

# Initial algebras, strong dinaturality and uniform parameterized fixpoint operators

Tarmo Uustalu, Institute of Cybernetics, Tallinn

FICS 2010, Brno, 21–22 Aug 2010

# This talk

- Simpson, Plotkin gave sufficient conditions for unique existence (in a category) of a uniform parameterized fixpoint operators in terms of existence of bifree algebras of certain functors
- Uniformity is a strong dinaturality condition
- We use a Yoneda-like lemma about initial algebras and strong dinaturality to analyse the fine structure of their proof

# Outline

- Strong dinaturality and Yoneda-like lemma for initial algebras
- Uniform parameterized fixpoint operators  
what they are and their unique existence
- Guarded recursion operators (only mention)

# From natural to strong dinatural transformations

- Dinaturality and strong dinaturality are two generalizations of natural transformations from covariant to mixed-variant functors with components only defined for the diagonal of the domain.
- Correspond to the idea of polymorphic functions with types where the universally quantified type variable may occur both positively and negatively.

# Dinatural transformations

- A *dinatural transformation* between  $H, K \in \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$  is given by, for any  $X \in |\mathbb{C}|$ , a map  $\Theta_X \in \mathbb{E}(H(X, X), K(X, X))$  such that, for any  $f \in \mathbb{C}(X, X')$ , the following hexagon commutes in  $\mathbb{E}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & H(X, X) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_X} & K(X, X) & & \\ & \nearrow^{H(f, X)} & & & & \searrow^{K(X, f)} & \\ H(X', X) & & & & & & K(X, X') \\ & \searrow_{H(X', f)} & & & & \nearrow_{K(f, X')} & \\ & & H(X', X') & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{X'}} & K(X', X') & & \end{array}$$

- Dinaturals appear, e.g., in coend and ends.

# Strong dinatural transformations

- A *strongly dinatural transformation* between  $H, K \in \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$  is given by, for any  $X \in |\mathbb{C}|$ , a map  $\Theta_X \in \mathbb{E}(H(X, X), K(X, X))$  such that, for any map  $f \in \mathbb{C}(X, X')$  and any span  $(W, p, p')$  on  $(X, X')$ , if the square in the following diagram commutes in  $\mathbb{E}$ , then so does the hexagon:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & H(X, X) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_X} & K(X, X) & & \\
 & \nearrow p & & \searrow H(X, f) & & \searrow K(X, f) & \\
 W & & & & H(X, X') & \Rightarrow & K(X, X') \\
 & \searrow p' & & \nearrow H(f, X') & & \nearrow K(f, X') & \\
 & & H(X', X') & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{X'}} & K(X', X') & & 
 \end{array}$$

- If  $\mathbb{E}$  has pullbacks (e.g., **Set**), it suffices to require that the outer hexagon commutes for  $(W, p, p')$  the chosen pullback of the cospan  $(H(X, X'), H(X, f), H(f, X'))$ .

# No perfect world!

- Dinaturals not generally compose, so mixed-variant functors and dinatural transformations do not form a category.

But, if  $\mathbb{E}$  is Cartesian closed, this “non-category” also is!

- Strong dinaturals compose, mixed-variant functors from  $\mathbb{C}$  to  $\mathbb{E}$  and strongly dinatural transformations form a category. Denote it  $[\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{E}]_{\text{sd}}$ .

But Cartesian closedness of  $\mathbb{E}$  does not imply that  $[\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{E}]_{\text{sd}}$  is Cartesian closed.

## Recall the Yoneda lemma

- Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be a locally small category,  $C \in |\mathbb{C}|$  an object and  $K \in \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  a functor.
- Then

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{Set}](\mathbb{C}(C, -), K) &\cong K C \\ \Theta &\mapsto \Theta_C \text{id}_C \\ \lambda_x \lambda k K k x &\leftrightarrow x \end{aligned}$$

(so  $[\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{Set}](\mathbb{C}(C, -), K)$  is, in fact, a set too).

- This isomorphism is natural in  $C$ .



# Yoneda lemma for initial algebras

- Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be a locally small category,  $F \in \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a functor with an initial algebra (which we denote  $(\mu F, \text{in}_F)$ ) and  $K \in \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  a functor (whose padding into a mixed-variant functor we denote also by  $K$ ).
- Then

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{Set}]_{\text{sd}}(\mathbb{C}(F-, -), K) &\cong K(\mu F) \\ \Theta &\mapsto \Theta_{\mu F} \text{in}_F \\ \lambda_X \lambda k K(\text{fold}_{F,X} k) X &\leftarrow X \end{aligned}$$

(so  $[\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{Set}]_{\text{sd}}(\mathbb{C}(F-, -), K)$  is, in fact, a set too).

- This isomorphism is natural in  $F$  to the extent that initial algebras exist in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

# Most important special case

- If  $KX =_{\text{df}} \mathbb{C}(A, X)$ , for  $A \in |\mathbb{C}|$  an object (e.g.,  $A =_{\text{df}} 1$ ), we get

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{Set}]_{\text{sd}}(\mathbb{C}(F-, -), \mathbb{C}(A, -)) &\cong \mathbb{C}(A, \mu F) \\ \Theta &\mapsto \Theta_{\mu F \text{ in } F} \\ \lambda_X \lambda k K (\text{fold}_{F, X} k) \circ X &\leftarrow X \end{aligned}$$

- Compare this to the “impredicative encoding” of inductive types:

$$\forall X. (F X \Rightarrow X) \Rightarrow X = \mu F$$

# Most important special case (ctd)

- A strong dinatural between  $\mathbb{C}(-, -)$  and  $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$  is, for any  $X$ , a function  $\Theta_X \in \mathbb{C}(F X, X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(A, X)$ , such that, for any  $f \in \mathbb{C}(X, X')$ , if the square below commutes, so does the triangle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F X & \xrightarrow{k} & X \\ F f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ F X' & \xrightarrow{k'} & X \end{array} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{ccc} & X f & \\ \Theta_X k \nearrow & & \downarrow \\ A & & X' \\ \Theta_{X'} k' \searrow & & \end{array}$$

- The condition for dinaturality is weaker: for any  $f \in \mathbb{C}(X, X')$  and  $h \in \mathbb{C}(F X', X)$ , if the triangles on the left commute, then so does the triangle on the right.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F X & \xrightarrow{k} & X \\ F f \downarrow & \nearrow h & \downarrow f \\ F X' & \xrightarrow{k'} & X \end{array} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{ccc} & X f & \\ \Theta_X k \nearrow & & \downarrow \\ A & & X' \\ \Theta_{X'} k' \searrow & & \end{array}$$

# Parameterized fixpoint operators

- Assume given a category  $\mathbb{D}$  with finite products.
- A *parameterized fixpoint-like operator* on  $\mathbb{D}$  is given by, for any  $X, Y \in |\mathbb{D}|$ , a function

$$\text{fix}_{X,Y} \in \mathbb{D}(X \times Y, Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(X, Y)$$

- A *parameterized fixpoint operator* on  $\mathbb{D}$  is a parameterized fixpoint-like operator  $\text{fix}$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  such that
  - for any  $f \in \mathbb{D}(X, X')$  and  $k' \in \mathbb{D}(X' \times Y, Y)$ ,

$$\text{fix}(k' \circ (f \times \text{id}_Y)) = \text{fix } k' \circ f$$

(*naturality*);

- for any  $k \in \mathbb{D}(X \times Y, Y)$ ,

$$\text{fix } k = k \circ \langle \text{id}_X, \text{fix } k \rangle$$

(*parameterized fixpoint property*).

# Conway operators

- A *Conway operator* on  $\mathbb{D}$  is a parameterized fixpoint operator  $\text{fix}$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  with the further properties that
  - for any  $f \in \mathbb{D}(X \times Y, Y')$  and  $h \in \mathbb{D}(X \times Y', Y)$ ,

$$f \circ \langle \text{id}_X, \text{fix}(h \circ \langle \text{fst}, f \rangle) \rangle = \text{fix}(f \circ \langle \text{fst}, h \rangle)$$

(*parameterized dinaturality*);

- for any  $k \in \mathbb{D}((X \times Y) \times Y, Y)$ ,

$$\text{fix}(k \circ \langle \text{id}_{X \times Y}, \text{snd}_{X, Y} \rangle) = \text{fix}(\text{fix } k)$$

(*diagonal property*).

- Parameterized dinaturality implies the parameterized fixpoint property, so the latter condition becomes redundant for Conway operators.

# Uniformity

- Assume also given a category  $\mathbb{C}$  with finite products and the same objects as  $\mathbb{D}$  together with an identity-on-objects functor  $J \in \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  preserving the finite products of  $\mathbb{C}$  strictly.
- A parameterized fixpoint-like operator  $\text{fix}$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  is said to be *uniform* wrt.  $J$ , if
  - for any  $f \in \mathbb{C}(Y, Y')$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{D}(X \times Y, Y)$  and  $k' \in \mathbb{D}(X \times Y', Y')$ ,

$$Jf \circ k = k' \circ (\text{id}_X \times Jf) \Rightarrow Jf \circ \text{fix } k = \text{fix } k'$$

.

## A specific concrete situation of interest

- Assume  $\mathbb{D}$  arising as the coKleisli category of some comonad  $(D, \varepsilon, (-)^\dagger)$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- We can then use as  $J$  the right adjoint in its coKleisli setting.
- Example:
  - $\mathbb{C} =_{\text{df}} \mathbf{Cppo}_\perp$  ( $\omega$ -complete pointed partial orders and strict  $\omega$ -continuous functions)
  - $D =_{\text{df}} (-)_\perp$  (the lifting functor)
  - $\mathbb{D} \cong \mathbf{Cppo}$  ( $\omega$ -complete pointed partial orders and all  $\omega$ -continuous functions)

# Uniform fixpoint-like operators, equivalently

- In terms of  $\mathbb{C}$ , a parameterized fixpoint-like operator is now, for any  $X, Y \in |\mathbb{C}|$ , a function

$$\text{fix}_{X,Y} \in \mathbb{C}(D(X \times Y), Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(DX, Y)$$

- Uniformity means that, for any  $f \in \mathbb{C}(Y, Y')$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{C}(D(X \times Y), Y)$  and  $k' \in \mathbb{C}(D(X \times Y'), Y')$ ,

$$f \circ k = k' \circ D(\text{id}_X \times f) \Rightarrow f \circ \text{fix } k = \text{fix } k'$$

- This the strong dinaturality condition of  $\text{fix}$ !
- Therefore, by Yoneda, if all functors  $D(X \times -)$  have initial algebras, a uniform param. fixp.-like operator  $\text{fix}$  is the same as, for any  $X \in |\mathbb{C}|$ , a map

$$\underline{\text{fix}}_X \in \mathbb{C}(DX, \mu(D(X \times -)))$$



# Uniform param. fixpoint operators, equivalently

- In terms of  $\mathbb{C}$ , the conditions on param. fixp. oper.s are:
  - for any  $f \in \mathbb{C}(DX, X')$  and  $k' \in \mathbb{C}(D(X' \times Y), Y)$ ,

$$\text{fix}(k' \circ \langle f \circ D \text{fst}, \varepsilon_Y \circ D \text{snd} \rangle^\dagger) = \text{fix } k' \circ f^\dagger$$

- for any  $k \in \mathbb{C}(D(X \times Y), Y)$ ,

$$\text{fix } k = k \circ \langle \varepsilon_X, \text{fix } k \rangle^\dagger$$

- If all functors  $D(X \times -) \in \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  have initial algebras, a uniform param. fixpoint operator  $\text{fix}$  is the same as, for any  $X \in |\mathbb{C}|$ , a map  $\underline{\text{fix}}_X \in \mathbb{C}(DX, \mu(D(X \times -)))$  s.t.

- for any  $f \in \mathbb{C}(DX, X')$ ,

$$\mu(\langle f \circ D \text{fst}, \varepsilon_- \circ D \text{snd} \rangle^\dagger) \circ \underline{\text{fix}}_X = \underline{\text{fix}}_{X'} \circ f^\dagger$$

- for any  $X \in |\mathbb{C}|$ ,

$$\underline{\text{fix}}_X = \text{in}_{D(X \times -)} \circ \langle \varepsilon_X, \underline{\text{fix}}_X \rangle^\dagger$$

## Some intuition (?)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(X \times DX) & \xleftarrow{\langle \varepsilon_X, \text{id}_{DX} \rangle^\dagger} & DX \\ \downarrow D(X \times \text{fix}_X) & & \downarrow \text{fix}_X \\ D(X \times \mu(D(X \times -))) & \xrightarrow{\text{in}} & \mu(D(X \times -)) \\ \downarrow D(X \times \text{fold } k) & & \downarrow \text{fold } k \\ D(X \times Y) & \xrightarrow{k} & Y \end{array}$$

# Conway operators, equivalently

- In terms of  $\mathbb{C}$ , the conditions on Conway operators are:
  - for any  $f \in \mathbb{C}(D(X \times Y), Y')$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{C}(D(X \times Y'), Y)$ ,  
$$f \circ \langle \varepsilon_X, \text{fix}(h \circ \langle \varepsilon_X \circ D \text{fst}, f \rangle^\dagger) \rangle^\dagger = \text{fix}(f \circ \langle \varepsilon_X \circ D \text{fst}, h \rangle^\dagger)$$
  - for any  $k \in \mathbb{C}(D((X \times Y) \times Y), Y)$ ,

$$\text{fix}(k \circ D \langle \text{id}_{X \times Y}, \text{snd}_{X, Y} \rangle) = \text{fix}(\text{fix } k)$$

- If all functors  $D(X \times -)$ ,  $D(X \times D(X \times -))$ ,  $D((X \times -) \times -) \in \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  have initial algebras, a uniform Conway operator is the same as, for any  $X \in |\mathbb{C}|$ , a map  $\underline{\text{fix}}_X \in \mathbb{C}(DX, \mu(D(X \times -)))$  satisfying the conditions of the previous slide, but also:

- for any  $X \in |\mathbb{C}|$ ,

$$\text{in} \circ \langle \varepsilon_X, \text{fold}(\langle \varepsilon_X \circ D \text{fst}, \text{in} \rangle^\dagger) \circ \underline{\text{fix}} \rangle^\dagger = \text{fold}(\text{in} \circ \langle \varepsilon_X \circ D \text{fst}, \text{id} \rangle^\dagger) \circ \underline{\text{fix}}$$

- for any  $X \in |\mathbb{C}|$ ,

$$\text{fold}(\text{in} \circ D \langle \text{id}, \text{snd} \rangle) \circ \underline{\text{fix}} = \text{fold}(\text{fold in} \circ \underline{\text{fix}}) \circ \underline{\text{fix}}$$

# Unique existence conditions

- If every functor  $D(X \times -) \in \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has a bifree algebra, then  $\mathbb{D}$  has a unique uniform wrt.  $J$  parameterized fixpoint operator.
- If all functors  $D(X \times -), D(X \times D(X \times -)), D((X \times -) \times -) \in \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  have bifree algebras, then  $\mathbb{D}$  has a unique uniform wrt.  $J$  Conway operator.

# Conclusion

- Same technique applies to guarded recursion operators.
- The Yoneda-like lemma stages the invocations of the initial algebra resp. bifree algebra existence assumptions in Simpson and Plotkin's theorems:
  - initial algebra existence – equivalent formulation
  - bifree algebra existence – the equivalent map exists uniquely