any cycle C of G is contractible to any of its vertices $w \in C$. Let E_f be an equivalence class of Θ crossing C and let uv and $u'v'$ be two edges of C from Θ . By the first assertion, there exists a collection C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m of convex cycles and a collection of edges $e_0 = uv, e_1, \dots, e_{m-1}, e_m = u'v' \in E_f$ such that $e_i \in C_i \cap C_{i+1}$ for any $i = 1, \dots, m-1$. Suppose that $u, u' \in H_f^+$ and $v, v' \in H_f^-$. Let $P_i^+ := C_i \cap H_f^+, P_i^- := C_i \cap H_f^-$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let P' be the path between u and u' which is the union of the paths P_1^+, \dots, P_m^+ . Analogously, let P'' be the path between v and v' which is the union of the paths P_1^-, \dots, P_m^- . Finally, let $P^+ := C \cap H_f^+$ and $P^- := C \cap H_f^-$, and suppose without loss of generality that the vertex w belongs to the path P^+ . Let C' be the cycle which is the union of the paths P' and P^+ and let C'' be the cycle which is the union of the paths P^- and P'' . Since G is a partial cube, any equivalence class of Θ crossing P' or P'' also crosses the paths P^+ and P^- . On the other hand, E_f does not cross the cycles

C' and C'' . This implies that $k(C') < k(C)$ and $k(C'') < k(C)$. By induction assumption, C'' can be contracted in $\mathbf{C}(G)$ to any of its vertices, in particular to the vertex v . On the other hand, the union $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} [C_i]$ can be contracted to the path P' in a such a way that each edge e_i is contracted to its end from P' . In particular, v is mapped to u . Finally, by induction assumption, C' can be contracted to the vertex w . Composing the three contractions (C'' to v , $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} [C_i]$ to P' , and C' to w), we obtain a contraction of C to w . \square

Let C be an even cycle of length $2n$. Let G_1 be a subgraph of C isomorphic to a path of length ℓ at least 2 and at most n . Let $\text{Ex}_\ell(C)$ be an expansion of C with respect to G_1 and $G_2 = C$. We will call the graphs $\text{Ex}_\ell(C)$ *half-expanded cycles*.

Proposition 3. *Let G' be a Cartesian product of edges and even cycles and let G be an isometric expansion with respect to the subgraphs G'_1 and G'_2 of G' , such that G contains no convex subgraph isomorphic to a half-expanded cycle. Then either G is a Cartesian product of edges and even cycles or one of G'_1, G'_2 coincides with G' while the other is isomorphic to a subproduct of edges and cycles.*

Proof. Let $G' = F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_m$, where each $F_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ is either a K_2 or an even cycle C . Then G' is a partial cube from $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$. The graph G is obtained from G' by an isometric expansion with respect to G'_1 and G'_2 , i.e., G'_1 and G'_2 are two isometric subgraphs of G' such that $G = G'_1 \cup G'_2$, $G'_0 := G'_1 \cap G'_2 \neq \emptyset$, there is no edge between $G'_1 \setminus G'_2$ and $G'_2 \setminus G'_1$, and G is obtained from G' by expansion along G'_0 . If $G'_1 = G'_2 = G'$, then the expansion of G' with respect to G'_1 and G'_2 is the product $G' \times K_2$ and we are done. Thus we can assume that G'_0 is a proper subgraph of G' .

Claim 2. *Let L be a layer of G' , i.e., $L = \{v_1\} \times \dots \times \{v_{i-1}\} \times F_i \times \{v_{i+1}\} \times \dots \times \{v_m\}$ with $v_j \in F_j$ for all $j \neq i$. If F_i is a cycle and $G'_1 \cap L$ or $G'_2 \cap L$ is different from L and contains a path of length at least 2, then G is a Cartesian product of edges and cycles. More precisely, $G = F_1 \times \dots \times F_{i-1} \times F'_i \times F_{i+1} \times \dots \times F_m$, where F'_i is an isometric expansion of F_i along two opposite vertices of F_i .*

Proof. Since we can reorder the factors, suppose without loss of generality that $i = 1$ and denote $G'' = F_2 \times \dots \times F_m$. We have $L = F_1 \times \{v\} = C \times \{v\}$ with $v \in V(G'')$, such that $G'_1 \cap L$ includes a path P_1 of length at least 2 but differs from L . Since L is a convex $2j$ -cycle of G' , P_1 is a shortest path of G' . If L is included in G'_2 , then the expansion of L along P_1 is isomorphic to a half-extended cycle and is a convex subgraph of G by Lemma 6, which is impossible. Thus L is not included in G'_2 , yielding that $L \cap G'_2$ is a shortest path P_2 of G' . Since P_1 and P_2 cover the cycle L , the only possibility is that P_1 and P_2 intersect in two antipodal vertices of the cycle L . Thus the image of L in G is a cycle of length $2j + 2$. Consider any layer $L' = C \times \{v'\}$ of G' adjacent to L , i.e., $v'v \in E(G'')$. Then $L \cup L'$ is a convex subgraph of G' , thus by Lemma 6 the expansion of $L \cup L'$ is a convex subgraph H of G . If L' is contained in G'_1 , then the intersection of H with the half-space of G corresponding to G'_1 is a convex subgraph isomorphic to a half-extended cycle. Thus L' cannot be entirely

in G'_1 , and for the same reason it cannot be entirely in G'_2 . Again the only possibility is that $G'_1 \cap L'$ and $G'_2 \cap L'$ are shortest paths of L' that intersect in two opposite vertices of L' .

Let $v_1, v_2 \in L \cap G'_0$ and $u_1, u_2 \in L' \cap G'_0$. We assert that after a possible relabeling, v_1 is adjacent to u_1 and v_2 is adjacent to u_2 . Suppose that this is not true. Then the neighbors v'_1 and v'_2 of v_1 and v_2 , respectively, in L' are both different from u_1 and u_2 . Analogously, the neighbors u'_1 and u'_2 of u_1 and u_2 , respectively, in L are both different from v_1 and v_2 . We can assume without loss of generality that v'_1 and u'_1 are not in G'_1 , otherwise we can exchange v_1 and v_2 or u_1 and u_2 . We assert that G'_1 is not an isometric subgraph of G' . Indeed, the distance in G' between u_1 and v_1 is at most j and the interval $I(v_1, u_1)$ is contained in the union $Q \cup Q'$, where Q is the subpath between v_1 and u'_1 of the path between v_1 and v_2 passing via u'_1 and Q' is the subpath between v'_1 and u_1 of the path between v'_1 and v'_2 passing via u_1 . Since all vertices of Q except v_1 belong only to G'_2 and v'_1 does not belong to G'_1 , we conclude that any shortest path in G' between v_1 and u_1 contains at least one vertex from $G'_2 \setminus G'_1$, showing that G'_1 is not an isometric subgraph of G' . Hence v_1 is adjacent to u_1 and v_2 is adjacent to u_2 . Notice that then the both layers have the same side of the cycles in G'_1 and G'_2 since there is no edge between $G'_1 \setminus G'_2$ and $G'_2 \setminus G'_1$. Propagating this argument through the graph, we conclude that all layers L'' parallel to L have the same vertices in G'_1 and G'_2 . Hence the traces on $F_i = C$ with respect to G'_1 and G'_2 of L and L'' coincide: they are two paths P_1 and P_2 of C covering the cycle and intersecting in two opposite vertices x', x'' of C . Therefore, the graph G'_0 with respect to which we perform the isometric expansion is the subgraph of G' induced by $(\{x'\} \times V(G'')) \cup (\{x''\} \times V(G''))$, G'_1 is the subgraph induced by $V(P_1) \times V(G'')$, and G'_2 is the subgraph induced by $V(P_2) \times V(G'')$. Consequently, the expansion of G' with respect to G'_1 and G'_2 produces a graph G isomorphic to $C' \times G''$, where the length of the cycle C' is two more than the length of C . This establishes the claim. \square

By Claim 2, we can further assume that every layer L of G' coming from a cyclic factor satisfies one of the following two conditions: either both G'_1 and G'_2 include L , or one of G'_1, G'_2 includes an edge, a vertex, or nothing while the other includes the whole layer L . Consequently, for each cyclic factor $F_i \cong C$ of G' and each layer $L := \{v_1\} \times \dots \times \{v_{i-1}\} \times C \times \{v_{i+1}\} \times \dots \times \{v_m\}$, the intersection $L \cap G'_0$ is the whole layer L , an edge, a vertex, or empty.

We will now analyze the structure of the subgraph G'_0 of G' along which we perform the isometric expansion. Suppose that G' is obtained from G by contracting the equivalence class E_f .

Claim 3. *If $R' = (u_1, v_1, v_2, u_2)$ is a 4-cycle in G' such that the edges u_1v_1 and v_1v_2 do not lie in the same layer and $u_1, v_1, v_2 \in V(G'_0)$, then u_2 also belongs to $V(G'_0)$.*

Proof. If this is not the case, then assume without loss of generality that $u_2 \in G'_1 \setminus G'_2$. Since the 4-cycle R' is a convex subgraph of G' , by Lemma 6 the expansion of R' along G'_0 is a convex subgraph of G isomorphic to Q_3^- , thus is a half-extended cycle, a contradiction. This contradiction shows that $u_2 \in V(G'_0)$. \square

We continue with an auxiliary assertion:

Claim 4. *Any convex cycle Z of G crossed by E_f is a 4-cycle.*

Proof. Assume by way of contradiction that Z has length $\ell(Z) \geq 6$. Therefore Z is contracted to a convex cycle Z' of length $\ell(Z) - 2$ of G' . The convex sets in a Cartesian product are products of convex sets of the factors. Thus either Z' is a layer of G' or Z' is a 4-cycle which is a product of two edges from two different factors. In the first case Z' is a layer which has two antipodal vertices in G'_0 , one path between these vertices in $G'_1 \setminus G'_2$, and the other path in $G'_2 \setminus G'_1$, and this case was covered by Claim 2. Thus assume that Z' is a 4-cycle (v_1, v_2, u_2, u_1) that has edges v_1v_2, u_1u_2 projected to factor F_1 and edges v_1u_1, v_2u_2 projected to factor F_2 . Moreover, let $v_1, u_2 \in V(G'_1) \cap V(G'_2)$, $v_2 \in V(G'_1) \setminus V(G'_2)$, and $u_1 \in V(G'_2) \setminus V(G'_1)$. If both factors F_1, F_2 are isomorphic to K_2 , then they can be treated as a single cyclic factor because $K_2 \times K_2$ is a 4-cycle and Z' is a layer. Then the result follows from Claim 2. Thus assume that F_1 is a cycle of length at least 6 – otherwise we are in the above case. Let $L_1 = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2i}, v_1)$ and $L_2 = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{2i}, u_1)$ be the two layers of F_1 that include Z' . They include vertices u_1, v_2 which are not in G'_0 . Since $i \geq 3$ by isometry of G'_1 and G'_2 , we have $v_3 \in G'_1 \setminus G'_2$ and $u_3 \in G'_2 \setminus G'_1$. But v_3 and u_3 are adjacent, which is impossible. This establishes that any convex cycle Z of G crossed by E_f has length 4. \square

Claim 5. *G'_0 is a subgraph of G' of the form $H_1 \times H_2 \times \dots \times H_m$, where each factor satisfies $H_i \subseteq F_i$ and is either a vertex, an edge, or the entire F_i . In particular, G'_0 is convex in G' .*

Proof. First we prove that G'_0 is connected. Let a_1a_2 and b_1b_2 be any two edges in the equivalence class E_f . Edges a_1a_2 and b_1b_2 get contracted to vertices a', b' of G' . By Lemma 13, a_1a_2 and b_1b_2 can be connected by a sequence $\mathcal{C} = C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$ of convex cycles of G such that $a_1a_2 \in C_1, b_1b_2 \in C_k$, and any two consecutive cycles C_i and C_{i+1} intersect in an edge of E_f . Hence the cycles of \mathcal{C} are contracted in G' to a path Q' between a' and b' . Since all cycles C_i of \mathcal{C} are crossed by E_f , by Claim 4 each $C_i, i = 1, \dots, k$, is a 4-cycle. Thus, additionally to a', b' also all other vertices of the path Q' belong to G'_0 . Consequently, a' and b' belong to a common connected component of G'_0 . Since a_1a_2 and b_1b_2 are arbitrary edges from E_f , the graph G'_0 is connected.

To prove the second assertion, let I be a maximal subgraph of G'_0 of the form $I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_m$, where each I_i is a connected nonempty subgraph of F_i . We claim that I coincides with G'_0 . If not, since I and G'_0 are connected, there exists an edge vw of G'_0 such that $v \in V(I)$ and $w \in V(G'_0) \setminus V(I)$. Let $L := \{v_1\} \times \dots \times \{v_{i-1}\} \times F_i \times \{v_{i+1}\} \times \dots \times \{v_m\}$ be the layer of G' that includes the edge vw . Suppose that the i th coordinates of v and w are the adjacent vertices v'_i and v''_i of F_i , respectively. Set $I' := I_1 \times \dots \times I_{i-1} \times \{v'_i\} \times I_{i+1} \times \dots \times I_m$ and $I'' := I_1 \times \dots \times I_{i-1} \times \{v''_i\} \times I_{i+1} \times \dots \times I_m$. Then $v \in V(I') \subseteq V(I)$ and $w \in V(I'')$. Since $w \notin V(I)$, by the definition of I , the subgraph I'' contains a vertex not belonging to G'_0 . Let x be a closest to w vertex of $V(I'') \setminus V(G'_0)$. Let y be a neighbor of x in $I(x, w)$. Since I'' is convex, $y \in I(x, w) \subset V(I'')$. By the choice of x , we deduce that y is a vertex of G'_0 . Let x' and y' be the neighbors of respectively x and y in I' (such vertices exist by the definitions of I' and I'' and the fact that v'_i and v''_i are adjacent in F_i). Since $V(I') \subset V(I)$, the vertices x' and y' belong to G'_0 . Since the 4-cycle (x, y, y', x') does not belong to a single layer and

y, y', x' are vertices of G'_0 , by Claim 3 also x is a vertex of G'_0 , a contradiction with its choice. This establishes that I coincides with G'_0 .

Finally, we assert that each $I_i, i = 1, \dots, m$, is a vertex, an edge, or the whole factor F_i . The assertion obviously holds if F_i is an edge. Now, let $F_i = C$ be an even cycle. Since $I_i \neq \emptyset$ and $G'_0 = I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_m$, the assertion follows from the conclusion after Claim 2, that the intersection of G'_0 with any layer is the whole layer, an edge, a vertex, or empty. \square

Let H' be the subgraph of G' induced by all vertices of G' not belonging to G'_0 .

Claim 6. *H' is either empty or is a connected subgraph of G' .*

Proof. By Claim 5, G'_0 is a connected subgraph of G' of the form $H_1 \times H_2 \times \dots \times H_m$, where each H_i is a vertex, an edge of F_i , or the whole factor F_i . Suppose that G'_0 is a proper subgraph of G' . By renumbering the factors in the product $F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_m$ we can suppose that there exists an index $m' \leq m$, such that for each $i \leq m'$, H_i is a proper subgraph of F_i and that for each $m' < i \leq m$, we have $H_i = F_i$. For each $i \leq m'$, let F'_i be the (nonempty) connected subgraph of F_i induced by $V(F_i) \setminus V(H_i)$. For any $i \leq m$, let H'_i be the subgraph $F_1 \times \dots \times F_{i-1} \times F'_i \times F_{i+1} \times \dots \times F_m$ of $G' = F_1 \times \dots \times F_m$. Obviously, each such H'_i is a connected subgraph of H' (and of G'). Moreover, $V(H') = \bigcup_{i=1}^m V(H'_i)$ and any two H'_i and H'_j with $i, j \leq m$ share a vertex. This shows that H' is a connected subgraph of G' . \square

Now, we are ready to conclude the proof of the proposition. If both G'_1 and G'_2 are proper subgraphs of G' , then G'_0 is also a proper subgraph of G' . By Claim 6, the subgraph H' of G' induced by all vertices not in G'_0 is connected. This implies that G' contains edges running between the vertices of $G'_1 \setminus G'_2$ and $G'_2 \setminus G'_1$, which is impossible. Consequently, we can suppose that G'_1 coincides with G' and G'_2 coincides with G'_0 . By Claim 5, $G'_2 = G'_0$ has the form $H_1 \times H_2 \times \dots \times H_m$, where each H_i is a vertex or an edge of F_i , or the whole factor F_i . \square

Since each half-extended cycle can be contracted to a Q_3^- , we immediately have the following lemma.

Lemma 14. *If $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$, then G has no convex subgraph isomorphic to a half-extended cycle.*

Now we are ready to prove the first part of Theorem A.

Theorem 1. *The convex closure of any isometric cycle of a graph G in $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ is a gated subgraph isomorphic to a Cartesian product of edges and even cycles.*

Proof. Let G be a minimal graph in $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ for which we have to prove that the convex closure of an isometric cycle C of G is a product of cycles and edges. Since G is minimal and convex subgraphs of graphs in $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ are also in $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$, we conclude that G coincides with the convex closure of C . If C is a 4-cycle, then C is a convex subgraph of G and we are done. Analogously, if C is a 6-cycle, then since $G \in Q_3^-$, either C is convex or the convex hull of C is the 3-cube Q_3 . So, assume that the length of C is at least 8. By minimality of

G , any equivalence class of G crosses C . Any contraction of G is a graph G' in $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ and it maps C to an isometric cycle C' of G' . By Lemma 7, G' is the convex hull of C' , thus by minimality choice of G , G' is a Cartesian product of cycles and edges, say G' is isomorphic to $F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_m$, where each $F_i, i = 1, \dots, m$, is either a K_2 or an even cycle C . The graph G is obtained from G' by an isometric expansion, i.e., there exist isometric subgraphs G'_1 and G'_2 of G' such that $G' = G'_1 \cup G'_2$, $G'_0 := G'_1 \cap G'_2 \neq \emptyset$, there is no edge between $G'_1 \setminus G'_2$ and $G'_2 \setminus G'_1$, and G is obtained from G' by expansion along G'_0 .

By Proposition 3 and Lemma 14, either G is a Cartesian product of edges and even cycles or G'_1 coincides with G' and $G'_2 = G'_0$ is a proper convex subgraph of G' of the form $H_1 \times H_2 \times \dots \times H_m$, where each H_i is a vertex, an edge of F_i , or the whole factor F_i . In the first case we are done, so suppose that the second case holds. Let G_j be the image of G'_j after the expansion, for $j = 0, 1, 2$. Since $G'_2 = G'_0$ is convex, G_0 is a convex subgraph of G isomorphic to $G'_0 \times K_2$. If G' is the f -contraction of G , then G_1 and G_2 are the subgraphs induced by the halfspaces H_f^+ and H_f^- of G . Let $a_1 a_2$ and $b_1 b_2$ be two opposite edges of C belonging to E_f . Since G is the convex hull of C , the cycle C intersects every equivalence class of the relation Θ in G . In particular, this implies that contracting E_f , the edges $a_1 a_2$ and $b_1 b_2$ are contracted to vertices a' and b' of G'_0 . Since G'_0 is a convex subgraph of G' , the image of C under this contraction is an isometric cycle C' of G' . Since $a', b' \in C'$, C' is contained in G'_0 . Since by Lemma 7 G' is the convex hull of C' , we conclude that $G'_1 = G'_0 = G'_2$, contrary to the assumption that G'_0 is a proper subgraph of G' . \square

Together with Proposition 2, the following gives the second part of Theorem A.

Proposition 4. *The antipodal subgraphs of graphs from \mathcal{S}_4 are gated and are products of edges and cycles.*

Proof. Let G be a antipodal graph not in $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$. Then G can be contracted to a graph G' that contains a convex subgraph X isomorphic to Q_3^- . By Lemma 8 any contraction of an antipodal graph is an antipodal graph, thus we can assume that G' is maximally contracted, i.e. every contraction of G' is in $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$. Denote the central vertex of X with x , the isometric cycle around it with (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_5) , and assume that x is adjacent to exactly v_0, v_2 and v_4 . Let $x', v'_0, \dots, v'_5 \in V(X')$ be the antipodes of vertices in X .

First assume that G' has exactly three Θ -classes, namely $E_{xv_0}, E_{xv_2}, E_{xv_4}$. Then either $G' = X$ or $G' \cong Q_3$. In the first case G' is not antipodal, while in the second case X is not a convex subgraph of G' . Thus assume that there exists another Θ -class, say E_{wz} . Contracting this class we obtain a graph G'' that has no Q_3^- convex subgraphs, thus the convex closure X' of X in G'' must be isomorphic to Q_3 . Let y be a vertex in G' that gets mapped to the vertex in $X' \setminus X$ in G'' . Vertex y and v_1 are adjacent in G'' with edge in E_{xv_4} , but since X is convex in G' any path from v_1 to y in G' must be of length 2 and first cross an edge in E_{wz} and then an edge in E_{xv_4} . Thus there is only one such path, say P , and it is a convex subgraph. On the other hand, the path (v_3, v_4, v_5) is convex in X , thus it is convex in G' . But then the paths (v_3, v_4, v_5) and P are convex subgraphs that cannot be separated by two complementary halfspaces. The latter holds since there is a path between them consisting

of edges in $E_{xv_4}, E_{xv_0}, E_{xv_2}$, but each of these Θ -classes intersects either one convex set or another. Thus G' is not in \mathcal{S}_4 . By [23, Theorem 10], contracting a graph in \mathcal{S}_4 gives a graph in \mathcal{S}_4 . Thus also G is not in \mathcal{S}_4 . \square

4. GATED AMALGAMATION IN HYPERCELLULAR GRAPHS

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem B. First, we present the 3CC-condition for partial cubes G in a seemingly stronger but equivalent form:

3-convex cycles condition (3CC-condition): for three convex cycles C_1, C_2, C_3 of G such that any two cycles C_i, C_j , $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, intersect in an edge e_{ij} with $e_{12} \neq e_{23} \neq e_{31}$ and the three cycles intersect in a vertex, the convex hull of $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ is a cell of G isomorphic to $C_i \times K_2$ and C_j, C_k are 4-cycles.

Any cell X' which is contained in a cell X of a partial cube G is called a *face* of X . By Lemma 11 equivalently, the faces of X are the gated subgraphs of G included in X . We denote by $X(G)$ the set of all cells of G and call $X(G)$ the *combinatorial complex* of G . The *dimension* $\dim(X)$ of a cell X of G is the number of edge-factors plus two times the number of cyclic factors. Let us now recall the stronger 3C-condition for partial cubes G :

3-cell condition (3C-condition): for all $k \geq 0$ and three $(k+2)$ -dimensional cells X_1, X_2, X_3 of G such that each of the pairwise intersections X_{12}, X_{23}, X_{13} is a cell of dimension $k+1$ and the intersection X_{123} of all three cells is a cell of dimension k , the convex hull of $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$ is a $(k+3)$ -dimensional cell.

The proof of Theorem B is organized in the following way. We start by showing that any hypercellular graph satisfies the 3CC-condition. Together with Theorem A, this shows that (i) \Rightarrow (ii). We then obtain (ii) \Rightarrow (iii), while (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) holds trivially. To prove (ii) \Rightarrow (i), we show that the class of partial cubes satisfying (ii) is closed by taking minors. Since Q_3^- does not satisfy the 3CC-condition, we conclude that all such graphs are hypercellular. The last and longest part of the section is devoted to the proof of the equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (iv).

Since by Theorem A, hypercellular graphs have gated cells, the following lemma completes the proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

Lemma 15. *Any hypercellular graph G satisfies the 3CC-condition.*

Proof. Let C_1, C_2, C_3 be three convex cycles of a partial cube $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ such that any two cycles C_i, C_j , $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, intersect in an edge e_{ij} and the three cycles intersect in a vertex v . We proceed by induction on the number of vertices of G . By induction assumption we can suppose that G is the convex hull of the union $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$. If each of the cycles C_1, C_2, C_3 is a 4-cycle, then their union is an isometric subgraph H of G isomorphic to Q_3^- . Since $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$, H is not convex. Therefore the convex hull of $H = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ is the 3-cube Q_3 , and we are done. Thus suppose that one of the cycles, say C_1 , has length ≥ 6 . Let the edge e_{12} be of the form v_1v . Let u be the neighbor of v_1 in C_1 different from v . Let E_f be the equivalence class of Θ defined by the edge uv_1 . We claim that E_f does not cross C_2 and C_3 , or, equivalently, that $C_2 \cup C_3 \subset W(v_1, u)$. Since $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$, by Proposition 2,

each of the cycles C_1, C_2, C_3 is a gated subgraph of G . Since u is adjacent to $v_1 \in C_2$, the vertex v_1 is the gate of u in C_2 , whence $C_2 \subset W(v_1, u)$. Analogously, since C_1 is gated and $v \in C_1 \cap C_3$, the gate of u in C_3 must belong to $I(u, v) \subset C_1$. Since the length of C_1 is at least 6, this gate cannot be adjacent to u and v , thus v is the gate of u in C_3 . Since $v_1 \in I(u, v)$, again we conclude that $C_3 \subset W(v_1, u)$. Hence E_f does not cross the cycles C_2 and C_3 . Let $G' := \pi_f(G)$ and $C'_i := \pi_f(C_i)$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Since each $C_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ is gated, by Lemma 10 each $C'_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ is a gated subgraph of G' and by Lemma 7 G' is the convex hull of $C'_1 \cup C'_2 \cup C'_3$. Notice that the three cycles C'_1, C'_2, C'_3 pairwise intersect in the same edges as the cycles C_1, C_2, C_3 and all three in the vertex v .

Since $G' \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$, by induction assumption G' is isomorphic to the Cartesian product $C \times K_2$, where C is isomorphic to one of C'_1, C'_2, C'_3 . The graph G is obtained from the graph G' by an isometric expansion with respect to the subgraphs G'_1 and G'_2 of G' . By Proposition 3 and Lemma 14,

- (i) G is a Cartesian product of edges and even cycles or
- (ii) G'_1 coincides with G' and G'_2 is isomorphic to a subproduct of edges and cycles.

The only convex cycles of length at least 6 in a product of edges and cycles are layers. Therefore in the case (i) C_1 must be a layer L in the product. Each of cycles C_2 and C_3 shares an edge with C_1 . The only such cycles are 4-cycles between layer L and any other layer adjacent to L . Since also C_2 and C_3 share an edge, they must both be between L and some layer L' . Then L and L' form a cell isomorphic to $L \times K_2 \cong C_1 \times K_2$ that is the convex hull of $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$.

Finally, assume (ii) holds. Since $G' \cong C \times K_2$, G'_2 is either a vertex, an edge, a 4-cycle, a layer isomorphic to C , or the whole G' . Thus, G'_2 intersects no cyclic layer in just two antipodal vertices, i.e., no convex cycle of G' gets extended. A contradiction, since C'_1 should be extended. \square

We will now establish the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii), while (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) trivially holds.

Proposition 5. *If G is a partial cube in which cells are gated and which satisfies the 3CC-condition, then G satisfies the 3C-condition.*

Proof. Since the properties of G are closed under restriction, without loss of generality we consider $G = \text{conv}(X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3)$. Since cells are gated in G , by Lemma 11 X_{123} is a subproduct of X_{12}, X_{23}, X_{13} and X_{ij} is a subproduct of X_i and X_j for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, where in all cases the factors of the subproducts are vertices, edges, or factors of the superproducts. Indeed by the conditions on the dimensions, the subproducts all have the same factors than their superproducts except that either one edge-factor from the superproduct is a vertex in the subproduct or one cyclic factor from the superproduct is an edge in the subproduct. This gives that any $v \in X_{123}$ has exactly one neighbor $v_{ij} \in X_{ij} \setminus X_{123}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Now, since the cells X_1, X_2, X_3 are products, a path of the form $(v_{ij}, v, v_{ik}) \subset X_i$ is contained in the unique convex cycle C_i of X_i accounting for the two supplementary dimensions of X_i compared to X_{123} , for all $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Since G satisfies the 3CC-condition, $\text{conv}(C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3)$ is

a cell X_v of G isomorphic to $C_i \times K_2$ and $C_j \cong C_k$ are 4-cycles, for some $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Moreover, by the product structure of X_i the Θ -classes of C_i and their order on C_i do not depend on the choice of $v \in X_{123}$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Therefore, for all $v, w \in X_{123}$ and some $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ we have $X_v \cong X_w \cong C_i \times K_2$, where corresponding edges are in the same Θ -class of G . Since they are separated by Θ -classes crossing X_{123} , for different $v, w \in X_{123}$, the cells X_v and X_w are disjoint and by construction the union of all of them covers $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$. We obtain that $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3 \subseteq X_{123} \times C_i \times K_2$, which is a $(k+3)$ -dimensional cell of G , thus gated and thus convex. Since $G = \text{conv}(X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3)$, we get $\text{conv}(X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3) \cong X_{123} \times C_i \times K_2$, which establishes the claim. \square

To show (ii) \Rightarrow (i), in Proposition 6 we prove that the class of partial cubes satisfying (ii) is minor-closed. Since Q_3^- does not satisfy the 3CC-condition, the graphs satisfying (ii) cannot be contracted to Q_3^- , thus they are hypercellular.

Proposition 6. *The family of partial cubes having gated cells and satisfying the 3CC-condition is a pc-minor-closed family.*

Proof. If a condition of the proposition is violated for a convex subgraph of a partial cube G , then it is also violated for G . Therefore the family in question is closed under restrictions.

Let now G be a partial cube satisfying the conditions of the proposition and let G' be a contraction of G along some equivalence class E_f . Pick a cell X' in G' . By Lemma 6, the expansion X of X' is a convex subgraph of G , thus X also satisfies the conditions of the proposition. By the 3CC-condition, X has no convex subgraph isomorphic to a half-expanded cycle. Thus Proposition 3 provides us with the structure of X ; in particular, X includes a cell Y such that $\pi_f(Y) = X'$. Since the cells of G are gated, by Lemma 10, X' is gated. Therefore the cells of G' are gated.

Now, let C'_1, C'_2, C'_3 be three convex cycles of G' such that any two cycles C'_i, C'_j , $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, intersect in an edge e'_{ij} and the three cycles intersect in a vertex v' . Let G'_1 and G'_2 be the subgraphs of G' with respect to which we perform the expansion of G' into G . By Proposition 3 (or directly using the fact that C'_1, C'_2, C'_3 are convex cycles), for each C'_i , $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have one of the following three options: (a) either both $G'_1 \cap C'_i$ and $G'_2 \cap C'_i$ coincide with C'_i , or (b) one of $G'_1 \cap C'_i$ and $G'_2 \cap C'_i$ is the whole cycle C'_i and other is an edge, a vertex, or empty, or (c) both $G'_1 \cap C'_i$ and $G'_2 \cap C'_i$ are paths corresponding to halves of C'_i with intersection in two antipodal vertices of C'_i . Using this trichotomy, we divide the analysis in the following cases.

Case 1. For G'_1 or G'_2 , say for G'_1 , and for at least two of the three cycles C'_1, C'_2, C'_3 , say for C'_1, C'_2 , we have $G'_1 \cap C'_i = C'_i$ and $G'_1 \cap C'_j = C'_j$.

Then the edges e'_{13} and e'_{23} are in G'_1 . Thus either $G'_1 \cap C'_3 = C'_3$ or $G'_1 \cap C'_3$ is a half of C'_3 that includes e'_{13} and e'_{23} . Then in the expansion we have 3 convex cycles C_1, C_2, C_3 pairwise sharing an edge and a vertex in the intersection of all three, such that $\pi_f(C_i) = C'_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Since the 3CC-condition holds in G , two of the cycles C_1, C_2, C_3 are 4-cycles and all three are included in a cell X isomorphic to $C_k \times K_2$ where C_k is the third cycle. Since

a contraction can only shorten the cycles, at least two of the cycles C'_1, C'_2, C'_3 are 4-cycles and the convex hull of all three must be included in a cell $\pi_f(X)$. The only contraction of $C_k \times K_2$ that has at least three convex cycles is isomorphic to $C'_k \times K_2$.

Case 2. For G'_1 or G'_2 , say for G'_1 , among C'_1, C'_2, C'_3 there exists a unique cycle, say C'_1 , such that $G'_1 \cap C'_1 = C'_1$.

By symmetry and in view of Case 1, for two other cycles C'_2 and C'_3 we have only one of the following options: (1) either $G'_2 \cap C'_j = C'_j$ for exactly one $j \in \{2, 3\}$ or (2) for all $j \in \{2, 3\}$ and $k \in \{1, 2\}$ we have that $G'_k \cap C'_j$ is a half of the cycle C'_j .

First consider the option (2). By properties of C'_1 , in the half-space G_1 of G corresponding to G'_1 in the expansion there exists a convex cycle C_1 of the same length as C'_1 such that $\pi_f(C_1) = C'_1$. Moreover C'_2 and C'_3 get expanded to convex cycles C_2, C_3 each sharing exactly one edge with C_1 and having one vertex in the intersection of all three. Since the cells of G are gated, the cycles C_2, C_3 are gated. The cycles C_2, C_3 share at least one edge. If a vertex of e'_{23} is in $G'_1 \cap G'_2$, then C_2 and C_3 share 2 edges, which is impossible because C_2 and C_3 are gated. By the 3CC-condition, two of C_1, C_2, C_3 are 4-cycles, which is impossible because in G' one of those 4-cycles C_i will get contracted to an edge and not to the cycle C'_i .

Now consider the option (1) that $G'_2 \cap C'_2 = C'_2$ and both $G'_1 \cap C'_3, G'_2 \cap C'_3$ are halves of C'_3 . Since $v \in G'_1 \cap G'_2$, the antipode u of v in C'_3 also belongs to $G'_1 \cap G'_2$. Hence C'_3 gets expanded to v , its antipode u , and $e'_{12} \in G'_1 \cap G'_2$. Therefore to ensure that we do not have $G'_k \cap C'_j = C'_j$ for some $k \in \{1, 2\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we must have $G'_1 \cap C'_2 = e'_{12}$ and $G'_2 \cap C'_1 = e'_{12}$. Now the expansion of $C'_1 \cup C'_2 \cup C'_3$ has 4 convex cycles: the expansion C_3 of C'_3 , the convex cycles C_1 and C_2 that get mapped to C'_1 and C'_2 by the contraction and a 4-cycle C_4 between C_1 and C_2 . Cycles C_1, C_3, C_4 pairwise intersect in three different edges and all have a common vertex, thus their convex closure X is isomorphic to $C_3 \times K_2$ (since the cycle C_3 must have length at least 6). This proves that C_1 is a 4-cycle. Let C_5 be the fourth cycle, sharing edges with C_3 and C_4 different from C_1 . Then C_5 shares two edges with C_2 which is possible only if $C_2 = C_5$. Thus we see that again $\pi_f(X)$ is a gated cell including C'_1, C'_2, C'_3 .

Case 3. For every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $G'_1 \cap C'_i$ and $G'_2 \cap C'_i$ are halves of C'_i intersecting in two antipodal vertices of C'_i .

If $G'_1 \cap G'_2$ contains any vertex of e'_{ij} for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, then there exist convex cycles C_i and C_j in G that share two edges, which is impossible. Thus C'_1, C'_2, C'_3 get extended to cycles C_1, C_2, C_3 pairwise sharing an edge and a vertex in common. Then two of them must be 4-cycles, which is not the case because then two of them get contracted to edges. We have proved that the 3CC-condition also holds for G' , thus the class we consider is closed under contractions. This finishes the proof. \square

The remaining part of this section is devoted to the proof of the equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (iv). For an equivalence class E_f of Θ , we denote by $N(E_f)$ the *carrier* of f , i.e., the subgraph of G

which is the union of all cells of G which are crossed by E_f . The carrier $N(E_f)$ splits into its positive and negative parts $N^+(E_f) := N(E_f) \cap H_f^+$ and $N^-(E_f) := N(E_f) \cap H_f^-$.

Lemma 16. *Let G be a hypercellular graph and $e, f \in \Lambda, e \neq f$. Then $\pi_e(N(E_f))$ is the carrier of E_f in $\pi_e(G)$.*

Proof. Let $Y \in N(E_f)$ be a cell of G . Since contractions of products are products, $\pi_e(Y)$ is a product of edges and even cycles in $\pi_e(G)$ and clearly crosses E_f . Furthermore, since $Y = \text{conv}(C)$ for a cycle C in G , we have by Lemma 7, that $\pi_e(Y) \subseteq \text{conv}(\pi_e(C))$. Since $\pi_e(G)$ is hypercellular, $\text{conv}(\pi_e(C))$ is a cell by Theorem A. Thus, $\pi_e(Y)$ is convex in $\pi_e(G)$ by Lemma 11. Therefore $\pi_e(Y)$ is a cell of $\pi_e(N(E_f))$.

Conversely, let Y be a cell in the carrier of f in $\pi_e(G)$ and Y' be its expansion with respect to e . By Lemma 6, Y' is convex and by Proposition 3 Y' is either a product of cycles and thus a cell of $N(E_f)$, or Y' consists of two cells Y'', Y''' separated by E_e , where say Y'' is isomorphic to Y . Since f crosses Y'' , Y'' is in $N(E_f)$ and Y arises as its contraction, so we are done. \square

Lemma 17. *Let G be a hypercellular graph. Then any two cells Y', Y'' of $X(G)$ either are disjoint or intersect in a cell of $X(G)$.*

Proof. Let Y', Y'' be two arbitrary intersecting cells of G . Let $Y_0 := Y' \cap Y''$. Since Y' and Y'' are gated subgraphs of G , Y_0 is also gated. In particular, Y_0 is a gated subgraph of Y' . Since Y' is a product of edges and cycles $F_1 \times \dots \times F_m$, by Lemma 11, Y_0 is a product $F'_1 \times \dots \times F'_m$, where each F'_i is a vertex, an edge, or the whole factor F_i . Hence Y_0 is a cell and we are done. Now suppose that some $F'_i \cong P = (x, \dots, y, \dots, z)$ is a path of length ≥ 2 within the cyclic factor F_i . Since P is convex, the length of P must be less than half of the length of F_i . Thus the antipodal vertex of y in the F_i , say y' , is not in P . Now, y' cannot have a gate w in P , since if w is between x and y there is no shortest path from y' through w to z . Symmetrically, if w is between y and z there is no shortest path from y' through w to x . \square

Lemma 18. *Let G be a hypercellular graph and $f \in \Lambda$. If two cells Y', Y'' of $N(E_f)$ intersect, then they share an edge of E_f .*

Proof. Let $y \in Y' \cap Y''$ and suppose without loss of generality that $y \in H_f^-$. Since $Y' \in N(E_f)$, there exists an edge $u'v' \in E_f$ with $u', v' \in Y'$. Suppose $u' \in H_f^-$ and $v' \in H_f^+$. If $v' \in Y''$, then $u' \in I(v', y) \subset Y''$ by convexity of Y'' , thus the edge $u'v'$ belongs to $Y' \cap Y''$ and we are done. So, suppose $v' \notin Y''$. Let v be the gate of v' in Y'' and let x be a vertex of $Y'' \cap H_f^+$ (such a vertex exists because $Y'' \in N(E_f)$). Since $v \in I(v', x)$ and H_f^+ is convex, we conclude that $v \in H_f^+$. Since $y \in H_f^-$, on any shortest path P from v to y we will meet an edge $v''u''$ of E_f . Since $v'', u'' \in I(v, y)$, $v, y \in Y''$, and Y'' is convex, the edge $v''u''$ belongs to Y'' . On the other hand, since $v \in I(v', y)$ and Y' is convex, we conclude that the edge $v''u''$ also belongs to Y' . \square

Proposition 7. *For any equivalence class E_f of a hypercellular graph G , the carrier $N(E_f)$ is a gated subgraph of G . Therefore, $N^+(E_f)$ is gated in the halfspace H_f^+ , $N^-(E_f)$ is gated in H_f^- , and the extended halfspaces $H_f^+ \cup N(E_f)$ and $H_f^- \cup N(E_f)$ are gated in G .*

Proof. First, since by Lemma 13 the relations Θ and Ψ^* coincide, $N^+(E_f)$, $N^-(E_f)$ and consequently $N(E_f)$ are connected subgraphs of G .

Through Claims 7, 8, and 9 we will prove that $N^+(E_f)$ is convex. Suppose that $N^+(E_f)$ is not convex. Choose two vertices $y, z \in N^+(E_f)$ with minimal distance $k(y, z) := d_{N^+(E_f)}(y, z)$ that can be connected by a shortest path R of G outside $N^+(E_f)$. Let P be a shortest y, z -path in $N^+(E_f)$. Let us prove that P is a shortest path of G . If this was not the case, we could replace y by its neighbor y' in P . But from the minimality in the choice of y, z , we conclude that $I(y', z) \subseteq N^+(E_f)$. Thus, the subpath of P between y' and z is a shortest path of G . Now, since $y \notin I(y', z)$, we have $z \in W(y', y)$, yielding that P is a shortest path of G . Again by the choice of y, z , we conclude that P and R intersect only in their common endvertices y, z .

Claim 7. *Any shortest path between a vertex of P and a vertex of R passes via y or z . In particular, $C := P \cup R$ is an isometric cycle of G .*

Proof. We claim that if Q is a shortest path connecting two interior vertices p of P and r of R , then Q passes via y or z . Suppose that this is not the case. Then we can find a shortest path $Q = (p := q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}, q_k := r)$ between two interior vertices p of P and r of R such that $Q \cap C = \{p, r\}$. Since $p, r \in I(y, z)$ and $Q \subset I(p, r)$, we conclude that $Q \subset I(y, z)$, because intervals of partial cubes are convex. This yields $q_1 \in I(p, y) \cup I(p, z)$, since otherwise $y, z \in W(p, q_1)$ and $q_1 \in I(y, z)$ contradict the convexity of $W(p, q_1)$. Since $k(p, y) < k(z, y)$ and $k(p, z) < k(z, y)$, we conclude that $I(p, y) \cup I(p, z) \subset N^+(E_f)$, giving $q_1 \in N^+(E_f)$. We can iterate this argument by first replacing p by q_1 and q_1 by q_2 , etc., and obtain that all vertices $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{k-1}, q_k = r$ belong to $N^+(E_f)$ and $k(q_i, y) < k(y, z), k(q_i, z) < k(y, z)$. In particular, $r \in N^+(E_f)$ and $k(r, y) < k(y, z), k(r, z) < k(y, z)$, thus by our assumption $R \subset I(r, y) \cup I(r, z) \subset N^+(E_f)$. This contradiction shows that the path Q does not exist, i.e., any shortest path between a vertex of P and a vertex of R passes via y or z . In particular, this implies that $C = P \cup R$ is an isometric cycle of G . \square

Claim 8. *$C = P \cup R$ is a convex cycle of G .*

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Lemma 13. If C is not convex, then by Claim 7 there exist two vertices $p, p' \in P$ connected by a shortest path P' which intersects P only in p, p' or there exist two vertices $r, r' \in R$ connected by a shortest path R' which intersects R only in r, r' . Let P'' be the subpath of P between p and p' in the first case and let R'' be the subpath of R between r and r' in the second case. Let C' be the cycle obtained from C by replacing the path P'' by P' in the first case and let C'' be the cycle obtained from C by replacing the path R'' by R' in the second case. If the first case occurs and $\{p, p'\} \neq \{y, z\}$, then $k(p, p') < k(y, z)$, whence $P' \subset N^+(E_f)$. Therefore applying Claim 7 to the cycle C' instead of C , we conclude that C' is an isometric cycle of G . Analogously, if

$\{r, r'\} \neq \{y, z\}$, then no vertex of R' belongs to $N^+(E_f)$. Indeed, if say $w \in R' \cap N(E_f)$, then $k(w, y) < k(y, z), k(w, z) < k(y, z)$ and by minimality the vertices r and r' belong to $N(E_f)$, contrary to the assumption that $R \cap N(E_f) = \{y, z\}$. Again applying Claim 7 to the cycle C'' instead of C , we conclude that C'' is an isometric cycle of G . Finally, if $\{p, p'\} = \{y, z\}$, then $P'' = P$ and one can see that either $P' \subseteq N^+(E_f)$ and C' is an isometric cycle of G or $P' \cap N^+(E_f) = \{y, z\}$ and we redefine C' as the cycle formed by P and P' , which is isometric by Claim 7. Similarly, if $\{r, r'\} = \{y, z\}$, then $R'' = R$ and we can suppose that C'' is an isometric cycle of G sharing with C either the path P or the path R . Consequently, in all cases we derive a new isometric cycle of G (C' or C'') obtained by replacing either a subpath P'' of P by P' or replacing a subpath R'' of R by R' . Suppose without loss of generality that we are in the first case, i.e., the new isometric cycle is C' .

Let x'' be a vertex of P'' different from p, p' . Let x be the opposite of x'' in the cycle C and let x' be the opposite of x in the cycle C' . Since C and C' are isometric cycles of the same length of G , x' is a vertex of P' different from p, p' . Then $p, p' \in I(x, x')$, thus by convexity of $I(x, x')$ we obtain that $x'' \in I(x, x')$. But this is impossible because x' and x'' have the same distance to x because they are opposite to x in C and C' , respectively, and C and C' have the same length. This proves that C is convex. \square

Since the cells of $N(E_f)$ are convex, the path $P \subset N^+(E_f)$ of C cannot be contained in a single cell. Thus there exist two consecutive edges $e' = uv$ and $e'' = vw$ of P and two cells Y', Y'' of $N(E_f)$ such that $u \in Y' \setminus Y'', w \in Y'' \setminus Y'$, and $v \in Y' \cap Y''$. By the following claim this is impossible.

Claim 9. *If there exist two cells Y', Y'' of $N(E_f)$ and a convex cycle C with edges $e' = uv, e'' = vw$ on it, such that $u \in Y' \setminus Y'', w \in Y'' \setminus Y'$, and $v \in Y' \cap Y''$, then there exists a cell in $N(E_f)$ that includes C .*

Proof. By Lemma 17, the intersection $Y' \cap Y''$ is a product of edges and cycles. Since $Y', Y'' \in N(E_f)$, Lemma 18 yields that $Y' \cap Y''$ contains at least one edge from E_f . Let F be a factor of $Y' \cap Y''$ and L be a corresponding layer that is crossed by E_f . We will establish the claim by proving that e', e'' lie in a cell of $N(E_f)$, that is isomorphic to $L \times C$. Pick any edge $e = vz$ in $Y' \cap Y''$ that lies in the layer L .

First assume that e and e' lie in the same layer of Y' . The factor F is an edge or an even cycle, but it must be a strict subset of a factor of Y' since $e' \notin Y' \cap Y''$. Thus L is isomorphic to an edge and this edge must be in E_f . In particular, $e \in E_f$. Since Y' and Y'' are products of edges and cycles, there exists a convex cycle C' of Y' passing via the edges e' and e and there exists a convex cycle C'' of Y'' passing via the edges e and e'' . By Lemma 15, $C, C',$ and C'' are contained in a cell Y of G . Since $e \in E_f$, this cell is in $N(E_f)$.

By symmetry, we are left with the case that e and e' as well as e and e'' lie in different layers of Y' and Y'' , respectively. Consequently, there exists a 4-cycle $C' = (u, v, z, u')$ of Y' passing via the edges e' and e and a 4-cycle $C'' = (w, v, z, w')$ of Y'' passing via the edges e and e'' . By Lemma 15, $C, C',$ and C'' are contained in a cell $Y \cong C \times K_2$ of G , where e lies in a layer corresponding to the factor K_2 . If $e \in E_f$, then Y is a cell in $N(E_f)$ and

we are done. If $e \notin E_f$, then L is isomorphic to an even cycle. Consider z , and let z' be its neighbor, different from v , that lies in $Y' \cap Y''$ in L . Since z is in Y , z is incident to a cycle C' isomorphic to C and lies on the path (u', z, w') of C' . Considering C' and edges $u'z$, zw' , and zz' , we can as before with C , e' , e'' , and e , obtain a cell Z isomorphic to $C \times K_2$. The union of Y and Z is isomorphic to $C \times P_3$, where P_3 is the path on 3 vertices. Inductively picking neighbors in the layer L we obtain a graph isomorphic to $C \times F$, that contains C . \square

We have shown that $N^+(E_f)$ and symmetrically $N^-(E_f)$ are convex. To see that $N(E_f)$ is convex, pick two vertices $x \in N^+(E_f)$, $y \in N^-(E_f)$, a shortest (x, y) -path R and a vertex z of R . Since R connects a vertex of H_f^+ with a vertex of H_f^- , necessarily R contains an edge $x'y'$ in E_f , say $x' \in H_f^+$ and $y' \in H_f^-$. The vertex z belongs to one of the two subpaths of R between x and x' or y' and y , say the first. Then $z \in I(x, x')$. Since $x, x' \in N^+(E_f)$ and $N^+(E_f)$ is convex, we conclude that $z \in N^+(E_f) \subset N(E_f)$, showing that the carrier $N(E_f)$ is convex.

Now, suppose that G is a minimal graph in $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ containing a non-gated carrier $N(E_f)$. Since $N(E_f)$ is convex and by Lemma 16 any contraction $\pi_e(N(E_f))$ for $e \in \Lambda, e \neq f$, is the carrier of E_f in $G' = \pi_e(G)$ and thus is gated in G' , by Proposition 1 there exist two vertices $x_1, x_2 \in N(E_f)$ with $d_G(x_1, x_2) = 2$ and a vertex $v \notin N(E_f)$ at distance 2 from x_1, x_2 , such that the vertices v, x_1, x_2 do not contain a common neighbor. Since $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$, the last condition implies that the convex hull of v, x_1, x_2 is a 6-cycle C_1 . Let u be the unique common neighbor of x_1 and x_2 in C . Since $N(E_f)$ is convex, u also belongs to $N(E_f)$. Namely, if say $v \in H_f^+$, then $x_1, u, x_2 \in N^+(E_f)$. Since by Proposition 2 each cell of G is gated, the vertices x_1 and x_2 cannot belong to a common cell. Thus there exist two cells Y', Y'' of $N(E_f)$ such that the edge x_1u belongs to Y' and ux_2 belongs to Y'' . By Claim 9, there exists a cell Y of the carrier $N(E_f)$ that includes C , contrary to the assumption that the vertex v of C does not belong to $N(E_f)$. This establishes that $N(E_f)$ is gated. By Lemma 10 also $N^+(E_f)$ is gated in H_f^+ and $N^-(E_f)$ is gated in H_f^- . Consequently, the extended halfspaces $H_f^+ \cup N(E_f)$ and $H_f^- \cup N(E_f)$ are gated in G . \square

Now, we are ready to prove the following result (the equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (iv) of Theorem B):

Theorem 2. *A partial cube G is hypercellular if and only if each finite convex subgraph of G can be obtained by gated amalgams from Cartesian products of edges and even cycles.*

Proof. First suppose that a finite graph G is obtained by gated amalgam from two graphs $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$. Suppose by way of contradiction that $G \notin \mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ and suppose that G is a minimal such graph. Then any proper convex subgraph H of G is either contained in one of the graphs G_1, G_2 or is the gated amalgam of $H \cap G_1$ and $H \cap G_2$, thus $H \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ by minimality of G . Thus there exists a sequence of contractions of G to the graph Q_3^- . Let E_f be the first such contraction, i.e., the graph $G' := \pi_f(G)$ does not belong to $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$. On the other hand, by Lemma 10, $G'_1 := \pi_f(G_1)$ and $G'_2 := \pi_f(G_2)$ are gated subgraphs of G' . Moreover, G'_1 and G'_2 belong to $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ because G_1 and G_2 belong to $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ and $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ is closed by contractions. As a result we obtain that the graph $G' \notin \mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ is

the gated amalgam of the graphs $G'_1, G'_2 \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$, contrary to the minimality of G . This establishes that the subclass of $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ consisting of finite graphs from $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ is closed by gated amalgams.

Conversely, suppose that G is an arbitrary finite convex subgraph of a graph from $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$. We follow the schema of proof of implication (3) \Rightarrow (4) of [5, Theorem 1]. If G is a single cell, then we are done. Otherwise, we claim that G is a gated amalgamation of two proper gated subgraphs G_1 and G_2 .

First suppose that there exist two disjoint maximal cells Y' and Y'' . Let $y' \in Y'$ and $y'' \in Y''$ be two vertices realizing the distance $d(Y', Y'') = \min\{d(x, z) : x \in Y', z \in Y''\}$. Since $Y' \cap Y'' = \emptyset$, necessarily $y' \neq y''$. Since Y' and Y'' are gated, from the choice of y', y'' it follows that y' is the gate of y'' in Y' and y'' is the gate of y' in Y'' . Let y be a neighbor of y' on a shortest path between y' and y'' . Suppose that the edge $y'y$ belongs to the equivalence class E_f . Notice that y'' is also the gate of y in Y'' and y' is the gate of y in Y' . Therefore $Y' \subseteq W(y', y) = H_f^+$ and $Y'' \subseteq W(y, y') = H_f^-$. Consequently, Y' and Y'' are not contained in the carrier $N(E_f)$, thus $H_f^+ \setminus N(E_f)$ and $H_f^- \setminus N(E_f)$ are nonempty. By Proposition 7, $N(E_f)$, $H_f^+ \cup N(E_f)$, and $H_f^- \cup N(E_f)$ are gated subgraphs of G , thus G is the gated amalgam of $H_f^+ \cup N(E_f)$ and $H_f^- \cup N(E_f)$ along the common gated subgraph $N(E_f)$.

Thus further we may suppose that all maximal cells of G pairwise intersect. Since they are gated and G is finite, by the Helly theorem for gated sets [43, Proposition 5.12 (2)], the maximal cells of G intersect in a non-empty cell X_0 .

Claim 10. *There exists an equivalence class E_f of G such that the carrier $N(E_f)$ of E_f does not contain all maximal cells of G and E_f contains an edge uv with $v \in X_0$ and $u \notin X_0$. Moreover, all maximal cells of the carrier $N(E_f)$ contain the edge uv .*

Proof. By definition, X_0 is a proper face of each maximal cell X of G . Therefore, there exists an edge uv with $v \in X_0$ and $u \in X \setminus X_0$. Suppose that uv belongs to the equivalence class E_f of G . Then $X_0 \subseteq W(v, u)$. Notice that X belongs to the carrier $N(E_f)$ of E_f . Since $u \notin X_0$, there exists a maximal cell X' such that $u \notin X'$. Since $v \in X'$, we assert that X' does not belong to $N(E_f)$. Indeed, suppose E_f contains an edge $u''v''$ with both ends in X' . Assume without loss of generality that $W(u, v) = W(u'', v'')$ and $W(v, u) = W(v'', u'')$. Since $u \in I(v, u'')$ and $v, u'' \in X'$, by the convexity of X' we conclude that $u \in X'$, a contradiction. This shows that $N(E_f)$ consists of all maximal cells containing the edge uv . \square

Let E_f be an equivalence class of G as in Claim 10, in particular, uv is an edge of E_f with $v \in X_0$ and $u \notin X_0$. Let X_1, \dots, X_k be the maximal cells of G containing the edge uv . By the second assertion of Claim 10, $N(E_f)$ coincides with the union $\bigcup_{j=1}^k X_j$. Let X_{k+1}, \dots, X_m be the remaining maximal cells of G , i.e., the maximal cells not containing the vertex u (such cells exist by the choice of E_f). Set $Y := \bigcup_{i=k+1}^m X_i$ and notice that by the choice of X_0 we have $Y \subseteq W(v, u)$.

Let Z be the subgraph of G induced by the intersection of $N(E_f)$ with Y , i.e., $Z = \bigcup_{i=k+1}^m Z_i$, where $Z_i := N(E_f) \cap X_i$, $i = k+1, \dots, m$. By Proposition 7, $N(E_f)$ is gated.

Since by Proposition 2 each cell X_i of Y is also gated, each Z_i is gated, and thus is a face of X_i , $i = k + 1, \dots, m$, by Lemma 11.

Now we define a gated subgraph Z^* of G , which extends Z and *separates* $N(E_f)$ from Y , i.e., it contains their intersection and there is no edge from $N(E_f) \setminus Z^* \neq \emptyset$ to $Y \setminus Z^* \neq \emptyset$. Each maximal cell X_j in $N(E_f)$ is a Cartesian product of edges and even cycles, say $X_j = F_1 \times \dots \times F_p$. Let L_j be the layer of X_j containing the edge uv . Suppose that $L_j = \{v_1\} \times \dots \times \{v_{l-1}\} \times F_l \times \{v_{l+1}\} \times \dots \times \{v_p\}$, where F_l is the l th factor of X_j and v_s is a vertex of the factor F_s , $s \neq l$. If $L_j = uv$, i.e. L_j comes from an edge-factor $F_l = u'_j v'_j$, then set $Z_j^* := F_1 \times \dots \times F_{l-1} \times \{v'_j\} \times F_{l+1} \times \dots \times F_p$. Since $u \notin Z_j^*$, Z_j^* is a proper gated subgraph of X_j . Now, suppose that L_j comes from a cyclic factor F_l of X_j . Let vw_j be the edge of L_j incident to v and different from uv . Suppose that the edges uv and vw_j of L come from the edges $u'_j v'_j$ and $v'_j w'_j$ of F_l , respectively. Set $Z_j^* := F_1 \times \dots \times F_{l-1} \times \{v'_j, w'_j\} \times F_{l+1} \times \dots \times F_p$. Again, since $u \notin Z_j^*$, Z_j^* is a proper gated subgraph of X_j . Equivalently, Z_j^* is the subgraph of X_j induced by all vertices of X_j whose gates in the gated cycle L_j is either v or w_j . Notice also that in both cases Z_j^* is a proper face of X_j included in $W(v, u)$. Finally, set $Z^* := \bigcup_{j=1}^k Z_j^*$.

Claim 11. *For each $j = 1, \dots, k$, we have $Z^* \cap X_j = Z_j^*$.*

Proof. By definition, $Z_j^* \subseteq Z^* \cap X_j$. To prove the converse inclusion, it suffices to show that for any $j' \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $j' \neq j$, we have $Z_{j'}^* \cap X_j \subseteq Z_j^*$. Consider the layers L_j of X_j and $L_{j'}$ of $X_{j'}$ containing the edge uv . Each of them consists either of the edge uv or is a gated cycle of G . If L_j is uv , then Z_j^* coincides with $X_j \cap W(v, u)$. Since $Z_{j'}^* \subseteq W(v, u)$, necessarily $Z_{j'}^* \subseteq X_j \subseteq Z_j^*$. Now suppose that L_j is an even cycle. Suppose by way of contradiction that $Z_{j'}^* \cap X_j$ contains a vertex x not included in Z_j^* . Since $x \in W(v, u)$, the gate of x in L_j is a vertex x' of $L_j \cap W(v, u)$ different from v . Since $X_{j'}$ is convex, $x', v \in I(x, u) \subset X_{j'}$. Since $X_{j'}$ is gated and contains three different vertices u, v, x' of the gated cycle L_j , necessarily $X_{j'}$ contains the entire cycle L_j . This implies $L_{j'} = L_j$ and $w_j = w_{j'}$. By definition of $Z_{j'}^*$, we also conclude that $x' = w_{j'}$. Since $x \in X_j$, by definition of Z_j^* we must have $x \in Z_j^*$, contrary to the choice of x . \square

Claim 12. *For each $i = k + 1, \dots, m$, we have $Z^* \cap X_i = Z_i$. In particular, $Z^* \cap Y = Z$.*

Proof. For each maximal cell X_j , $i = 1, \dots, k$, of $N(E_f)$, consider the intersection Z_{ji} of X_j with each cell X_i , $i = k + 1, \dots, m$, of Y . From the definition of Z it follows that each Z_i , $i = k + 1, \dots, m$, can be viewed as the union of all Z_{ji} , $j = 1, \dots, k$, thus Z can be viewed as the union of all Z_{ji} , $j = 1, \dots, k$, $i = k + 1, \dots, m$.

Now, let $k + 1 \leq i \leq m$. First we prove that for any $1 \leq j \leq k$ the set Z_{ji} is included in $X_j \cap Z^*$ (which coincides with Z_j^* by Claim 11). This is obviously so if the layer L_j is the edge uv : in this case, since $X_i \subset W(v, u)$, $Z_{ji} = X_j \cap X_i$ is a subset of $W(v, u) \cap X_j = Z_j^*$. Now, suppose that L_j is an even cycle. Suppose by way of contradiction that $Z_{ji} = X_j \cap X_i$ contains a vertex x whose gate x' in L_j is different from v and w_j . Since $x \in W(v, u)$, necessarily w_j and x' belong to the interval $I(x, v)$. Since $x, v \in Z_{ji}$ and Z_{ji} is convex, $w_j, x' \in Z_{ji}$.

Since Z_{ji} and L_j are gated and $Z_{ji} \cap L_j$ contains the vertices u, v, x' , necessarily L_j must be included in Z_{ji} . Since $u \in L_j \setminus Z_{ji}$, we obtained a contradiction. This establishes the inclusion $Z_{ji} \subseteq Z^* \cap X_i \subseteq Z^*$.

We have $Z^* \cap X_i = (\bigcup_{j=1}^k Z_j^*) \cap X_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^k (Z_j^* \cap X_i)$. By Claim 11, the latter equals to $\bigcup_{j=1}^k (Z^* \cap X_j \cap X_i) = \bigcup_{j=1}^k (Z^* \cap Z_{ji}) = \bigcup_{j=1}^k Z_{ji}$, where the last equation holds by the inclusion established above. Finally, by the definition, $\bigcup_{j=1}^k Z_{ji} = Z_i$. \square

Claim 13. *Let S be a subgraph of G such that the intersection of S with any maximal cell of G is non-empty and gated (i.e., a face by Lemma 11). Then S is a gated subgraph of G .*

Proof. Let X be a maximal cell of G , $x \in X$ a vertex, and $S^* := S \cap X$. By our assumptions, S^* is a nonempty face of X , thus a gated subgraph of G . Let x^* be the gate of x in S^* . We assert that x^* is also the gate of x in the set S , i.e., for any vertex $y \in S$, we have $x^* \in I(x, y)$. Suppose that y belongs to a maximal by inclusion cell R in S . Let $R_0 := X \cap R$ and let x_0 be the gate of x in R_0 . Since $R \subseteq S$, necessarily $R_0 \subseteq S^*$, whence $x^* \in I(x, x_0)$. Therefore, to prove that $x^* \in I(x, y)$ it suffices to show that $x_0 \in I(x, y)$. For this it is enough to prove that x_0 is the gate of x in R . Suppose by way of contradiction that the gate of x in R is a vertex x' different from x_0 . Then $x' \in I(x, x_0) \subset X$ because X is convex. Since $x' \in R$, we conclude that $x' \in X \cap R = R_0$. This contradicts the assumption that x_0 is the gate of x in R_0 . Hence x^* is the gate of x in S , establishing that S is gated. \square

By Claims 11 and 12, the intersection of Z^* with each cell $X_i, i = 1, \dots, m$, of G is a proper face of X_i (and thus a gated subgraph of G). Hence Z^* satisfies the conditions of Claim 13, thus Z^* is a gated subgraph of G . Since $Z^* \subseteq N(E_f) \cap W(v, u)$ and $u \in N(E_f) \setminus Z^*$, Z^* is a proper subgraph of $N(E_f)$. Since by Claim 12 $Z^* \cap Y = Z$ and Z is a proper subgraph of Y , the gated subgraph Z^* separates any vertex of $N(E_f) \setminus Z^* \neq \emptyset$ from any vertex of $Y \setminus Z^* = Y \setminus Z \neq \emptyset$. Consequently, G is the gated amalgam of $N(E_f)$ and $Y \cup Z^*$ along Z^* , concluding the proof of the theorem. \square

5. THE MEDIAN CELL PROPERTY

Three (not necessarily distinct) vertices x, y, z of a graph G are said to form a *metric triangle* xyz if the intervals $I(x, y), I(y, z)$, and $I(z, x)$ pairwise intersect only in the common end vertices. A (degenerate) equilateral metric triangle of size 0 is simply a single vertex. We say that a metric triangle xyz is a *quasi-median* of the triplet u, v, w if

$$d(u, v) = d(u, x) + d(x, y) + d(y, v),$$

$$d(v, w) = d(v, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, w),$$

$$d(w, u) = d(w, z) + d(z, x) + d(x, u).$$

Observe that, for every triplet u, v, w , a quasi-median xyz can be constructed in the following way: first select any vertex x from $I(u, v) \cap I(u, w)$ at maximal distance to u , then select a vertex y from $I(v, x) \cap I(v, w)$ at maximal distance to v , and finally select any vertex z from

$I(w, x) \cap I(w, y)$ at maximal distance to w . In the case that the quasi-median is degenerate ($x = y = z$), it is a median of the triplet u, v, w .

We continue with the following characterization of metric triangles in hypercellular graphs:

Proposition 8. *If G is a hypercellular graph and xyz is a metric triangle of G , then x, y, z belong to a common cell of G . In particular, the gated hull $\langle\langle x, y, z \rangle\rangle$ coincides with the convex hull $\text{conv}(x, y, z)$ and is a cell of G .*

Proof. First we prove the result for an arbitrary finite hypercellular graph G . By Theorem B either G is a single cell and we are done, or G is a gated amalgam of two proper gated subgraphs G_1 and G_2 . Suppose without loss of generality that $y, z \in V(G_1)$. If $x \in V(G_1)$, then we can apply induction hypothesis to G_1 and conclude that x, y, z belong to a common cell of G_1 , and thus to a common cell of G . Now suppose that $x \in V(G_2) \setminus V(G_1)$. Let x' be the gate of x in G_1 . Since x' belongs to G_1 and x not, $x' \neq x$. Since $x' \in I(x, y) \cap I(x, z)$, we obtain a contradiction with the assumption that xyz is a metric triangle of G . Thus x, y, z belong to a common cell of G . Since each cell of G is gated and xyz is a metric triangle, the gated hull of x, y, z coincides with the convex hull $\text{conv}(x, y, z)$ and is a cell.

Now, suppose that G is an arbitrary hypercellular graph. Let G' be the subgraph induced by the convex hull of x, y , and z . Then G' is a finite hypercellular graph. By the above result for finite graphs, we have that G' is a convex Cartesian product of edges and even cycles. Therefore, G' is the convex hull of an isometric cycle of G . By Theorem A, G' is a gated cell of G . \square

For a triple of vertices u, v, w of a graph G , a u -*apex* relative to v and w is a vertex $x := (uvw) \in I(u, v) \cap I(u, w)$ such that $I(u, x)$ is maximal with respect to inclusion. A graph G is *apiculate* [6] if and only if for any vertex u the vertex set of G is a meet-semilattice with respect to the base-point order \preceq_u defined by $v \preceq_u v' \Leftrightarrow v \in I(u, v')$, that is, $I(u, v) \cap I(u, w) = I(u, (uvw))$ for any vertices v, w . Note that many partial cubes are not apiculate, see [15] for this discussion with respect to tope graphs of oriented matroids. For any triplet u, v, w of vertices of an apiculate graph G , the vertices u, v, w admit unique apices $x := (uvw), y := (vuw)$, and $z := (wuv)$ and admit a unique quasi-median defined by the metric triangle xyz .

Lemma 19. [6, Proposition 2] *Every Pasch graph G is apiculate. Consequently, every hypercellular graph is apiculate.*

We say that a triplet u, v, w of vertices in an apiculate graph G admits a *median cell* (respectively, a *median cycle*) if the gated hull $\langle\langle x, y, z \rangle\rangle$ of the unique quasi-median xyz of u, v, w is a Cartesian product of vertices, edges, and cycles (respectively, a cycle or a single vertex). Notice that any median-cell is either a vertex or is a Cartesian product of even cycles of length ≥ 6 . A graph G is called *cell-median* (respectively, *cycle-median*) if G is apiculate and any triplet u, v, w of G admits a unique median cell (respectively, unique median cycle or vertex). By Proposition 3 of [5], bipartite cellular graphs are cycle-median. This result has been extended in [39] by showing that all graphs which are gated amalgams of even cycles and

hypercubes are cycle-median, and those are exactly the netlike cycle-median partial cubes. Now, we are ready to prove Theorem E.

Theorem 3. *A partial cube $G = (V, E)$ is cell-median if and only if G is hypercellular.*

Proof. First we prove that hypercellular graphs are cell-median. By Corollary 3 and Lemma 19 it follows that any graph G from $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ is apiculate. Therefore, to show that G is cell-median it suffices to show that if xyz is a metric triangle of G , then the gated hull $\langle\langle x, y, z \rangle\rangle$ of x, y, z is a cell; this is Proposition 8.

Conversely, to prove that cell-median partial cubes are hypercellular graphs we will use Theorem B(ii). Namely, we have to prove that a cell-median partial cube G satisfies the 3CC-condition and that any cell X of G is gated. Suppose by way of contradiction, that G contains a cell X and a vertex not having a gate in X . Let v be such a vertex closest to X . Since v does not have a gate, we can find two vertices $x, y \in X$ such that $I(x, v) \cap X = \{x\}$, $I(y, v) \cap X = \{y\}$, and x is closest to v in X . From the choice of v , we conclude that $I(v, x) \cap I(v, y) = \{v\}$. Hence, the vertices v, x , and y define a metric triangle of G . By the median-cell property, the convex hull of v, x, y is a gated cell Y of G . Let $Z := X \cap Y$. Notice that $x, y \in Z$ and $v \notin Z$. Notice also that Z is convex but not gated, otherwise we will get a contradiction with the choice of v . Since Z is convex, Z is a subproduct of X and Y and is a Cartesian product of convex paths and cycles. Let $Z = Z_1 \times Z_2 \times \dots \times Z_m$. Suppose also that $X = X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_m$ and $Y = Y_1 \times Y_2 \times \dots \times Y_m$, where each $X_i, i = 1, \dots, m$, and each $Y_j, j = 1, \dots, m$, is an even cycle, an edge, or a vertex, and each Z_i is a convex subgraph of each X_i and $Y_i, i = 1, \dots, m$. Since Z is not gated, at least one factor, say Z_1 , is a convex path of length at least 2, and X_1 and Y_1 are even cycles.

Let z be a vertex of $Z = Z_1 \times Z_2 \times \dots \times Z_m$ of the form $z = z_1 \times z_2 \times \dots \times z_m$. Then the layers $X_1 \times z_2 \times \dots \times z_m$ of X and $Y_1 \times z_2 \times \dots \times z_m$ of Y are respectively a convex and a gated cycle of G . These two cycles intersect in a path of length at least two, namely in $Z_1 \times z_2 \times \dots \times z_m$. By the following Claim 14, this is impossible. This contradiction establishes that the cell X is gated.

Claim 14. *Let C_1, C_2 be two distinct convex cycles of a partial cube G . If C_2 is gated, then $C_1 \cap C_2$ is empty, a vertex, or an edge of G .*

Proof. Suppose by way of contradiction that $C_1 \cap C_2$ contains a path (v_1, v, v_2) of length 2. Let u be the antipodal to v vertex of C_1 . If $u \in C_2$, then $u, v \in C_2$ and by convexity of C_2 we deduce that $C_1 = I(u, v) \subseteq C_2$, thus $C_1 = C_2$, a contradiction. Consequently, $u \notin C_2$. Let x be the gate of u in C_2 . Since $v_1, v_2 \in C_2$, $x \in I(u, v_1) \cap I(u, v_2)$. From these inclusions we conclude that either $x = v$ or x is the antipodal to v vertex of C_2 . Since $v_1, v_2 \in I(u, v)$, necessarily $x \neq v$. But if x is the antipode of v in C_2 , then $C_2 \subset I(v_1, u) \cup I(v_2, u)$, which is only possible if $C_1 = C_2$. \square

To establish the 3CC-condition, let C_1, C_2, C_3 be three convex cycles of G such that any two cycles $C_i, C_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, intersect in an edge e_{ij} and the three cycles intersect in a vertex x . Since the cells of G are gated, C_1, C_2, C_3 are gated cycles of G . Let $e_{12} = xx_2, e_{23} = xx_0$,

and $e_{13} = xx_3$. Let v_1, v_2 , and v_3 be the vertices of respectively C_1, C_2 , and C_3 antipodal to x . If v_1, v_2 , and v_3 define a metric triangle, then the gated hull of v_1, v_2, v_3 is a Cartesian product of vertices, edges, and even cycles containing C_1, C_2 , and C_3 , and we are done. So suppose without loss of generality that there exists a vertex $u_1 \in I(v_1, v_2) \cap I(v_1, v_3)$ adjacent to v_1 . Notice that x_2 and x_3 are the gates of v_1 in the cycles C_2 and C_3 , respectively. In fact this is true since the gate of v_1 in C_2 must be in $I(v_1, x_2) \cap C_2 = \{x_2\}$ and the gate of v_1 in C_3 must be in $I(v_1, x_3) \cap C_3 = \{x_3\}$.

Since u_1 is adjacent to v_1 , one can easily show that the gates y_2 and y_3 of u_1 in C_2 and C_3 are two vertices adjacent to x_2 and x_3 , respectively. If y_2 or y_3 coincides with x , then $u_1 \in I(v_1, x)$, contrary to the assumption that the cycle C_1 is convex. Thus y_2 is the second neighbor of x_2 in C_2 and y_3 is the second neighbor of x_3 in C_3 . Since $y_2, y_3 \in W(u_1, v_1), x_2, x, x_3 \in W(v_1, u_1)$, and $W(u_1, v_1)$ is convex, we deduce that $d(y_2, y_3) = 2$. Consequently, y_2 and y_3 have a common neighbor z_0 . First suppose that $z_0 \neq x_0$, i.e., x_0 is not adjacent to one of the vertices y_2, y_3 , say x_0 and y_2 are not adjacent. Since C_2 and C_3 are convex, z_0 cannot be adjacent to x . Thus $d(z_0, x) = 3$, whence the 6-cycle $C_0 := (z_0, y_2, x_2, x, x_3, y_3)$ is isometric. Since C_0 intersects C_2 and C_3 along paths of length 2, by Claim 14, this cycle cannot be gated and thus cannot be convex. Since G is cell-median, the convex hull of C_0 cannot be a Q_3^- , thus its convex hull is a 3-cube Q_3 . Therefore the intervals $I(y_2, x)$ and $I(x, y_3)$ are squares of G which necessarily must coincide with C_2 and C_3 . Consequently, x_0 is adjacent to y_2 and y_3 , contrary to the assumption that x_0 and y_2 are not adjacent. Now, suppose that $z_0 = x_0$, i.e., $C_2 = (x, x_2, y_2, x_0)$ and $C_3 = (x, x_0, y_3, x_3)$. In this case, $y_2 = v_2$ and $y_3 = v_3$. If C_1 is also a 4-cycle, then we get an isometric Q_3^- , which must be completed to a 3-cube, otherwise v_1, y_2 , and y_3 define a metric triangle whose gated hull is not a cell.

So, C_1 is a cycle of length at least 6. We assert that the gated hull of v_1, y_2 , and y_3 is a cell isomorphic to $C_1 \times K_2$. For the sake of contradiction, assume that this is not the case and assume that C_1 has minimal length among all convex cycles with two 4-cycles attached to them such that they pairwise intersect in three different edges, all three in a vertex, and their convex hull is not a cell. If the vertices y_2, y_3 have a second common neighbor p , then we get an isometric Q_3^- which must be completed to a Q_3 . Consequently, x_2 and x_3 have a common neighbor different from x , which is impossible because C_1 is convex. Thus x_0 is the unique common neighbor of y_2 and y_3 . Let u_1^* be the apex of u_1 with respect to the pair y_2, y_3 . We assert that $u_1 = u_1^*$. Suppose not and let u_1' be a neighbor of u_1 in $I(u_1, u_1^*)$. Consider the gate of u_1' in C_1 . If this gate is not the vertex v_1 , then it must be one of the neighbors of v_1 in C_1 and u_1' must be adjacent to this vertex. But if this is say the neighbor v_1' of v_1 in the path $I(v_1, x_2)$, then v_1' cannot belong to a shortest path between u_1' and x_3 , whence v_1' cannot serve as a gate of u_1' . Thus v_1 must be the gate of u_1' in C_1 . In this case, $d(u_1', x_2) = 2 + d(v_1, x_2) = d(u_2', y_2) + 1$. Since $d(u_1', y_2) = d(u_1, y_2) - 1$ and $d(u_1, y_2) + 1 = d(u_1, x_2) = 1 + d(v_1, x_2)$, we will obtain a contradiction. This shows that $u_1^* = u_1$, i.e., $I(u_1, y_2) \cap I(u_1, y_3) = \{u_1\}$. Since y_2 and y_3 are closer to u_1 than x_0 and x_0 is the unique common neighbor of y_2 and y_3 , we conclude that the triplet u_1, y_2, y_3 defines a metric triangle. Hence $\langle\langle u_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle\rangle$ is a gated cell U of G .

Since (y_2, x_0, y_3) is a convex path of length 2 of the cell $U = U_1 \times \dots \times U_m$, necessarily (y_2, x_0, y_3) is contained in a layer of U which is a gated cycle C'_1 of G , say $C'_1 = U_1 \times u_2 \times \dots \times u_m$ for a cyclic factor U_1 of length ≥ 6 . First suppose that $u_1 \notin C'_1$. Then the length of C'_1 is smaller than the length of C_1 . From the choice of C_1 and since C'_1 pairwise intersects the cycles C_2 and C_3 , we conclude that the gated hull of $C'_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ is a cell U' isomorphic to $C'_1 \times K_2$. But then in U' we can find a gated cycle C''_1 isomorphic to C'_1 and containing the convex path (x_2, x, x_3) . Since C''_1 is shorter than C_1 and $x_1, x, x_3 \in C''_1 \cap C_1$, we obtain a contradiction with Claim 14. Now, let $u_1 \in C'_1$. Then obviously the cell U coincides with C'_1 . Since C'_1 and C_1 are gated cycles of the same length and we have the edges $v_1 u_1, x_2 y_2, x x_0$, and $x_3 y_3$, one can easily show that any vertex z' of C'_1 is adjacent to a unique vertex z of C_1 such that the subgraph H of G induced by $C_1 \cup C'_1$ is isomorphic to $C_1 \times K_2$. To conclude the proof of the 3CC-condition, it remains to show that H is a convex subgraph of G . For this it suffices to show that for any vertex $q \notin V(H)$ adjacent to a vertex p of H , q does not belong to a shortest path between p and some vertex q' of H . Suppose without loss of generality that $p \in C_1$ and let p' be the unique neighbor of p in C'_1 . Then obviously p is the gate of q in C_1 , thus $p \in I(q, r_1)$ for every $r_1 \in C_1$. Analogously, p' must be the gate of q in C'_1 , otherwise since $d(q, p') = 2$, the gate of q must be one of the neighbors of p' in C'_1 and we obtain a $K_{2,3}$, which is forbidden in partial cubes. Therefore $p' \in I(q, r_2)$ for any vertex $r_2 \in C'_1$. Since $p \in I(q, p')$, we conclude that $p \in I(q, r_2)$. This implies that $p \in I(q, q')$, thus q cannot lie in $I(p, q')$. This establishes the 3CC-condition and concludes the proof of the theorem. \square

6. PROPERTIES OF HYPERCELLULAR GRAPHS

We continue with several properties of hypercellular graphs, in particular we prove Theorems D, E, and F. First, we show how hypercellular graphs are related with other known classes of partial cubes. We also establish some basic properties of geodesic convexity in hypercellular graphs and establish a fixed-cell property. Some of these results directly follow from Theorem B.

6.1. Relations with other classes of partial cubes. By one of their characterizations provided in [5], *bipartite cellular graphs* are the bipartite graphs in which all isometric cycles are gated. It is shown in [5] that bipartite cellular graphs are partial cubes and that any finite bipartite graph is a bipartite cellular graph if it can be obtained by successive gated amalgamations from its isometric cycles. In [39], Polat investigated a class of netlike partial cubes in which each finite convex subgraph is a gated amalgam of even cycles - let us call them *Polat graphs* for now. They are exactly the netlike partial cubes satisfying the median cycle property and generalize bipartite cellular graphs as well as median graphs. Theorem B and Theorem C have the following corollary:

Corollary 1. *Bipartite cellular graphs are precisely the graphs in $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-, Q_3)$, while median graphs are precisely the graphs in $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-, C_6)$ and Polat graphs are $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-, C_6 \times K_2)$. In*

particular, the latter class contains the first two and all three classes are contained in the class of hypercellular graphs.

Proof. Since the hypercellular graphs are exactly the graphs from $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$, the last assertion follows from the first ones. Median graphs, bipartite cellular graphs, and Polat graphs are pc-minor closed families. Since Q_3^- and Q_3 are not cellular, Q_3^- and C_6 are not median, and Q_3^- and $C_6 \times K_2$ are not Polat graphs, this settles the inclusion of all three families in $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-, Q_3)$, $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-, C_6)$, and $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-, C_6 \times K_2)$, respectively.

Conversely, let G be a graph from $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-, Q_3)$. Since G is hypercellular, by Theorem B any finite convex subgraph of G can be obtained by successive gated amalgamations from cells. Since Q_3 is a forbidden pc-minor, all cells of G are edges or even cycles. Thus G is a bipartite cellular graph.

Analogously, let G be a graph from $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-, C_6)$. Then G does not contain convex cycles of length ≥ 6 . Hence any cell of G is a cube. Consequently, any finite convex subgraph of G can be obtained by successive gated amalgamations from cubes, i.e., G is median. Alternatively, by Theorem C, G satisfies the median cell property. Since, any cell of G is a cube, all median cells of G are vertices and therefore G is a median graph.

Finally, let G be a graph from $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-, C_6 \times K_2)$. Since G is hypercellular, by Theorem B any finite convex subgraph of G can be obtained by successive gated amalgamations from cells. Since $C_6 \times K_2$ is a forbidden pc-minor, all cells of G are even cycles or cubes. Thus, G is a Polat graph. \square

With a cell $X = F_1 \times \dots \times F_m$ of G we associate a convex polyhedron $[X]$ obtained as a Cartesian product of segments and regular polygons, where each face F_i which is a K_2 is replaced by a unit segment and any face F_i which is an even cycle C of length $2n$ is replaced by a regular polygon with $2n$ sides. Hence $\dim(X)$ can be viewed as the (topological) dimension of $[X]$. Since by Lemma 17, in a hypercellular graph G the intersection of any two cells is also a cell, the union of all convex polyhedra $[X]$, $X \in \mathbf{X}(G)$, can be viewed as a polyhedral cell complex, which we denote by $\mathbf{X}(G)$. The *dimension* $\dim(G)$ of a graph G from $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ is the dimension of this cell complex, i.e., the maximum dimension of a cell of G . Notice that the 1-skeleton of $\mathbf{X}(G)$ coincides with G and the 2-skeleton of $\mathbf{X}(G)$ coincides with $\mathbf{C}(G)$.

The following was announced as Theorem D in the introduction:

Corollary 2. *Any finite hypercellular graph G is the tope graph of a COM, more precisely, G is a tope graph of a zonotopal COM. Consequently, the zonotopal cell complex $\mathbf{X}(G)$ of any locally-finite hypercellular graph G is contractible.*

Proof. By [9, Proposition 3], each COM can be obtained from its maximal faces (which are all oriented matroids) using COM amalgamations. Since a gated amalgamation is a stronger version of a COM amalgamation and each Cartesian product of edges and even cycles is the tope graph of a realizable oriented matroid, Theorem B implies that each finite graph G from $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$ is the tope graph of a zonotopal COM. From the contractibility of the cell complexes of all COMs established in [9, Proposition 14], it follows that for any finite hypercellular graph G its zonotopal complex $\mathbf{X}(G)$ is contractible.

Now, we will prove the contractibility of $\mathbf{X}(G)$ for any locally-finite hypercellular graph G . For this, we will represent G as a directed union of finite convex subgraphs G_i of G . Let v_0 be an arbitrary fixed vertex and let $B_i(v_0)$ be the ball of radius i centered at v_0 . Since G is locally-finite, each such ball $B_i(v_0)$ is finite. Moreover, since G is a partial cube, the convex hull $\text{conv}(A)$ of any finite set A of G is finite (because $\text{conv}(A)$ coincides with the intersection of $V(G)$ with the smallest hypercube H of $H(\Lambda)$ hosting A and H is finite-dimensional). Hence the subgraph G_i of G induced by $\text{conv}(B_i(v_0))$ is a finite convex subgraph of G , and thus hypercellular. Therefore, by the first part, each of the zonotopal complexes $\mathbf{X}(G_i)$, $i \geq 0$, is contractible. Consequently, $\mathbf{X}(G)$ is the direct union $\bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathbf{X}(G_i)$ of contractible complexes, thus $\mathbf{X}(G)$ is contractible by Whitehead's theorem. \square

6.2. Convexity properties. The geodesic convexity of a graph $G = (V, E)$ satisfies the *join-hull commutativity property* (JHC) if for any convex set A and any vertex $x \notin A$, $\text{conv}(x \cup A) = \bigcup \{I(x, v) : v \in A\}$ [43] holds. It is well-known and easy to prove that JHC property is equivalent to the Peano axiom: if u, v, w is an arbitrary triplet of vertices, $x \in I(u, w)$ and $y \in I(v, x)$, then there exists a vertex $z \in I(v, w)$ such that $y \in I(u, z)$. A graph G is called a *Pasch-Peano graph* [10, 43] if the geodesic convexity of G satisfies the Pasch and Peano axioms. In particular, such a graph is in \mathcal{S}_4 .

Corollary 3. *Any hypercellular graph G is a Pasch-Peano graph.*

Proof. Both the Pasch and the Peano axioms concern triplets of vertices u, v, w and vertices included in the convex hull of u, v, w . Since the convex hull of any finite set of vertices in a partial cube is finite, to prove that a hypercellular graph is Pasch-Peano, it suffices to prove that each finite hypercellular graph is Pasch-Peano. Since each of the Pasch and Peano axioms are preserved by gated amalgams and Cartesian products [10, 43], now the result directly follows Theorem B and the fact that cycles and edges are Pasch-Peano graphs. \square

The *Helly number* $h(G)$ of a graph G is the smallest number $h \geq 2$ such that every finite family of (geodesically) convex sets meeting h by h has a nonempty intersection. The *Caratheodory number* $c(G)$ is the smallest number $c \geq 2$ such that for any set $A \subset V$ the convex hull of A is equal to the union of the convex hulls of all subsets of A of size c . The *Radon number* $r(G)$ of a graph G is the smallest number $r \geq 2$ such that any set of vertices A of G containing at least $r + 1$ vertices can be partitioned into two sets A_1 and A_2 such that $\text{conv}(A_1) \cap \text{conv}(A_2) \neq \emptyset$. More generally, the *m th partition number* (*Tverberg number*) is the smallest integer $p_m \geq 2$ such that any set of vertices A of G containing at least $p_m + 1$ vertices can be partitioned into m sets A_1, \dots, A_m such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \text{conv}(A_i) \neq \emptyset$. For a detailed treatment of all these fundamental parameters of abstract and graph convexities, see [43].

The following result is straightforward:

Lemma 20. *For $G \cong K_2$, $h(G) = r(G) = 2$ and $c(G) = 1$. If $G \cong C$, then $h(G) = r(G) \leq 3$ and $c(G) = 2$ ($h(G) = r(G) = 3$ if C is of length at least 6).*

Corollary 4. *Let G be a hypercellular graph. Then $h(G) \leq 3$, $c(G) \leq 2\dim(G)$, and $r(G) \leq 10\dim(G) + 1$. More generally, $p_m \leq (6m - 2)\dim(G) + 1$.*

Proof. We will use the results of [43, Chapter II, §2] for Cartesian products and of [10] or [43, Chapter II, §3] for gated amalgams of convexity structures. Notice also that since in partial cubes convex hulls of finite sets are finite, it suffices to establish our results for finite hypercellular graphs G . By these results, $h(G_1 \times G_2) = \max\{h(G_1), h(G_2)\}$ and if G is the gated amalgam of G_1 and G_2 , then $h(G) = \max\{h(G_1), h(G_2)\}$. By these formulas, Lemma 20, and Theorem B, we conclude that $h(G) \leq 3$ for any hypercellular graph G . In case of the Caratheodory number, we have $c(G_1 \times G_2) \leq c(G_1) + c(G_2)$ and $c(G) = \max\{c(G_1), c(G_2)\}$ if G is a gated amalgam of G_1 and G_2 . By the first formula and Lemma 20, we conclude that if X is a Cartesian product of k' cyclic factors and k'' edges, then $c(X) \leq 3k' + 2k''$ and $\dim(X) = 2k' + k''$, yielding $c(X) \leq 2\dim(X)$. To deduce the upper bounds for Radon and partitions numbers, we will use the following inequality of [27] (see also [43, 5.15.1]) for all convexities: $p_m(G) \leq c(G)(m \cdot h(G) - 1) + 1$. Replacing in this formula $h(G) = 3$ and $c(G) \leq 2\dim(G)$, we obtain the required inequalities. \square

We conclude this subsection with a local-to-global characterization of convex and gated sets in hypercellular graphs. A similar characterization of gated sets was obtained for bipartite cellular graphs [5, Proposition 1] and netlike graphs [37, Theorem 6.2]. Notice also that other local characterizations of convex and gated sets are known for weakly modular graphs [22]. The following result is similar to the content of Claim 13.

Proposition 9. *A connected subgraph H of a hypercellular graph G is convex (respectively, gated) if and only if the intersection of H with each cell of G is convex (respectively, gated).*

Proof. We closely follow the proof of Proposition 1 of [5]. Necessity is evident: any cell X of G is convex and gated, therefore X intersect each convex (respectively, gated) subgraph in a convex (respectively, gated) subgraph.

As to the converse, in both cases we will first show that H is convex. For two vertices y, z we denote by $k(y, z) := d_H(y, z)$ the distance between y and z in H . Suppose the contrary and let v, x be two vertices of H minimizing $k(y, z)$ such that $I(v, x)$ is not included in H . Then there exists a shortest (v, x) -path Q whose inner vertices do not belong to H . Let P be any path of minimal length joining v and x inside H . We assert that P is a shortest path of G . By the choice of v, x , the paths P and Q intersect only in v and x . Let u be a neighbor of v in P . If P were longer than Q , then $u \notin I(v, x)$, whence $v \in I(u, x)$. Since $k(u, x) < k(v, x)$, by the minimality in the choice of the pair v, x we conclude that $Q \subset I(u, x) \subset H$, a contradiction. Thus P is a shortest path of G . Let w be the neighbor of v in Q . If the vertices w and u have a common neighbor y different from v , since $u, w \in I(v, x)$ and $y \in I(w, u)$, from the convexity of the interval $I(v, x)$ we conclude that $y \in I(v, x)$. This implies that $y \in I(w, x) \cap I(u, x)$. Since $I(u, x) \subset H$, we conclude that $y \in H$. Since $w \notin H$, the intersection of H with the square (v, u, y, w) (which is a cell of G) is not convex. This contradiction shows that $I(u, w) = \{u, v, w\}$. Hence $I(u, w) \cap I(u, x) = \{u\}$ and $I(w, u) \cap I(w, x) = \{w\}$. On the other hand, the minimality in the choice of the pair v, x implies that $I(x, u) \cap I(x, w) = \{x\}$. Consequently, the triplet x, u, w defines a metric triangle of G . By Proposition 8, this metric triangle xuw is included in a cell X of G . Since X is convex and $v \in I(u, w)$, we obtain

$v \in X$. But then $X \cap H$ is not convex because $v, x \in X \cap H$ and $w \in I(v, x) \setminus H$. This contradiction shows that H is convex.

Now suppose that the intersection of H with each cell of G is gated. Suppose that H is not gated. Choose a vertex z at minimum distance to H having no gate in H . Let x be a vertex of H closest to z , and let y be a vertex of H such that the interval $I(z, y)$ does not contain x , where $d(x, y)$ is as small as possible. Then the intervals $I(x, y)$, $I(y, z)$, and $I(z, x)$ intersect each other only in the common end vertices. Hence x, y , and z define a metric triangle xyz . By Proposition 8, xyz is contained in a cell X . Since $z \notin H$ and $x, y \in H$, the choice of the vertices z and x, y implies that $X \cap H$ is not gated. This contradiction establishes that H is gated and concludes the proof. \square

6.3. Stars and thickening. A *star* $\text{St}(v)$ of a vertex v (or a star $\text{St}(X)$ of a cell X) is the union of all cells of G containing v (respectively, X).

Proposition 10. *For any cell X of a hypercellular graph G in which all cells are of finite dimension, the star $\text{St}(X)$ is gated.*

Proof. Since $\text{St}(X)$ is a connected subgraph of G , by Proposition 9 it is enough to prove that the intersection of $\text{St}(X)$ with any cell Y of G is gated. We apply Lemma 12 to the cell Y to show that $\text{St}(X) \cap Y$ is gated. First, notice that $\text{St}(X) \cap Y$ is connected. Indeed, let $X_1, X_2 \dots$ be the maximal cells of $\text{St}(X)$ intersecting Y . Since $X_1, X_2 \dots$ intersect in X and each of these cells intersects Y , by the Helly property for gated sets, $Y \cap X_1 \cap X_2 \dots$ is non-empty and gated. Thus, any two vertices of $\text{St}(X) \cap Y$ can be connected with a path in $\text{St}(X) \cap Y$ passing via this intersection, whence $\text{St}(X) \cap Y$ is connected.

Let $P = (y', x, y'')$ be any 2-path in $\text{St}(X) \cap Y$ and let $C = \langle\langle P \rangle\rangle$ be its gated hull in Y . We will prove that C is included in $\text{St}(X)$. This is obviously so if the path P is included in a single cell X_i of $\text{St}(X)$. Indeed, in this case C is included in the gated subgraph $X_i \cap Y$. So, assume that the edges $y'x$ and xy'' do not belong to a common cell of $\text{St}(X)$. Notice that each of these edges belong to a cell of $\text{St}(X)$: for example, the edge xy' belongs to all cells of $\text{St}(X)$ that contain a furthest from X vertex of the pair $\{x, y'\}$. Let X_i be a cell of $\text{St}(X)$ including the edge xy' . Analogously, let X_j be a cell of $\text{St}(X)$ including the edge xy'' . By what was assumed above, X_i and X_j are not included in each other, in particular $X_i \neq X_j$. Let $X_{ij} := X_i \cap X_j$. Then X_{ij} is a cell of $\text{St}(X)$ containing x but not containing y' and y'' .

Let Z be a maximal cell of the form $Z = \langle\langle C \cup Z' \rangle\rangle$ for some subcell Z' of X_{ij} containing x . Note that such a cell exists since Z' can be chosen to be x and C is a cell of Y containing x . Since the intersection of cells is a cell, we can further assume that $Z' := X_{ij} \cap Z$. We assert that $Z = \langle\langle C \cup X_{ij} \rangle\rangle$. Suppose that this is not the case. Then there exists an edge $zw \in X_{ij}$ with $z \in Z' = X_{ij} \cap Z$ and $w \notin Z$. Let k be the dimension of Z' . We will use the following property of cells of hypercellular graphs, which is a direct consequence of Lemma 11:

Claim 15. *If D' is a subcell of dimension ℓ of a cell D of G and $v'v$ is an edge with $v' \in D'$ and $v \in D \setminus D'$, then $\dim(\langle\langle D' \cup v'v \rangle\rangle) = \ell + 1$.*

Since $Z' \cup xy' \subset X_i$ with $x \in Z', y' \notin Z' \subset X_{ij}$, by the previous claim the dimension of $\langle\langle Z' \cup xy' \rangle\rangle$ is $k+1$. Similarly, the dimension of $\langle\langle Z' \cup xy'' \rangle\rangle$ is $k+1$. Moreover, $Z' \cup zw \subset X_{ij}$ with $z \in Z', w \notin Z'$, thus $\langle\langle Z' \cup zw \rangle\rangle$ has also dimension $k+1$. Now we have $xy', \langle\langle Z' \cup zw \rangle\rangle \subset X_i$ with $x \in \langle\langle Z' \cup zw \rangle\rangle$ since $x \in Z'$ and $y' \notin \langle\langle Z' \cup zw \rangle\rangle$ since y' is not in X_{ij} , thus $\langle\langle Z' \cup zw \cup xy' \rangle\rangle$ has dimension $k+2$. Analogously, $\langle\langle Z' \cup zw \cup xy'' \rangle\rangle$ has dimension $k+2$. Finally, since $xy'', \langle\langle Z' \cup xy' \rangle\rangle \subset Z$ with $x \in \langle\langle Z' \cup xy' \rangle\rangle$ and $y'' \notin \langle\langle Z' \cup xy' \rangle\rangle$, the dimension of $\langle\langle Z' \cup xy' \cup xy'' \rangle\rangle$ is also $k+2$.

Consequently, we have proved that $\langle\langle Z' \cup zw \cup xy' \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle Z' \cup zw \cup xy'' \rangle\rangle$, and $\langle\langle Z' \cup xy' \cup xy'' \rangle\rangle$ are three cells of dimension $k+2$ that pairwise intersect in the cells $\langle\langle Z' \cup zw \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle Z' \cup xy' \rangle\rangle$, and $\langle\langle Z' \cup xy'' \rangle\rangle$ of dimension $k+1$ and the intersection of all three cells is the cell Z' of dimension k . By Theorem B(iii), there is a $(k+3)$ -dimensional cell W that includes all of them. In particular, $W = \langle\langle C \cup Z' \cup zw \rangle\rangle$. Since the gated hull of $Z' \cup wz$ is a subcell Z'' of X_{ij} properly containing Z' and since $W = \langle\langle C \cup Z'' \rangle\rangle$, we obtain a contradiction to the maximality of Z .

Thus, $Z = \langle\langle C \cup X_{ij} \rangle\rangle$ is a cell of $\text{St}(X) \cap Y$ including C and $X \subset X_{ij}$, whence $C \subset \text{St}(X)$. Lemma 12 implies that $\text{St}(X) \cap Y$ is gated. \square

The *thickening* G^Δ of a hypercellular graph G is a graph having the same set of vertices as G and two vertices u, v are adjacent in G^Δ if and only if u and v belong to a common cell of G . A graph H is called a *Helly graph* if any collection of pairwise intersecting balls of G has a nonempty intersection [7]. Analogously, H is called a *1-Helly graph* (respectively, *clique-Helly graph*) if any collection of pairwise intersecting 1-balls (balls of radius 1) of G (respectively, of maximal cliques) has a nonempty intersection.

Proposition 11. *The thickening G^Δ of a locally-finite hypercellular graph G is a Helly graph.*

Proof. Pick any vertex v of G and let $B_1(v)$ denote the ball of radius 1 of G^Δ centered at v . From the definition of G^Δ it immediately follows that $B_1(v)$ is isomorphic to the star $\text{St}(v)$ of v in G . Since G is locally-finite, $\text{St}(v)$ is finite. By Proposition 10, $\text{St}(v)$ is a gated subgraph of G . By the Helly property of finite gated sets, we conclude that G^Δ is a 1-Helly graph. Any maximal clique K of G^Δ is the intersection of all 1-balls centered at the vertices of K , therefore the family of maximal cliques of G^Δ can be obtained as the intersections of 1-balls of G^Δ . By [18, Remark 3.6], G^Δ is a clique-Helly graph. By Theorem D the zonotopal cell complex $\mathbf{X}(G)$ of G is contractible and therefore simply connected. This easily implies that the clique complex of G^Δ is simply connected. Consequently, G^Δ is a clique-Helly graph with a simply connected clique complex. By [18, Theorem 3.7], G^Δ is a Helly graph. \square

Propositions 10 and 11 together with Proposition 7 conclude the proof of Theorem E.

6.4. Fixed cells. In this subsection we prove Theorem F. First, we follow ideas of Tardif [41] to generalize fixed box theorems for median graphs to hypercellular graphs. We will prove that the fixed box in the case of hypercellular graphs is a cell. We obtain this cell verbatim as in the case of median graphs. Set

$$F(G) := \{W : W \text{ is an inclusion maximal proper halfspace of } G \text{ and } V(G) \setminus W \text{ is not}\}.$$

Let $Z(G) = \bigcap_{W \in F(G)} W$ (if $F(G) = \emptyset$, then set $Z(G) := G$). Now we recursively define $Z^\infty(G)$. Set $Z^0(G) := G$ and for every ordinal α , let $Z^{\alpha+1}(G) := Z(Z^\alpha(G))$ if $Z^\alpha(G)$ has been defined and let $Z^\alpha(G) := \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} Z^\beta(G)$ if α is a limit ordinal. For every graph G there exists a minimal ordinal γ such that $Z^\gamma(G) = Z^{\gamma+1}(G)$. Finally, define $Z^\infty(G) := \bigcap_\gamma Z^\gamma(G)$.

Lemma 21. *Let G be a hypercellular graph not containing infinite isometric rays. Then $Z^\infty(G)$ is a finite cell of G .*

Proof. First notice that since G does not contain infinite isometric rays and all cells of G are Cartesian products of cycles and edges, all cells of G are finite. Since $Z^\infty(G)$ is an intersection of convex subgraphs, $Z^\infty(G)$ is convex. Since every cell X of G is a Cartesian product of edges and even cycles, any proper halfspace of X is maximal by inclusion, hence $F(X) = \emptyset$. Therefore, $Z(X) = X$. Suppose by way of contradiction that there exists a convex subgraph H of G which is not a cell and such that $Z(H) = H$. Since H is convex, H is hypercellular. Since there are no infinite isometric rays, $Z(H) = H$ if and only if for every edge ab of H the halfspaces $W(a,b)$ and $W(b,a)$ are maximal, which is equivalent to the condition that for every edge ab the carrier $N(E_{ab})$ is the whole graph H . Let X be the intersection of all maximal cells of H ; consequently, X is a cell of H . As in the proof of Theorem 2, if there exist two disjoint maximal cells of H , then for every edge f on a shortest path between them, the carrier $N(E_f)$ is not the whole graph H . Hence the maximal cells of H pairwise intersect. Since they are finite and gated, by the Helly property for gated sets, X is nonempty. If $X \neq H$, by Claim 10, there exists an edge of H whose carrier does not include all maximal cells, a contradiction. Hence $H = X$, i.e., H is a finite cell. Consequently, $Z^\infty(G)$ is a finite cell of G . \square

We continue with the proof of assertion (i) of Theorem F.

Proposition 12. *If G is a hypercellular graph not containing infinite isometric rays, then there exists a finite cell X in G fixed by every automorphism of G .*

Proof. Every automorphism φ of G maps maximal halfspaces to maximal halfspaces, thus $\varphi(Z^\infty(G)) = Z^\infty(G)$. By Lemma 21, $Z^\infty(G)$ is a finite cell, thus every automorphism of G fixes the cell $Z^\infty(G)$. \square

A *non-expansive map* from a graph G to a graph H is a map $f : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ such that for any $x, y \in V(G)$ it holds $d_H(f(x), f(y)) \leq d_G(x, y)$.

Lemma 22. *Let G be a hypercellular graph and f be a non-expansive map from G to itself. Let u, v, w be any three vertices of G and let $X = \langle\langle u', v', w' \rangle\rangle$ be their median-cell. If $f(u) = u, f(v) = v, f(w) = w$, then f fixes each of the apices $u' = (uvw), v' = (vwu), w' = (wuv)$ and $f(X) = X$.*

Proof. Denote by $u'v'w'$ the unique quasi-median of the triplet u, v, w . The map f fixes each of the vertices u, v, w and maps shortest paths between them to shortest paths. This implies that f fixes the vertices of the metric triangle $u'v'w'$. Let $X = \langle\langle u', v', w' \rangle\rangle$ be the gated cell

induced by this triplet. Since $u'v'w'$ is a metric triangle, we conclude that $X \cong C_1 \times \dots \times C_k$, where each C_i is an even cycle of length n_i at least 6. Moreover, we can suppose without loss of generality that u', v', w' are embedded in this product as $u' = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$, $v' = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$, and $w' = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k)$, where $i_m, j_m - i_m, n_m - j_m < n_m/2$ for all $1 \leq m \leq k$. Since $f(u') = u', f(v') = v', f(w') = w'$ and any vertex of X lies on a shortest path between one of the pairs of u', v', w' , we conclude that $f(X) \subset X$. It remains to prove that $f(X) = X$.

Without loss of generality assume that among all $j_m - i_m$ with $1 \leq m \leq k$, the difference $j_1 - i_1$ is minimal. The vertex $y = (i_1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ belongs to $I(u', v')$ and is located at distance $j_1 - i_1$ from $z = (j_1, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \in I(w', u')$. The vertices of $I(u', v')$ at distance i_1 from u' have the form $(i_1 - y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k)$, for $0 \leq y_i \leq i_m, 1 \leq m \leq k$, with $y_2 + \dots + y_k = y_1$. On the other hand, the vertices of $I(w', u')$ at distance $n_1 - j_1$ from u' have the form $(j_1 + z_1, n_2 - z_2, \dots, n_k - z_k)$ for $0 \leq z_m \leq n_m - j_m, 1 \leq m \leq k$ with $z_2 + \dots + z_k = z_1$, where the m -th coordinate is computed in \mathbb{Z}_{n_m} . We will now find all pairs (y', z') where $y' \in I(u', v'), z' \in I(w', u')$ and y' and z' are at distance $j_1 - i_1$.

We distinguish two cases. On one hand assume that for a chosen pair y', z' there exists a coordinate $m, 1 \leq m \leq k$ such that the projections of (y', z') -shortest paths to the m -th coordinate belong to the interval between i_m and j_m . Then the distance between y' and z' is at least $j_m - i_m$, and since $j_m - i_m \geq j_1 - i_1$, we have $y' = (0, \dots, 0, i_m, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $z' = (0, \dots, 0, j_m, 0, \dots, 0)$ with $j_m - i_m = j_1 - i_1$ and $i_m = i_1, n_m - j_m = n_1 - j_1$. This implies $j_m = j_1$ and $n_m = n_1$. Assume now that f maps y, z to y', z' . There exists an automorphism φ of X that swaps coordinates 1 and m of X and fixes u', v', w' . Since proving that $f(X) = X$ is the same as proving that $\varphi(f(X)) = X$, we can, in this case, assume that f fixes y, z .

On the other hand, if for a pair y', z' and every coordinate $m, 1 \leq m \leq k$, the projection of (y', z') -shortest paths to the m -th coordinate does not belong to the interval between i_m and j_m , then the distance between $y' = (i_1 - y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k)$ and $z' = (j_1 + z_1, n_2 - z_2, \dots, n_k - z_k)$ is $((i_1 - y_1) + (n_1 - j_1 - z_1)) + (y_2 + z_2) + \dots + (y_k + z_k) = n_1 - (j_1 - i_1) > n/2 > j_1 - i_1$. Since this is impossible, we can by the previous paragraph assume that f fixes y and z . Then f fixes $(0, 0, \dots, 0), (i_1, 0, \dots, 0), (j_1, 0, \dots, 0)$, thus it must fix every $(x, 0, \dots, 0), 0 \leq x < n_1$.

Now we will prove that every cyclic layer of the form (C_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) is mapped by f to a cyclic layer of the form $(C_1, x'_2, x'_3, \dots, x'_k)$. We proceed by induction on $x_2 + x_3 + \dots + x_k$. It holds for $(C_1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$. Without loss of generality consider only $f(C_1, x_2 + 1, x_3, \dots, x_k)$, assuming that $f(C_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = (f_1(C_1), y_2, y_3, \dots, y_k)$ for some automorphism f_1 of C_1 .

For every $x_1 \in C_1$, the vertex $y = f(x_1, x_2 + 1, x_3, \dots, x_k)$ must be equal or adjacent to $(f_1(x_1), y_2, y_3, \dots, y_k)$, thus it must be of the form $(f_1(x) + s, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_k)$ or $(f_1(x), y_2, \dots, y_m + s, \dots, y_k)$ for some $2 \leq m \leq k$ and $s \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Now we analyze the options for $a = f(x + n_1/2 - 1, x_2 + 1, x_3, \dots, x_k)$ and $b = f(x + n_1/2 + 1, x_2 + 1, x_3, \dots, x_k)$. Both must be at distance at most two from each other, at distance at most $n_1/2 - 1$ from y , and a must be adjacent or equal to $(f_1(x + n_1 - 1), y_2, y_3, \dots, y_k)$ while b must be adjacent or equal to $(f_1(x + n_1 + 1), y_2, y_3, \dots, y_k)$. If $y = (f_1(x) + s, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_k)$, this implies that $a = (f_1(x + n_1/2 - 1) + s, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_k)$ and $b = (f_1(x + n_1/2 + 1) + s, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_k)$. If

$y = (f_1(x), y_2, \dots, y_m + s, \dots, y_k)$, then $a = (f_1(x + n_1/2 - 1), y_2, \dots, y_m + s, \dots, y_k)$ and $b = (f_1(x + n_1/2 + 1), y_2, \dots, y_m + s, \dots, y_k)$. In each case y, a, b spans a cycle, since the length of C_1 is at least six and f is a non-expansive map. Thus $f(C_1, x_2 + 1, x_3, \dots, x_k)$ is a cycle of the form $(f_1(C) + s, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_k)$ or $(f_1(C), y_2, \dots, y_m + s, \dots, y_k)$ for some $2 \leq m \leq k$ and $s \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, proving the assertion.

We have proved that f acting on X has blocks of imprimitivity of the form (C_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) and it holds $f(C_1, 0, \dots, 0) = (C_1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $f(C_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) = (C_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$ and $f(C_1, j_2, \dots, j_k) = (C_1, j_2, \dots, j_k)$. By the induction on the number of factors of X , f acts as an automorphism on the quotient graph, thus f acts as an automorphism on X . \square

An endomorphism r of G with $r(G) = H$ and $r(v) = v$ for all vertices v in H is called a *retraction* of G and H is called a *retract* of G .

Corollary 5. *A retract H of a hypercellular graph G is a hypercellular graph.*

Proof. Let r be a retraction of G to H . For arbitrary vertices u, v, w of H it holds $r(u) = u, r(v) = v, r(w) = w$, thus by Lemma 22 $X = r(X) \subseteq r(G) = H$, where X is the median cell of u, v, w . This proves that H satisfies the median-cell property and by Theorem C, H is hypercellular. \square

We continue with the proof of assertion (ii) of Theorem F.

Proposition 13. *Let G be a hypercellular graph and let f be a non-expansive map from G to itself such that $f(S) = S$ for some finite set S of vertices of G . Then there exists a finite cell X of G that is fixed by f . In particular, if G is a finite hypercellular graph, then it has a fixed cell.*

Proof. Let H be the subgraph of G induced by the set of all vertices v in $\text{conv}(S)$ for which there exists an integer $n_v > 0$ such that $f^{n_v}(v) = v$. Since S is finite, also $\text{conv}(S)$ is finite, therefore H is finite and nonempty. Notice that $f(\text{conv}(S)) \subseteq \text{conv}(S)$, thus $H \supseteq f(H) \supseteq f(f(H)) \supseteq \dots$, but since for every $v \in V(H)$ there exists n_v such that $f^{n_v}(v) = v$, the inclusions cannot be strict. Thus $f(H) = H$ and f acts as an automorphism on H .

Let $u, v, w \in V(H)$ be arbitrary vertices of H . Let n be the least common multiple of n_u, n_v, n_w . Then f^n fixes each of the vertices u, v, w . By Lemma 22, $f^n(X) = X$ where X is the median cell of u, v, w . Since X is finite, this proves that also $X \subset H$. Therefore H satisfies the median-cell property and, by Theorem C, H is hypercellular. Applying Proposition 12 to H , we deduce that there exists a finite fixed cell. \square

The above proposition follows the ideas from [41], but the main difficulty is to prove Lemma 22. In the case of median graphs this lemma is not needed since X is a single vertex. The next proposition uses ideas from [31] to generalize yet another classical result on median graphs and to prove assertion (iii) of Theorem F.

Proposition 14. *If G is a finite regular hypercellular graph, then G is a single cell, i.e., G is isomorphic to a Cartesian product of edges and even cycles.*

Proof. Pick an arbitrary edge ab in G such that $W(a, b)$ is an inclusion minimal halfspace. We will prove that also $W(b, a)$ is minimal. The carrier $N(E_{ab})$ is the union of maximal cells of G crossed by E_{ab} . For each such maximal cell X of $N(E_{ab})$ there exists a unique automorphism of X that fixes edges of $E_{ab} \cap X$ and maps $X \cap W(a, b)$ to $X \cap W(b, a)$ and vice versa. This automorphism extends to an automorphism φ of $N(E_{ab})$ that maps $N(E_{ab}) \cap W(a, b)$ to $N(E_{ab}) \cap W(b, a)$ and vice versa. For the sake of contradiction assume now that $W(b, a)$ is not minimal and that there exists an edge cd with $c \in N(E_{ab}) \cap W(b, a)$ and $d \notin N(E_{ab})$. The vertex $c' = \varphi(c) \in W(a, b)$ has the same degree in $N(E_{ab})$ as c . Since G is regular, there must exist an edge $c'd'$ with $d' \notin N(E_{ab})$. Since $N(E_{ab})$ is gated, $E_{c'd'}$ does not cross the carrier $N(E_{ab})$, thus $W(d', c') \subsetneq W(a, b)$. This contradicts the choice of ab .

Since G is finite, we have proved that for every edge ab , $W(a, b)$ and $W(b, a)$ are minimal halfspaces. Thus for every edge ab , we have $N(E_{ab}) = G$. This implies that $Z(G) = G$, thus $Z^\infty(G) = G$. By Lemma 21, G is a single cell. \square

7. CONCLUSIONS AND OPEN QUESTIONS

In the present paper we have established a rich cell-structure for hypercellular graphs. In particular, we have obtained that they generalize bipartite cellular and median graphs in a natural way. On the other hand, we expect that other properties and characterizations of median graphs or cellular graphs extend naturally to hypercellular graphs. Some of those questions concern the metric structure of hypercellular graphs, while other questions ask for replacing metric conditions by topological or algebraic conditions. Namely, in all our results we characterized hypercellular graphs among partial cubes. One can ask to what extent we can characterize hypercellular graphs and their cell complexes among all graphs and complexes.

For example, as we noticed already, by a result of Gromov [29], CAT(0) cube complexes are exactly the simply connected cube complexes satisfying the cube condition, i.e., the cubical version of the 3C-condition. As proved in [24], median graphs are exactly the graphs whose associated cube complexes are CAT(0). In fact, it is shown in [24] (see also [14] for other similar results) that median graphs are exactly the graphs of square complexes which are simply connected and satisfy the square version of the 3CC-condition: any three squares pairwise intersecting in three edges and all three intersecting in a vertex belong to a 3-cube. We believe that a similar result holds for hypercellular graphs. Namely, let \mathbf{X} be a hyperprism complex, i.e., a polyhedral cell complex whose cells are Cartesian products of segments and regular polygons with an even number of sides and glued in a such a way that the intersection of any two cells is a cell. The 1-skeleton of \mathbf{X} is the graph $G(\mathbf{X})$ having the 0-cells of \mathbf{X} as vertices and 1-cells as edges. Finally, we call a cell complex of a hypercellular graph a *hypercellular complex*. We conjecture that hypercellular graphs can be characterized in the following way:

Conjecture 1. For a graph G , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) G is hypercellular;

- (ii) G is the 1-skeleton of a simply connected hyperprism complex \mathbf{X} satisfying the 3C-condition;
- (iii) G is the 1-skeleton of a simply connected polygonal complex \mathbf{X} (whose 2-cells are regular polygons with an even number of sides) satisfying the 3CC-condition.

Moreover, all hypercellular cell complexes are CAT(0) spaces.

Since CAT(0) spaces obey the fixed point property [17], the fact that hypercellular cell complexes are CAT(0) spaces would immediately imply Proposition 13.

Median graphs are exactly the discrete median algebras (for this and other related results see the paper [11] and the survey [7]). In [6], the apex algebras of weakly median graphs have been characterized. The *apex algebra* of an apiculate graph G associates to each triplet of vertices u, v, w , the apex (uvw) of u with respect to v and w .

Problem 1. Characterize the apex ternary algebras of hypercellular graphs.

In view of the fact that median graphs are precisely retracts of hypercubes [3], we believe that the following is true:

Conjecture 2. A partial cube G is hypercellular if and only if G is a retract of a Cartesian product of bipartite cellular graphs.

A group F acts by automorphisms on a cell complex \mathbf{X} if there is an injective homomorphism $F \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbf{X})$ called an *action of F* . The action is *geometric* (or F acts *geometrically*) if it is proper (i.e., cells stabilizers are finite) and cocompact (i.e., the quotient \mathbf{X}/F is compact). A group F is called a *Helly group* [19] if F acts geometrically on the clique complex of a Helly graph. Analogously, we will say that a group F is *hypercellular* if F acts geometrically on a cell complex $\mathbf{X}(G)$ of a hypercellular graph G (in this case, G is locally-finite). Analogously to [18, Proposition 6.32] one can show that F acts geometrically on the clique complex of the thickening G^Δ of G . By Proposition 11, G^Δ is a Helly graph, thus any hypercellular group is a Helly group. Since all Helly groups are biautomatic [19], we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 6. *Any hypercellular group is a Helly group and thus is biautomatic.*

In Theorem D we have shown that finite hypercellular graphs are tope graphs of zonotopal COMs. In [9] the question is raised whether zonotopal COMs are fibers of realizable COMs. In our case this specializes to solving the following:

Problem 2. Is every finite hypercellular graph a convex subgraph of the tope graph of a realizable oriented matroid?

In the first part of the paper we have obtained a few results for \mathcal{S}_4 similar to those for hypercellular graphs. However, we do not know if the properties of \mathcal{S}_4 established in Theorem A characterize the class.

Question 1. Does Theorem A characterize \mathcal{S}_4 ?

Furthermore we believe, that it is possible to use analogous amalgamation techniques as we did for Theorem B and Theorem D in order to prove:

Conjecture 3. Every finite graph in \mathcal{S}_4 is the tope graph of a COM.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Albenque and K. Knauer, Convexity in partial cubes: the hull number, *Discr. Math.* 339 (2016), 866–876.
- [2] H.-J. Bandelt, Characterizing median graphs, manuscript, 1982.
- [3] H.-J. Bandelt, Retracts of hypercubes, *J. Graph Th.* 8 (1984), 501–510.
- [4] H.-J. Bandelt, Graphs with intrinsic S_3 convexities, *J. Graph Th.* 13 (1989), 215–338.
- [5] H.-J. Bandelt and V. Chepoi, Cellular bipartite graphs, *Europ. J. Combin.* 17 (1996), 121–134.
- [6] H.-J. Bandelt and V. Chepoi, The algebra of metric betweenness I: subdirect representation and retracts, *Europ. J. Combin.* 28 (2007), 1640–1661.
- [7] H.-J. Bandelt and V. Chepoi, Metric graph theory and geometry: a survey, *Surveys on Discrete and Computational Geometry: Twenty Years Later*, J.E. Goodman, J. Pach, and R. Pollack (eds), *Contemp. Math.*, 453 (2008), pp. 49–86.
- [8] H.-J. Bandelt, V. Chepoi, A. Dress, and J. Koolen, Combinatorics of lopsided sets, *Europ. J. Combin.* 27 (2006), 669–689.
- [9] H.-J. Bandelt, V. Chepoi, and K. Knauer, COMs: complexes of oriented matroids, arXiv:1507.06111v1, 2015.
- [10] H.-J. Bandelt, V. Chepoi, and M. van de Vel, Pasch-Peano spaces and graphs, Preprint 1993.
- [11] H.-J. Bandelt, J. Hedlíková, Median algebras, *Discr. Math.* 45 (1983), 1–30.
- [12] H.-J. Bandelt, M. van de Vel, Superextensions and the depth of median graphs, *J. Combin. Th. Ser. A* 57 (1991), 187–202.
- [13] A. Berman and A. Kotzig, Cross-cloning and antipodal graphs, *Discr. Math.* 69 (1988), 107–114.
- [14] B. Brešar, J. Chalopin, V. Chepoi, T. Gologranc, and D. Osajda, Bucolic complexes, *Advances Math.*, 243 (2013), 127–167.
- [15] A. Björner, P. H. Edelman, and G. M. Ziegler, Hyperplane arrangements with a lattice of regions, *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 5 (1990), 263–288.
- [16] A. Björner, M. Las Vergnas, B. Sturmfels, N. White, G. Ziegler, *Oriented Matroids*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 46, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [17] M. Bridson, A. Haefliger, *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [18] J. Chalopin, V. Chepoi, H. Hirai, and D. Osajda, Weakly modular graphs and nonpositive curvature, arXiv:1409.3892v2, 2014.
- [19] J. Chalopin, V. Chepoi, H. Hirai, and D. Osajda, Helly graphs and Helly groups (in preparation).
- [20] V. Chepoi, d -Convex sets in graphs (in Russian), Dissertation, Moldova State University, Chişinău, 1986.
- [21] V. Chepoi, Isometric subgraphs of Hamming graphs and d -convexity, *Cybernetics* 24 (1988), 6–10 (Russian, English transl.).
- [22] V. Chepoi, Classifying graphs by metric triangles (in Russian), *Metody Diskretnogo Analiza* 49 (1989), 75–93.
- [23] V. Chepoi, Separation of two convex sets in convexity structures, *J. Geometry* 50 (1994), 30–51.
- [24] V. Chepoi, Graphs of some CAT(0) complexes, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 24 (2000), 125–179.
- [25] M. Deza, M. Laurent, *Geometry of Cuts and Metrics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [26] D.Ž. Djoković, Distance-preserving subgraphs of hypercubes, *J. Combin. Th. Ser. B* 14 (1973), 263–267.
- [27] J.-P. Doignon, J.R. Reay, and G. Sierksma, A Tverberg-type generalization of the Helly number of a convexity space, *J. Geometry* 16 (1981), 117–125.
- [28] A. W. M. Dress and R. Scharlau, Gated sets in metric spaces, *Aequationes Math.* 34 (1987), 112–120.

- [29] M. Gromov, Hyperbolic groups, in *Essays in Group theory*, S.M. Gersten (ed.), MSRI Publ., vol. 8, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 75–263.
- [30] W. Imrich, S. Klavžar, *Product Graphs: Structure and Recognition*, Wiley-Interscience Publication, New York, 2000.
- [31] W. Imrich, S. Klavžar, Two-ended regular median graphs, *Disc. Math.* 311 (2011), 1418–1422.
- [32] J.R. Isbell, Median algebra, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 260 (1980), 319–362.
- [33] F. Haglund, F. Paulin, Simplicité de groupes d’automorphismes d’espaces à courbure négative, *The Epstein birthday schrift*, *Geom. Topol. Monogr.*, 1 (1998), 181–248 (electronic), *Geom. Topol. Publ.*, Coventry.
- [34] K. Handa, Topes of oriented matroids and related structures, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 29 (1993), pp. 235–266.
- [35] S. Klavžar and S. V. Shpectorov, Convex excess in partial cubes, *J. Graph Theory* 69 (2012), 356–369.
- [36] J. Lawrence, Lopsided sets and orthant-intersection of convex sets, *Pacific J. Math.* 104 (1983), 155–173.
- [37] N. Polat, Netlike partial cubes I. General properties, *Discr. Math.* 307 (2007), 2704–2722.
- [38] N. Polat, Netlike partial cubes II. Retracts and netlike subgraphs, *Discr. Math.* 309 (2009), 1986–1998.
- [39] N. Polat, Netlike partial cubes III. The median cycle property, *Discr. Math.* 309 (2009), 2119–2133.
- [40] N. Polat, Netlike partial cubes IV. Fixed finite subgraph theorems, *Europ. J. Combin.* 30 (2009), 1194–1204.
- [41] C. Tardif, On compact median graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 23 (1996), 325–336.
- [42] M. van de Vel, Matching binary convexities, *Topology Appl.* 16 (1983) 207–235.
- [43] M. van de Vel, *Theory of Convex Structures*, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 1993.