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#### Abstract

It was recently pointed out that certain $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ layer structures and $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ nanotubes can be described as full subdivisions aka subdivision graphs of partial cubes. A key tool for analyzing distance-based topological indices in molecular graphs is the DjokovićWinkler relation $\Theta$ and its transitive closure $\Theta^{*}$. In this paper we study the behavior of $\Theta$ and $\Theta^{*}$ with respect to full subdivisions. We apply our results to describe $\Theta^{*}$ in full subdivisions of fullerenes, plane triangulations, and chordal graphs.
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## 1 Introduction

Partial cubes, that is, graphs that admit isometric embeddings into hypercubes, are of great interest in metric graph theory. Fundamental results on partial cubes are due to Chepoi [7], Djoković [12], and Winkler [27]. The original source for their interest however goes back to the paper of Graham and Pollak [15]. For additional information on partial cubes we refer to the books [11, 14], the semi-survey [22], recent papers [ $1,6,21$ ], as well as references therein.

Partial cubes offer many applications, ranging from the original one in interconnection networks [15] to media theory [14]. Our motivation though comes from mathematical chemistry where many important classes of chemical graphs are partial cubes. In the
seminal paper [18] it was shown that the celebrated Wiener index of a partial cube can be obtained without actually computing the distance between all pairs of vertices. A decade later it was proved in [17], based on the Graham-Winkler's canonical metric embedding [16], that the method extends to arbitrary graphs. The paper [18] initiated the theory under the common name "cut method," while [20] surveys the results on the method until 2015 with 97 papers in the bibliography. The cut method has been further developed afterwards, see $[8,25,26]$ for some recent results on it related to partial cubes.

Now, in a series of papers [3-5] it was observed that certain $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ layer structures and $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ nanotubes that are of importance in chemistry can be described as the full subdivisions aka subdivision graphs of relatively simple partial cubes. (The paper [24] can serve as a possible starting point for the role of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ nanostructures in chemistry.) The key step of the cut-method for distance based (as well as some other) invariants is to understand and compute the relation $\Theta^{*}$. Therefore in [4] it was proved that the $\Theta^{*}$ classes of the full subdivision of a partial cube $G$ can be obtained from the $\Theta^{*}$-classes of $G$. Note that in a partial cube the latter coincide with the $\Theta$-classes.

The above developments yield the following natural, general problem that intrigued us: Given a graph $G$ and its $\Theta^{*}$-classes, determine the $\Theta^{*}$-classes of the full subdivision of $G$. In this paper we study this problem and prove several general results that can be applied in cases such as in [3-5] in mathematical chemistry as well as elsewhere. In the next section we list known facts about the relations $\Theta$ and $\Theta^{*}$ as well as the distance function in full subdivisions needed in the rest of the paper. In Section 3, general properties of the relations $\Theta$ and $\Theta^{*}$ in full subdivisions are derived. These properties are then applied in the subsequent sections. In the first of them, $\Theta^{*}$ is described for fullerenes (a central class of chemical graph theory, see e.g. $[2,23]$ ) and plane triangulations. In Section 5 the same problem is solved for chordal graphs.

## 2 Preliminaries

If $R$ is a relation, then $R^{*}$ denotes its transitive closure. The distance $d_{G}(x, y)$ between vertices $x$ and $y$ of a connected graph $G$ is the usual shortest path distance. If $x \in V(G)$ and $e=\{y, z\} \in E(G)$, then let

$$
d_{G}(x, e)=\min \left\{d_{G}(x, y), d_{G}(x, z)\right\}
$$

Similarly, if $e=\{x, y\} \in E(G)$ and $f=\{u, v\} \in E(G)$, then we set

$$
d_{G}(e, f)=\min \left\{d_{G}(x, u), d_{G}(x, v), d_{G}(y, u), d_{G}(y, v)\right\}
$$

Note that the latter function does not yield a metric space because if $e$ and $f$ are adjacent edges then $d_{G}(e, f)=0$. To get a metric space, one can define the distance between edges as the distance between the corresponding vertices in the line graph of $G$. But for our purposes the function $d_{G}(e, f)$ as defined is more suitable.

Edges $e=\{x, y\}$ and $f=\{u, v\}$ of a graph $G$ are in relation $\Theta$, shortly $e \Theta f$, if $d_{G}(x, u)+d_{G}(y, v) \neq d_{G}(x, v)+d_{G}(y, u)$. If $G$ is bipartite, then the definition simplifies as follows.

Lemma 2.1 If $e=\{x, y\}$ and $f=\{u, v\}$ are edges of a bipartite graph $G$ with $e \Theta f$, then the notation can be chosen such that $d_{G}(u, x)=d_{G}(v, y)=d_{G}(u, y)-1=d_{G}(v, x)-1$.

The relation $\Theta$ is reflexive and symmetric. Hence $\Theta^{*}$ is thus an equivalence, its classes are called $\Theta^{*}$-classes. Partial cubes are precisely those connected bipartite graph for which $\Theta=\Theta^{*}$ holds [27]. In partial cubes we may thus speak of $\Theta$-classes instead of $\Theta^{*}$-classes. In the following lemma we collect properties of $\Theta$ to be implicitly or explicitly used later on.

Lemma 2.2 (i) If $P$ is a shortest path in $G$, then no two distinct edges of $P$ are in relation $\Theta$.
(ii) If $e$ and $f$ are edges from different blocks of a graph $G$, then $e$ is not in relation $\Theta$ with $f$.
(iii) If $e$ and $f$ are edges of an isometric cycle $C$ of a bipartite graph $G$, then $e \Theta f$ if and only if $e$ and $f$ are antipodal edges of $C$.
(iv) If $H$ is an isometric subgraph of a graph $G$, then $\Theta_{H}$ is the restriction of $\Theta_{G}$ to $H$.

If $G$ is a graph, then the graph obtained from $G$ by subdividing each each of $G$ exactly once is called the full subdivision (graph) of $G$ and denoted with $S(G)$. We will use the following related notation. If $x \in V(G)$ and $e=\{x, y\} \in E(G)$, then the vertex of $S(G)$ corresponding to $x$ will be denoted by $\bar{x}$ and the vertex of $S(G)$ obtained by subdividing the edge $e$ with $\overline{x y}$. Two edges incident with $\overline{x y}$ will be denoted with $e_{\bar{x}}$ and $e_{\bar{y}}$, where $e_{\bar{x}}=\{\bar{x}, \overline{x y}\}$ and $e_{\bar{y}}=\{\bar{y}, \overline{x y}\}$. See Fig. 1 for an illustration.


Figure 1: Notation for the vertices and edges of $S(G)$.
The following lemma is straightforward, cf. [19, Lemma 2.3].
Lemma 2.3 If $G$ is a connected graph, then the following assertions hold.
(i) If $x, y \in V(G)$, then $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})=2 d_{G}(x, y)$.
(ii) If $x \in V(G)$ and $\{y, z\} \in E(G)$, then $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \overline{y z})=2 d_{G}(x,\{y, z\})+1$.
(iii) If $\{x, y\},\{u, v\} \in E(G)$, then $d_{S(G)}(\overline{x y}, \overline{u v})=2 d_{G}(\{x, y\},\{u, v\})+2$.

## $3 \Theta^{*}$ in full subdivisions

Lemma 3.1 If $G$ is a connected graph and $e_{\bar{x}} \Theta_{S(G)} f_{\bar{u}}$, then $e \Theta_{G} f$.
Proof. Let $e=\{x, y\}$ and $f=\{u, v\}$. If $\bar{x}=\bar{u}$ and $\bar{y}=\bar{v}$, then $e_{\bar{x}}=f_{\bar{u}}$ and $e=f$, so there is nothing to prove. If $\bar{x}=\bar{v}$ and $\bar{y}=\bar{u}$, then $e_{\bar{x}}$ and $f_{\bar{u}}$ are adjacent edges which cannot be in relation $\Theta_{S(G)}$ because $S(G)$ is triangle-free. For the same reason the situation $\bar{x}=\bar{u}$ and $\bar{y} \neq \bar{v}$ is not possible. Assume next that $\bar{x}=\bar{v}$ and $\bar{y} \neq \bar{u}$. Then $d_{S(G)}(\bar{u}, \overline{x y})=3$ by Lemma 2.3, and hence $\overline{x y}, \bar{x}, \overline{u v}, \bar{u}$ is a geodesic containing $e_{\bar{x}}$ and $f_{\bar{u}}$, contradiction the assumption $e_{\bar{x}} \Theta_{S(G)} f_{\bar{u}}$. In the rest of the proof we may thus assume that $\{x, y\} \cap\{u, v\}=\emptyset$.

Since $S(G)$ is bipartite, in view of Lemma 2.1 we need to consider the following two cases, where, using Lemma 2.3(i), we can assume that the distances $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{u})$ and $d_{S(G)}(\overline{x y}, \overline{u v})$ are even. Based on the assumption $e_{\bar{x}} \Theta_{S(G)} f_{\bar{u}}$, we have $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{u})+$ $d_{S(G)}(\overline{x y}, \overline{u v})=d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \overline{u v})+d_{S(G)}(\overline{x y}, \bar{u})$ in a bipartite graph, thus the following cases.
Case 1. $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{u})=d_{S(G)}(\overline{x y}, \overline{u v})=2 k$ and $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \overline{u v})=d_{S(G)}(\overline{x y}, \bar{u})=2 k+1$.
In the following, Lemma 2.3 will be used all the time.
By $2 k=d_{S(G)}(\overline{x y}, \overline{u v})=2 d_{G}(\{x, y\},\{u, v\})+2$, we get

$$
k-1 \leq d_{G}(y, v), d_{G}(x, u), d_{G}(x, v), d_{G}(y, u),
$$

where the lower bound is attained at least once.
Since $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{u})=2 k$, we have $d_{G}(x, u)=k$. Because $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \overline{u v})=2 k+1$, we find that $d_{G}(x,\{u, v\})=k$ and hence in particular $d_{G}(x, v) \geq k$. Similarly, as $d_{S(G)}(\overline{x y}, \bar{u})=$ $2 k+1$ we have $d_{G}(u,\{x, y\})=k$ and hence in particular $d_{G}(u, y) \geq k$. With the first observation this yields $k-1=d_{G}(y, v)$. In summary,

$$
d_{G}(x, u)+d_{G}(y, v)=k+(k-1) \neq k+k \leq d_{G}(x, v)+d_{G}(y, u),
$$

which means that $e \Theta_{G} f$.
Case 2. $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{u})=d_{S(G)}(\overline{x y}, \overline{u v})=2 k$ and $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \overline{u v})=d_{S(G)}(\overline{x y}, \bar{u})=2 k-1$.
Again, $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{u})=2 k$ implies $d_{G}(x, u)=k$. The assumption $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \overline{u v})=2 k-1$ yields $d_{G}(x,\{u, v\})=k-1$ and consequently $d_{G}(x, v)=k-1$. The condition $d_{S(G)}(\overline{x y}, \bar{u})=$ $2 k-1$ implies $d_{G}(u,\{x, y\})=k-1$ and so $d_{G}(u, y)=k-1$. Finally, the assumption $d_{S(G)}(\overline{x y}, \overline{u v})=2 k$ gives us $d_{G}(\{x, y\},\{u, v\})=k-1$, in particular, $d_{G}(y, v) \geq k-1$. Putting these facts together we get

$$
d_{G}(x, u)+d_{G}(y, v) \geq k+(k-1)>(k-1)+(k-1)=d_{G}(x, v)+d_{G}(y, u),
$$

hence again $e \Theta_{G} f$.
Lemma 3.1 implies the following result on the relation $\Theta^{*}$.
Corollary 3.2 If $e_{\bar{x}} \Theta_{S(G)}^{*} f_{\bar{u}}$, then $e \Theta_{G}^{*} f$.
Proof. Suppose $e_{\bar{x}} \Theta_{S(G)}^{*} f_{\bar{u}}$. Then there exists a positive integer $k$ such that

$$
e_{\bar{x}} \Theta_{S(G)} f_{\bar{x}_{1}}^{(1)}, f_{\bar{x}_{1}}^{(1)} \Theta_{S(G)} f_{\bar{x}_{2}}^{(2)}, \ldots, f_{\bar{x}_{k}}^{(k)} \Theta_{S(G)} f_{\bar{u}}
$$

Then, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
e \Theta_{G} f^{(1)}, f^{(1)} \Theta_{G} f^{(2)}, \ldots, f^{(k)} \Theta_{G} f
$$

implying that $e \Theta_{G}^{*} f$.
The next lemma is a partial converse to Lemma 3.1.
Lemma 3.3 If e $\Theta_{G} f$, then there is a pair of edges $e_{\bar{x}}, f_{\bar{u}}$ in $S(G)$ such that $e_{\bar{x}} \Theta_{S(G)} f_{\bar{u}}$. Moreover, if $G$ is bipartite, then there are two (disjoint) such pairs.

Proof. Let $e=\{x, y\}, f=\{u, v\}$, and let $k=d_{G}(x, u)$. Since $e \Theta_{G} f$, we may without loss of generality assume that $d_{G}(x, u)+d_{G}(y, v)<d_{G}(y, u)+d_{G}(x, v)$ and that $d_{G}(x, u) \leq$ $d_{G}(y, v)$. We distinguish the following cases.

Case 1. $d_{G}(y, v)=k$.
In this case, $\left\{d_{G}(x, v), d_{G}(y, u)\right\} \subseteq\{k-1, k, k+1\}$. Moreover, our assumption about the sum of distances implies that $\left\{d_{G}(x, v), d_{G}(y, u)\right\} \subseteq\{k, k+1\}$. Since $e \Theta_{G} f$, the two distances cannot both be equal to $k$. Hence, up to symmetry, we need to consider the following two subcases.

Suppose $d_{G}(x, v)=d_{G}(y, u)=k+1$. Then $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{v})=2 k+2, d_{S(G)}(\overline{x y}, \overline{u v})=2 k+2$, $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \overline{u v})=2 k+1$, and $d_{S(G)}(\overline{x y}, \bar{v})=2 k+1$. Hence $e_{\bar{x}} \Theta_{S(G)} f_{\bar{v}}$.

Suppose $d_{G}(x, v)=k$ and $d_{G}(y, u)=k+1$. Then $d_{S(G)}(\bar{y}, \bar{u})=2 k+2, d_{S(G)}(\overline{x y}, \overline{u v})=$ $2 k+2, d_{S(G)}(\bar{y}, \overline{u v})=2 k+1$, and $d_{S(G)}(\overline{x y}, \bar{u})=2 k+1$. Hence $e_{\bar{y}} \Theta_{S(G)} f_{\bar{u}}$. A similar situation occurs when $d_{G}(x, v)=k+1$ and $d_{G}(y, u)=k$.
Case 2. $d_{G}(y, v)=k+1$.
Again, $\left\{d_{G}(x, v), d_{G}(y, u)\right\} \subseteq\{k-1, k, k+1\}$, but since $d_{G}(x, u)+d_{G}(y, v)<d_{G}(y, u)+$ $d_{G}(x, v)$ it must be that $d_{G}(x, v)=d_{G}(y, u)=k+1$. Then $d_{S(G)}(\bar{y}, \bar{v})=2 k+2$, $d_{S(G)}(\overline{x y}, \overline{u v})=2 k+2, d_{S(G)}(\bar{y}, \overline{u v})=2 k+3$, and $d_{S(G)}(\overline{x y}, \bar{v})=2 k+3$. Hence $e_{\bar{y}} \Theta_{S(G)} f_{\bar{v}}$.
Case 3. $d_{G}(y, v)=k+2$.
In this case the fact that $\left\{d_{G}(x, v), d_{G}(y, u)\right\} \subseteq\{k-1, k, k+1\}$ implies that $d_{G}(x, u)+$ $d_{G}(y, v) \geq d_{G}(y, u)+d_{G}(x, v)$. As this is not possible, the first assertion of the lemma is proved.

Assume now that $G$ is bipartite. Combining Lemma 2.1 with the above case analysis we infer that the only case to consider is when $d_{G}(x, u)=d_{G}(y, v)=k$ and $d_{G}(x, v)=$ $d_{G}(y, u)=k+1$. Then, just in the first subcase of the above Case 1 we get that $e_{\bar{x}} \Theta_{S(G)}^{*} f_{\bar{v}}$ and, similarly, $e_{\bar{y}} \Theta_{S(G)}^{*} f_{\bar{u}}$.

We say that cycles $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ of $G$ are isometrically touching if $\left|E(C) \cap E\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right|=1$ and $C \cup C^{\prime}$ is an isometric subgraph of $G$. Note that isometrically touching cycles are isometric.


Figure 2: Isometrically touching cycles and their subdivisions.

Lemma 3.4 Let $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ be isometrically touching cycles in $G$ with $E(C) \cap E\left(C^{\prime}\right)=\{e\}$. Then in $S(G)$ both edges corresponding to e are in the same $\Theta_{S(G)}^{*}$-class. Moreover, this class contains the edges thickened in Fig. 2.

Proof. We take the notation from Fig. 2 and content ourselves with only providing the proof for the case where $C$ is odd and $C^{\prime}$ is even. The other cases go through similarly. From Lemma 2.2(iii) we get that $\{\bar{u}, \overline{u v}\} \Theta_{S(G)}\{\bar{w}, \overline{t w}\}$ and $\{\bar{u}, \overline{u v}\} \Theta_{S(G)}\{\bar{y}, \overline{x y}\}$. However, note now that $d(\bar{y}, \bar{w})=d(\overline{x y}, \overline{s w})=d(\bar{y}, \overline{s w})-1=d(\overline{x y}, \bar{w})-1$. Thus we also have $\{\bar{w}, \overline{s w}\} \Theta_{S(G)}\{\bar{y}, \overline{x y}\}$. Since $\{\bar{w}, \overline{s w}\}$ is also in relation with $\{\bar{v}, \overline{u v}\}$ we obtain the claim for $\Theta_{S(G)}^{*}$ by taking the transitive closure.

For the full subdivision $S(G)$ of $G$ denote by $S\left(\Theta_{G}^{*}\right)$, the relation on the edges of $S(G)$, where $\{\bar{x}, \overline{x y}\}$ and $\{\bar{u}, \overline{u v}\}$ are in relation $S\left(\Theta_{G}^{*}\right)$ if and only if $\{x, y\} \Theta^{*}\{u, v\}$. In particular, $\{\bar{x}, \overline{x y}\}$ and $\{\overline{x y}, \bar{y}\}$ are always in relation.

Lemma 3.5 We have $\{\bar{x}, \overline{x y}\} \Theta_{S(G)}^{*}\{\overline{x y}, \bar{y}\}$ for all $\{x, y\} \in G$ if and only if $\Theta_{S(G)}^{*}=$ $S\left(\Theta_{G}^{*}\right)$.

Proof. The backwards direction holds by definition. Conversely, by Lemma 3.1 we have that if $\{\bar{x}, \overline{x y}\} \Theta_{S(G)}^{*}\{\overline{u v}, \bar{v}\}$, then $\{x, y\} \Theta^{*}\{u, v\}$. Therefore, $\Theta_{S(G)}^{*} \subseteq S\left(\Theta_{G}^{*}\right)$. On the other hand, Lemma 3.3 assures that if $\{x, y\} \Theta^{*}\{u, v\}$, then there is a pair $\{\bar{x}, \overline{x y}\} \Theta_{S(G)}^{*}\{\overline{u v}, \bar{v}\}$, but then by our assumption also $\{\bar{y}, \overline{x y}\} \Theta_{S(G)}^{*}\{\overline{u v}, \bar{v}\}$ and so on. Thus, $\Theta_{S(G)}^{*} \supseteq S\left(\Theta_{G}^{*}\right)$.

Lemma 3.4 and 3.5 immediately yield:
Proposition 3.6 If every edge of $G$ is in the intersection of two isometrically touching cycles, then $\Theta_{S(G)}^{*}=S\left(\Theta_{G}^{*}\right)$.

## $4 \Theta^{*}$ in subdivisions of fullerenes and plane triangulations

In this section we study relation $\Theta^{*}$ in full subdivisions of fullerenes and plane triangulations, for which Proposition 3.6 will be essential. We begin with fullerenes. Recall that a fullerene is a cubic planar graph all of whose faces are of length 5 or 6 .

A cycle $C$ of a connected graph $G$ is separating if $G \backslash C$ is disconnected and that a cyclic edge-cut of $G$ is an edge set $F$ such that $G \backslash F$ separates two cycles. To prove our main result on fullerenes we need the following result.

Lemma 4.1 Given a fullerene graph $G$, every separating cycle of $G$ is of length at least 9 . Moreover, the only separating cycles of length 9, are the cycles separating a vertex incident only to 5-faces, see the left of Fig. 3.

Proof. Let $C$ be separating cycle of length at most 9 . Since $G$ is cubic, there are $|C|$ edges of $G$ incident to $C$ which are not in $C$. Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume at most four of them are in the inner side of $C$. As they form an edge cut, and since fullerenes are cyclically 5 -edge-connected [13], the subgraph induced by vertices in the inner part of $C$ is a forest, say $F$. If $F$ consists of one vertex, say $v$, then we have three edges connecting $v$ to $C$ which form three faces $G$. As each of these faces is of length at least 5 , they are exactly 5 -faces. Otherwise, $F$ contains at least two vertices $u$ and $v$ each of which is either an isolated vertex of $F$ or a leaf. As they are of degree 3 in $F$, each of them must be connected by two edges to $C$. And since there at most four such edges, it follows that $u$ and $v$ are of degree 1 in $F$ and that every other vertex of $F$ is of degree 3 in $F$, which means there no other vertex and $u$ is adjacent to $v$. Thus inside $C$ we have five edges and four faces. But $C$ itself is of length at most 9 and thus one of these four faces is of length at most 4 , a contradiction with the choice of $G$.

Theorem 4.2 If $G$ is a fullerene, then $\Theta_{S(G)}^{*}=S\left(\Theta_{G}^{*}\right)$.
Proof. We claim that every edge $e$ of $G$ is the intersection of two isometrically touching cycles. For this sake consider the cycles $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ that lie on the boundary of the faces


Figure 3: A separating 9-cycle and two isometrically touching 6-cycles in a fullerene.
containing $e$. We have to prove that the union $C \cup C^{\prime}$ is isometric. Assume on the contrary that this is not the case, that is, there exist vertices $u, v \in C \cup C^{\prime}$ such that there is a shortest $u, v$-path $P($ in $G)$ interiorly disjoint from $C \cup C^{\prime}$, that is shorter than any shortest path $P^{\prime}$ from $u$ to $v$ in $C \cup C^{\prime}$.

Consider the cycle $C^{\prime \prime}$ obtained by joining $P$ and a shortest path $P^{\prime}$ from $u$ to $v$ in $C \cup C^{\prime}$. Since $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are of length at most 6 , the graph $C \cup C^{\prime}$ is of diameter at most 5 , thus the cycle $C^{\prime \prime}$ is of length at most 9 . We will prove that there is a separating cycle contradicting Lemma 4.1.

First, note that if $e \in P^{\prime}$, then $C^{\prime \prime}$ separates the graph $C \cup C^{\prime}$. Thus, by Lemma 4.1 $C^{\prime \prime}$ is of length at most 9 , so the endpoints of $P^{\prime}$ must be at distance 5 on $C \cup C^{\prime}$, i.e., one is in $C$ and the other in $C^{\prime}$. Thus, both sides of $C^{\prime \prime}$ contain more than one vertex, contradicting Lemma 4.1.

Hence, $P^{\prime}$ is on the boundary of $C \cup C^{\prime}$. Suppose that $C^{\prime \prime}$ is not induced. Then since the girth of fullerenes is 5, there is a single chord from $P$ to $P^{\prime}$ which splits $C^{\prime \prime}$ into a 5 -cycle $A$ and into a 5 - or a 6 -cycle $B$. In particular, $\left|C^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 8$ and $P^{\prime}$ has at least five vertices on $C^{\prime \prime}$. Thus, one vertex of $P^{\prime}$ has degree 2 in $C \cup C^{\prime}$ and is not incident to the chord. Thus, this vertex has a neighbor in the interior of $A$ or $B$, that is, one of them is separating, contradicting Lemma 4.1.

If $C^{\prime \prime}$ is induced, it follows from the fact that $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are faces and $\left|C^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 5$, that $C^{\prime \prime}$ is not a face, i.e., it is separating. Thus, $\left|C^{\prime \prime}\right|=9$ and the patch $Q$ consisting of $C^{\prime \prime}$ and its interior is a single vertex surrounded by three 5 -faces, see Lemma 4.1. Moreover, $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are 6 -faces so that their union can have diameter 5 . Note that any path $P^{\prime}$ on the boundary of $C \cup C^{\prime}$ of length 5 uses only one vertex of degree 3 , see the right of Fig. 3. But any path $P^{\prime}$ of length 5 on the boundary $Q$ uses at least two vertices of degree 2 , see the left of Fig. 3. Thus, $P^{\prime}$ cannot be in both boundaries simultaneously - contradiction.

We have shown the claim from the beginning and Proposition 3.6 yields the result.
We have proved how $\Theta_{G}^{*}$ of a fullerene behaves with respect to subdivision. What can we say about $\Theta_{G}^{*}$ itself? If $G$ is a fullerene, then we define a relation $\Phi$ on $E(G)$ as


Figure 4: A fullerene $G$ which has two $\bar{\Phi}^{*}$-classes (bold and normal edges), but only one $\Theta_{G}^{*}$-class since $e \Theta_{G} f$.
follows: $e \Phi f$ if $e$ and $f$ are opposite edges of a facial $C_{6}$. Relation $\Phi$ falls into cycles and paths, that have been called railroads [10]. In particular, it has been shown that cycles can have multiple self-intersection. We denote by $\bar{\Phi}$ the relation where additionally any two non-incident edges of a facial $C_{5}$ are in relation. Finally, recall that $\bar{\Phi}^{*}$ denotes the transitive closure of $\bar{\Phi}$. Since faces are isometric subgraphs, it is easy to see that $\bar{\Phi}$ is a refinement of $\Theta_{G}$ as well as $\bar{\Phi}^{*}$ is a refinement of $\Theta_{G}^{*}$. One might believe that the converse also holds, but the example in Fig. 4 shows that this is not always the case. We believe that determining $\Theta_{G}^{*}$ in fullerenes is an interesting problem.

We now turn our attention to plane triangulations. It is straightforward to verify that if $G$ is a plane triangulation, then $\Theta^{*}$ consists of a single class. On the other hand, $\Theta^{*}$ on the full subdivision of a plane triangulation has the following non-trivial structure.

Theorem 4.3 Let $G \neq K_{4}$ be a plane triangulation. Then $\Theta_{S(G)}^{*}$ consists of one global class $\gamma$, plus one class $\gamma_{x}$ for every degree three vertex $x$. Here, if $N(x)=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right\}$, then $\gamma_{x}=\left\{\left\{\bar{y}_{1}, \overline{y_{1} x}\right\},\left\{\bar{y}_{2}, \overline{y_{2} x}\right\},\left\{\bar{y}_{3}, \overline{y_{3} x}\right\}\right\}$. If $G=K_{4}$ the same holds, except that there is no global class $\gamma$.

Proof. Recall that $S\left(K_{4}\right)$ is a partial cube, cf. [19], its $\Theta$-classes ( $=\Theta^{*}$-classes) are shown In Fig. 5. Hence the result holds for $K_{4}$.


Figure 5: The relation $\Theta^{*}$ in $S\left(K_{4}\right)$ and the full division of the graph obtained by stacking into one face.

We proceed by induction on the number of vertices. Let $G$ have minimum degree at least 4, and let $e=\{x, y\}$ be an edge shared by triangles $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ bonding faces of $G$. If $C \cup C^{\prime}$ is isometric, then by Lemma 3.4 we have $\{\bar{x}, \overline{x y}\} \Theta_{S(G)}^{*}\{\overline{x y}, \bar{y}\}$. Otherwise, $C \cup C^{\prime}$ induces a $K_{4}$, but since the minimum degree of $G$ is at least 4, the other two triangles of the $K_{4}$ cannot be faces. An easy application of Lemma 3.4 on the other edges of this $K_{4}$ implies $\{\bar{x}, \overline{x y}\} \Theta_{S(G)}^{*}\{\overline{x y}, \bar{y}\}$. Since in a triangulation there is only one $\Theta^{*}$-class, Proposition 3.6 implies the result, that is, there is only one global class $\gamma$ in $S(G)$.

Now suppose that $G$ contains a vertex $v$ of degree 3. The graph $G^{\prime}=G \backslash\{v\}$ is a plane triangulation, thus our claim holds for $G^{\prime}$ by induction. In particular, if $G^{\prime}=K_{4}$, see Fig. 5 again. Otherwise, since $S\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is an isometric subgraph of $S(G)$, Lemma 2.2(iv) says that $\Theta_{S\left(G^{\prime}\right)}$ is the restriction of $\Theta_{S(G)}$ to $S\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.

Consider an edge $e=\{x, y\}$ of the triangle of $G$ that contains $v$. Note that the facial triangles $C, C^{\prime}$ containing $e$ have an isometric union, so by Lemma 3.4 we have $\{\bar{x}, \overline{x y}\} \Theta_{S(G)}^{*}\{\overline{x y}, \bar{y}\}$, which corresponds to our claim, since neither $x$ or $y$ can be of degree 3. If one of them - say $x$-was of degree 3 in $G^{\prime}$, then now only the class $\gamma_{x}$ and $\gamma$ where merged. Since $G^{\prime} \neq K_{4}$, not both $x$ and $y$ are of degree 3. Note furthermore that by Lemma 3.4 the edges incident to $v$ will all be in the class $\gamma$.

Finally, all the edges of the form $f=\{\bar{x}, \overline{v x}\}$ are in relation $\Theta$ with each other. In order to see that they are the only constituents of the class $\gamma_{v}$ it suffices to notice that $d(\overline{v x}, z)=d(\bar{x}, z)+1$ for all $z \in S\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. The result then follows by Lemma 2.2 (i).

## $5 \quad \Theta^{*}$ in subdivisions of chordal graphs

Recall that a graph is chordal if all its induced cycles are of length 3. Similarly as in fullerenes we shall define relation $\Phi$ on the edges of $S(G)$, by $e \Phi f$ if $e, f$ are opposite edges of a $C_{6}$.

Lemma 5.1 If $G$ is a chordal graph, then $\Phi_{S(G)}^{*}=\Theta_{S(G)}^{*}$.

Proof. Let $e \Theta_{S(G)} f$, where $e$ and $f$ are edges created by subdividing $\{a, b\},\{c, d\} \in E(G)$, respectively. Then by Lemma 3.1 we have $\{a, b\} \Theta\{c, d\}$. Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we have (up to symmetry) two options.

Case 1. $d_{G}(a, c)=d_{G}(b, d)=k$.
We can assume that $d_{G}(a, d) \in\{k, k+1\}$ and $d_{G}(b, c)=k+1$. Let $P=p_{0} p_{1} \ldots p_{k}$ and $P^{\prime}=p_{0}^{\prime} p_{1}^{\prime} \ldots p_{k}^{\prime}$ be shortest $a, c$ - and $b, d$-paths, respectively. Clearly, $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ must be disjoint since otherwise it cannot hold $d_{G}(a, d) \in\{k, k+1\}, d_{G}(b, c)=k+1$. The cycle $C$ formed by $\{a, b\}, P^{\prime},\{d, c\}, P$ must have a chord. Inductively adding chords we can show that there is a chord of $C$ incident with $a$ or $b$. Since $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ are shortest paths and the assumptions on distances hold, it follows that the latter chord must be incident with $a$ and the vertex $p_{1}^{\prime}$ of $P^{\prime}$. In particular, $d_{G}(a, d)=k$. Similarly, one can show that there must be a chord between $p_{1}^{\prime}$ and $p_{1}$, and inductively between every $p_{i} p_{i+1}^{\prime}$ for $0 \leq i<k$ and every $p_{i+1} p_{i+1}^{\prime}$ for $0 \leq i<k-1$.

By the assumption on the distances, the only pair of subdivided edges of $\{a, b\},\{c, d\}$, that is in relation $\Theta_{S(G)}$, is $\{\bar{b}, \overline{b a}\} \Theta_{S(G)}\{\bar{c}, \overline{c d}\}$, i.e., $e=\{\bar{b}, \overline{b a}\}$ and $f=\{\bar{c}, \overline{c d}\}$. Then

$$
\{\bar{b}, \overline{b a}\} \Phi_{S(G)}\left\{\bar{a}, \overline{a p_{1}^{\prime}}\right\} \Phi_{S(G)}\left\{\overline{p_{1}}, \overline{p_{1} p_{1}^{\prime}}\right\} \Phi_{S(G)} \cdots \Phi_{S(G)}\{\bar{c}, \overline{c d}\}
$$

Case 2. $d_{G}(a, c)=k, d_{G}(b, d)=k+1$.
Then we have $d_{G}(a, d)=d_{G}(b, c)=k+1$. Similarly as above, shortest $a, c$ - and $b, d$-paths, say $P=p_{0} p_{1} \ldots p_{k}$ and $P^{\prime}=p_{0}^{\prime} p_{1}^{\prime} \ldots p_{k+1}^{\prime}$, cannot intersect. Using the same notation as above, $C$ must have a chord incident with $a$ or $b$. By similar arguments, there must be a chord between every $p_{i} p_{i+1}^{\prime}$ and $p_{i+1} p_{i+1}^{\prime}$ for $0 \leq i<k$.

By the assumption on the distances, the only pair of subdivided edges of $\{a, b\},\{c, d\}$, that is in relation $\Theta_{S(G)}$, is $\{\bar{b}, \overline{b a}\} \Theta_{S(G)}\{\bar{d}, \overline{d c}\}$, i.e., $e=\{\bar{b}, \overline{b a}\}$ and $f=\{\bar{d}, \overline{d c}\}$. Then

$$
\{\bar{b}, \overline{b a}\} \Phi_{S(G)}\left\{\bar{a}, \overline{a p_{1}^{\prime}}\right\} \Phi_{S(G)}\left\{\overline{p_{1}}, \overline{p_{1} p_{1}^{\prime}}\right\} \Phi_{S(G)} \cdots \Phi_{S(G)}\{\bar{d}, \overline{d c}\}
$$

We have proved that $\Theta_{S(G)} \subset \Phi_{S(G)}^{*}$, thus $\Theta_{S(G)}^{*}=\Phi_{S(G)}^{*}$.
An edge of a graph $G$ is called exposed if it is properly contained in a single maximal complete subgraph of $G$. (This concept was recently introduced in [9], where it was proved that a $G$ is a connected chordal graph if and only if $G$ can be obtained from a complete graph by a sequence of removal of exposed edges.) Denote by $G^{-e e}$, for a chordal graph $G$, the graph obtained from $G$ by removing all its exposed edges. We will denote by c $\left(G^{-e e}\right)$ the number of connected components of $G^{-e e}$. Note that the singletons of $G^{-e e}$ include the simplicial vertices of $G$, and if $G$ is 2 -connected, its simplicial vertices coincide with singletons of $G^{-e e}$. It is straightforward to verify that if $G$ is a chordal graph, then $\Theta^{*}$ consists of a single class. On the other hand, $\Theta^{*}$ on the full subdivision of a chordal graph has the following non-trivial structure.

Theorem 5.2 Let $G$ be a 2-connected, chordal graph. Then the coloring, that for an edge $\{a, b\}$ with $a$ being in the $i$-th connected component of $G^{-e e}$ colors edge $\{\overline{a b}, b\}$ with color $i$, corresponds to the $\Theta_{S(G)}^{*}$-partition. In particular, $\left|\Theta_{S(G)}^{*}\right|=c\left(G^{-e e}\right)$.

Proof. We first prove that the above coloring of edges is a coarsening of $\Theta_{S(G)}^{*}$. Let $a$ be a vertex of $G$ and $b, c$ its neighbors. Since $G$ is 2 -connected, there exists a $b, c$ path $P$ that does not cross $a$. Pick $P$ such that it is shortest possible. Then since $G$ is chordal, $a$ is adjacent to every vertex on $P$, otherwise there exists a shorter path. Denote $P=p_{0} p_{1} \ldots p_{k}$, where $p_{0}=b$ and $p_{k}=c$. Then $\left\{\overline{p_{i} a}, \overline{p_{i}}\right\} \Theta_{S(G)}\left\{\overline{p_{i+1} a}, \overline{p_{i+1}}\right\}$, proving that $\{\overline{b a}, \bar{b}\} \Theta_{S(G)}^{*}\{\overline{c a}, \bar{c}\}$.

Furthermore, if $a b$ is not an exposed edge in $G$, then $a b$ lies in two maximal cliques. In particular, it lies in two isometrically touching triangles. By Lemma 3.4, $\{\overline{a b}, \bar{b}\} \Theta_{S(G)}^{*}\{\bar{a}, \overline{a b}\}$. By transitivity, and the above two facts, all the edges $\{\overline{a b}, \bar{b}\}$, with $a$ being in the same connected component of $G^{-e e}$, are in relation $\Theta_{S(G)}^{*}$.

Finally, we prove that no other edge besides the asserted is in $\Theta_{S(G)}^{*}$. Assume otherwise, and let $\{\bar{a}, \overline{a b}\} \Theta_{S(G)}^{*}\{\bar{c}, \overline{c d}\}$ be such that $b$ and $d$ do not lie in the same connected component of $G^{-e e}$. By Lemma 5.1, we can assume that $\{\bar{a}, \overline{a b}\} \Phi_{S(G)}\{\bar{c}, \overline{c d}\}$. But then the edges lie on a 6 -cycle, implying that $b=d$. This cannot be.
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